



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa



# Networks for the weak topology of Banach and Fréchet spaces

S. Gabrielyan<sup>a,\*,1</sup>, J. Kąkol<sup>b,c,2</sup>, W. Kubiś<sup>c,d,3</sup>, W. Marciszewski<sup>e,4</sup><sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva P.O. 653, Israel<sup>b</sup> Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, A. Mickiewicz University, 61-614 Poznań, Poland<sup>c</sup> Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Žitná 25, 115 67 Praha 1, Czech Republic<sup>d</sup> Institute of Mathematics, Jan Kochanowski University, Świetokrzyska 15, 25-406 Kielce, Poland<sup>e</sup> Institute of Mathematics, University of Warsaw, Banacha 2, 02-097 Warszawa, Poland

## ARTICLE INFO

*Article history:*

Received 23 February 2015

Available online 23 July 2015

Submitted by B. Cascales

Dedicated to the Memory of  
Professor Manuel Valdivia*Keywords:*

Fréchet space

Weakly  $\aleph$  locally convex space $\aleph$ -space $\aleph_0$ -space

Space of continuous functions

## ABSTRACT

We start the systematic study of Fréchet spaces which are  $\aleph$ -spaces in the weak topology. A topological space  $X$  is an  $\aleph_0$ -space or an  $\aleph$ -space if  $X$  has a countable  $k$ -network or a  $\sigma$ -locally finite  $k$ -network, respectively. We are motivated by the following result of Corson (1966): If the space  $C_c(X)$  of continuous real-valued functions on a Tychonoff space  $X$  endowed with the compact-open topology is a Banach space, then  $C_c(X)$  endowed with the weak topology is an  $\aleph_0$ -space if and only if  $X$  is countable. We extend Corson's result as follows: If the space  $E := C_c(X)$  is a Fréchet lcs, then  $E$  endowed with its weak topology  $\sigma(E, E')$  is an  $\aleph$ -space if and only if  $(E, \sigma(E, E'))$  is an  $\aleph_0$ -space if and only if  $X$  is countable. We obtain a necessary and some sufficient conditions on a Fréchet lcs to be an  $\aleph$ -space in the weak topology. We prove that a reflexive Fréchet lcs  $E$  in the weak topology  $\sigma(E, E')$  is an  $\aleph$ -space if and only if  $(E, \sigma(E, E'))$  is an  $\aleph_0$ -space if and only if  $E$  is separable. We show however that the nonseparable Banach space  $\ell_1(\mathbb{R})$  with the weak topology is an  $\aleph$ -space.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Topological properties of a locally convex space (lcs for short)  $E$  in the weak topology  $\sigma(E, E')$  are important and have been intensively studied from many years (see [18,25]). Corson (1961) started a systematic study of certain topological properties of the weak topology of Banach spaces. This line of research provided

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: saak@math.bgu.ac.il (S. Gabrielyan), kakol@amu.edu.pl (J. Kąkol), kubis@math.cas.cz (W. Kubiś), wmarcisz@mimuw.edu.pl (W. Marciszewski).

<sup>1</sup> The first named author was partially supported by Israel Science Foundation grant 1/12.<sup>2</sup> The second named author was supported by Generalitat Valenciana, Conselleria d'Educació, Cultura i Esport, Spain, Grant PROMETEO/2013/058. Part of this work has been done when the second named author visited Department of Mathematics, Czech Academy of Sciences as a Visiting Professor, April 2014.<sup>3</sup> The third named author was supported by GACR grant No. P201 14 07880S.<sup>4</sup> The fourth named author was supported by National Science Centre research grant DEC-2012/07/B/ST1/03363.

more general classes such as reflexive Banach spaces, weakly compactly generated Banach spaces (*WCG* Banach spaces) and the class of weakly  $K$ -analytic and weakly  $K$ -countably determined Banach spaces. We refer the reader to [11] and [18] for many references and facts.

Although  $(E, \sigma(E, E'))$  is never a metrizable space for a separable infinite dimensional normed  $E$ , every  $\sigma(E, E')$ -compact set is  $\sigma(E, E')$ -metrizable (see [18, Corollary 4.6] or [11, Proposition 3.29]). Moreover, for many natural and important classes of separable metrizable lcs  $E$ , the space  $(E, \sigma(E, E'))$  is a generalized metric space of some type (see [2,13,20]). Such types of topological spaces are defined by different types of networks. The concept of network is one of a well recognized good tool, coming from the pure set-topology, which turned out to be of great importance to study successfully renorming theory in Banach spaces, see the survey paper [6]; especially [6, Theorem 13] for  $\sigma(E, F)$ -slicely networks.

Following Michael [20], a family  $\mathcal{N}$  of subsets of a topological space  $X$  is called a  $k$ -network in  $X$  if whenever  $K \subset U$  with  $K$  compact and  $U$  open in  $X$ , then  $K \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F} \subset U$  for some finite  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{N}$ . A topological space  $X$  is said to be an  $\aleph_0$ -space if  $X$  is regular and has a countable  $k$ -network [20]. It is known that a regular space is an  $\aleph_0$ -space if and only if it is a continuous image of a separable metric space under a compact-covering mapping [20]. Every  $\aleph_0$ -space is separable and Lindelöf. It is known that every Banach space  $E$  whose strong dual  $E'$  is separable is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space, i.e.  $E$  with the weak topology  $\sigma(E, E')$  is an  $\aleph_0$ -space, see [20] and [2] (or [13] for more general facts for Fréchet lcs, i.e. metrizable and complete lcs).

O'Meara [24] generalized the concept of  $\aleph_0$ -spaces as follows: A topological space  $X$  is called an  $\aleph$ -space if it is regular and has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite  $k$ -network. Any metrizable space is an  $\aleph$ -space and all compact sets in  $\aleph$ -spaces are metrizable. For further results, see [15]. The study of those locally convex spaces  $E$  which are weakly  $\aleph$ -spaces (i.e. under the weak topology  $E$  has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite  $k$ -network) is begun here for the important particular case of Fréchet lcs.

If  $X$  is a Tychonoff space,  $C_c(X)$  (resp.  $C_p(X)$ ) denotes the space  $C(X)$  of all continuous functions on  $X$  endowed with the compact-open (resp. pointwise) topology. It is well known that  $C_c(X)$  is metrizable if and only if  $X$  is *hemicompact*, i.e.  $X$  admits a fundamental sequence of compact sets, see [1]. Moreover,  $C_c(X)$  is complete if and only if  $X$  is a  $k_R$ -space, see [25]. Note that  $C_p(X)$  is an  $\aleph$ -space if and only if  $X$  is countable [27]. Corson proved the following interesting result (\*): If  $K$  is compact, the Banach space  $C(K)$  is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space if and only if  $K$  is countable. Corson's result can be found in Michael's paper [20] as Proposition 10.8. Our main result extends Corson's theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *A Fréchet lcs  $C_c(X)$  is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space if and only if  $C_c(X)$  is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space if and only if  $X$  is countable.*

If  $X$  is a countable and *locally compact* space, Theorem 1.1 guarantees that  $C_c(X)$  is even a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space. We note the following question: Is  $C_c(X)$  a weakly  $\aleph$ -space for any countable Tychonoff space  $X$ ? Having in mind that the weak topology of  $C_c(X)$  lies between the compact open topology and the pointwise one, the question is especially interesting for the case  $X$  is an  $\aleph_0$ -space. Recall that for such  $X$  the spaces  $C_c(X)$  and  $C_p(X)$  are  $\aleph_0$ -spaces by [20], and  $C_p(X)$  is even separable and metrizable. In Section 7 we prove that  $C_c(X)$  is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space for any countable  $\aleph_0$ -space  $X$ .

Although  $\aleph$ -spaces and  $\aleph_0$ -spaces are essentially different, in the class of Lindelöf spaces they coincide, see Proposition 5.9 below. Therefore, it is interesting also to describe possible large classes of Fréchet (or Banach) spaces for which both concepts coincide for the weak topology. We observe that any *WCG* Banach space is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space if and only if it is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space (Corollary 5.11). We show that a Banach space  $E$  not containing a copy of  $\ell_1$  is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space if and only if it is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space if and only if the strong dual  $E'$  of  $E$  is separable (Corollary 5.6). This extends a corresponding result for the case  $\aleph_0$ -spaces (see [2, §12] and [13]). Consequently, for any  $1 < p < \infty$  and an uncountable set  $\Gamma$  the (reflexive) Banach space  $\ell_p(\Gamma)$  is not a weakly  $\aleph$ -space. We show even more: A reflexive Fréchet lcs  $E$  is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space if

and only if  $E$  is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space if and only if  $E$  is separable (Corollary 5.3). These results motivate the following natural question: *Does there exist a nonseparable Banach space  $E$  which is an  $\aleph$ -space in the weak topology of  $E$ ?* We answer this question in the affirmative by proving the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** *The Banach space  $\ell_1(\Gamma)$  is an  $\aleph$ -space in the weak topology if and only if the cardinality of  $\Gamma$  does not exceed the continuum.*

So, the nonseparable Banach space  $\ell_1(\mathbb{R})$  endowed with the weak topology is an  $\aleph$ -space but is not an  $\aleph_0$ -space. Moreover, the space  $\ell_1(\mathbb{R})$  in the weak topology is not normal, see Proposition 4.7.

## 2. Some definitions and known facts

Recall (see [14]) that a family  $\mathcal{N}$  of subsets of a topological space  $X$  is a  $cs^*$ -network at a point  $x \in X$  if for each sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$  converging to  $x$  and for each neighborhood  $O_x$  of  $x$  there is a set  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $x \in N \subset O_x$  and the set  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in N\}$  is infinite (where  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ );  $\mathcal{N}$  is a  $cs^*$ -network in  $X$  if  $\mathcal{N}$  is a  $cs^*$ -network at each point  $x \in X$ . The smallest size  $|\mathcal{N}|$  of a  $cs^*$ -network  $\mathcal{N}$  at  $x$  is called the  $cs^*$ -character of  $X$  at the point  $x$  and is denoted by  $cs_\chi^*(X, x)$ . The cardinal  $cs_\chi^*(X) = \sup\{cs_\chi^*(X, x) : x \in X\}$  is called the  $cs^*$ -character of  $X$ . Recall also (see [20]) that a point  $x$  in a topological space  $X$  is called an  $r$ -point if there is a sequence  $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of neighborhoods of  $x$  such that if  $x_n \in U_n$ , then  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has compact closure; we call  $X$  an  $r$ -space if all of its points are  $r$ -points. First countable spaces and locally compact spaces are  $r$ -spaces.

**Theorem 2.1.** (See [23].) *A topological space  $X$  is metrizable if and only if it is an  $\aleph$ -space and an  $r$ -space.*

A topological space  $X$  has the property  $(\alpha_4)$  at a point  $x \in X$  if for any  $\{x_{m,n} : (m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}\} \subset X$  with  $\lim_n x_{m,n} = x \in X$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist a sequence  $(m_k)_k$  of distinct natural numbers and a sequence  $(n_k)_k$  of natural numbers such that  $\lim_k x_{m_k, n_k} = x$ ;  $X$  has the property  $(\alpha_4)$  or is an  $(\alpha_4)$ -space if it has the property  $(\alpha_4)$  at each point  $x \in X$ . Nyikos proved in [21, Theorem 4] that any Fréchet–Urysohn topological group satisfies  $(\alpha_4)$ . However there are Fréchet–Urysohn topological spaces which do not have  $(\alpha_4)$  (see for instance Example 2.4).

Theorem 2.1 combined with additional facts from [3] yields also

**Theorem 2.2.** *An  $\aleph$ -space  $X$  is metrizable if and only if  $X$  is a Fréchet–Urysohn  $(\alpha_4)$ -space.*

**Proof.** Clearly, if  $X$  is metrizable then it is a Fréchet–Urysohn  $(\alpha_4)$ -space. Conversely, let  $X$  be a Fréchet–Urysohn  $(\alpha_4)$ -space. Being an  $\aleph$ -space,  $X$  has countable  $cs^*$ -character (this might be also noticed from the proof of [27, Corollary 2.18]). Indeed, it immediately follows from the definitions of  $k$ - and  $cs^*$ -networks that any closed  $k$ -network is a  $cs^*$ -network. So it is enough to show that any space  $X$  with a  $\sigma$ -locally finite closed  $cs^*$ -network  $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}_n$  has countable  $cs^*$ -character. Fix  $x \in X$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  set  $T_n(x) := \{D \in \mathcal{D}_n : x \in D\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}_n$  is locally finite, the family  $T_n(x)$  is finite. So the family  $T(x) := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_n(x)$  is countable. We show that  $T(x)$  is a countable  $cs^*$ -network at  $x$ . Let  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $U$  be a neighborhood of  $x$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $cs^*$ -network, there is  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $D \in \mathcal{D}_k$  such that  $x \in D \subset U$  and  $D$  contains infinitely many elements of  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . As  $D$  is closed, it contains  $x$ , so  $D \in T_k(x)$ . Now [3, Proposition 6, Lemma 7] imply that  $X$  is first countable, hence an  $r$ -space. Finally,  $X$  is metrizable by Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

Since every Fréchet–Urysohn topological group satisfies property  $(\alpha_4)$  by [21, Theorem 4], we obtain

**Corollary 2.3.** *A topological group  $G$  is metrizable if and only if  $G$  is a Fréchet–Urysohn  $\aleph$ -space.*

**Example 2.4.** Let  $V(\aleph_0)$  be the *Fréchet–Urysohn fan* which is, by definition, the topological space obtained from countably many copies of pairwise disjoint convergent sequences by identifying their limit points, endowed with the quotient topology. Specifically,  $V(\aleph_0) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$ , where each  $S_n$  is homeomorphic to a convergent sequence with its limit  $\infty$  and  $S_n \cap S_m = \{\infty\}$  whenever  $n \neq m$ . All points of  $V(\aleph_0)$  except  $\infty$  are isolated, while a neighborhood of  $\infty$  is any  $U \subset V(\aleph_0)$  such that  $\infty \in U$  and  $S_n \setminus U$  is finite for every  $n$ . It is well-known (and easy to check) that  $V(\aleph_0)$  is a regular Fréchet–Urysohn space.

Note that every compact subset of  $V(\aleph_0)$  has empty intersection with all but finitely many sets of the form  $S_n^- = S_n \setminus \{\infty\}$ . Indeed, if  $C \subset V(\aleph_0)$  is such that the set  $M = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : C \cap S_n^- \neq \emptyset\}$  is infinite then, choosing  $x_n \in C \cap S_n^-$  for each  $n \in M$ , we see that the family

$$V(\aleph_0) \setminus \{x_n : n \in M\}, S_0^-, S_1^-, S_2^-, \dots$$

is an open cover of  $V(\aleph_0)$  whose no finite subfamily covers  $C$ , showing that  $C$  is not compact.

It follows that the natural map from the topological direct sum  $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$  onto  $V(\aleph_0)$  is compact-covering. So  $V(\aleph_0)$  is an  $\aleph_0$ -space. Clearly,  $V(\aleph_0)$  is not metrizable and not an  $(\alpha_4)$ -space (and it is not an  $r$ -space by [Theorem 2.1](#)).

### 3. Some necessary conditions for being an $\aleph$ -space

Recall that a topological space  $X$  is called a  $\sigma$ -space if  $X$  is regular and has a  $\sigma$ -discrete (equivalently,  $\sigma$ -locally finite) network. If  $X$  is regular and has a countable network,  $X$  is called a *cosmic space*. Clearly  $\aleph$ -spaces and cosmic spaces are  $\sigma$ -spaces. It is well known (see [\[15\]](#)) that any closed subset  $H$  of a  $\sigma$ -space  $X$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set. Indeed, if  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}_n$  is a  $\sigma$ -discrete closed network for  $X$ , then the sets  $A_n := \bigcup \{D \in \mathcal{D}_n : D \cap H = \emptyset\}$  are closed in  $X$  by [\[10, 1.1.11\]](#). As  $H = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (X \setminus A_n)$ ,  $H$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set. Consequently, any  $\sigma$ -space has countable pseudocharacter; we denote  $\psi(X) = \aleph_0$ .

Clearly, every separable Banach space with the Schur property is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space. In [Section 4](#) we show that  $\ell_1(\mathbb{R})$  is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space.

It is well known that the dual space of  $\ell_p(\Gamma)$  is  $\ell_q(\Gamma)$ , where  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . So, the support of continuous functionals over  $\ell_p(\Gamma)$  must be countable. We use this fact to prove the following

**Example 3.1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be an infinite set and  $E := \ell_p(\Gamma)$  with  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then  $\psi(E_w) \geq |\Gamma|$ , where  $E_w := (E, \sigma(E, E'))$ . Hence  $\ell_p(\Gamma)$  are not weakly  $\sigma$ -spaces for every uncountable  $\Gamma$ .

**Proof.** If  $\Gamma$  is countable the assertion is clear. Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is uncountable. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of weakly open neighborhoods of 0 such that  $\bigcap_{i \in I} U_i = \{0\}$  and  $|I| = \psi(E_w)$ . We may assume that each  $U_i$  has the following standard form

$$U_i = \{x \in E : |\chi_{i,k}(x)| < \delta_i, \text{ where } \chi_{i,k} \in E' \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq m_i\}.$$

Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $|I| < |\Gamma|$ . Denote by  $J$  the set of all indices  $j \in I$  such that the  $j$ -coordinate is nonzero for some  $\chi_{i,k}$ . So  $|J| = |\mathbb{N}| \times |\mathbb{N}| \times |I| < |\Gamma|$ . Hence we can find an index  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma \setminus J$ . Set  $x_0 = (r_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ , where  $r_\gamma = 1$  if  $\gamma = \gamma_0$ , and  $r_\gamma = 0$  otherwise. Clearly,  $x_0 \in E$  and  $\chi_{i,k}(x_0) = 0$  for all  $i \in I$  and every  $1 \leq k \leq m_i$ , which contradicts the choice of the family  $\mathcal{U}$ . Thus  $|I| \geq |\Gamma|$ .  $\square$

We provide a necessary condition for any lcs to be a weakly  $\aleph$ -space. First we prove the following useful observation.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $E$  be a non-trivial lcs. Then  $E_w := (E, \sigma(E, E'))$  has countable pseudocharacter if and only if  $E_w$  admits a weaker separable metrizable lcs topology. In particular,  $|E| = \mathfrak{c}$  provided  $E_w$  has countable pseudocharacter.

**Proof.** Assume that  $E_w$  has countable pseudocharacter. Let  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n = \{0\}$ , where the open sets  $U_n$  have the following standard form

$$U_n = \{x \in E : |\chi_{i,n}(x)| < \delta_n, \text{ where } \chi_{i,n} \in E' \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k_n\}.$$

Let  $\{\chi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an enumeration of the family  $\{\chi_{i,n} : 1 \leq i \leq k_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \ker(\chi_n) = \{0\}$ . This implies that the following map

$$p : E_w \rightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E / \ker(\chi_n) = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \quad p(x) = (\chi_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}},$$

is continuous and injective, and hence  $|E| = \mathfrak{c}$  as  $E$  is non-trivial. Now the topology induced on  $E_w$  from  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is as desired. The converse assertion is trivial.  $\square$

The next fact is well known but hard to locate.

**Lemma 3.3.** *An lcs  $E$  admits a metrizable and separable locally convex topology  $\tau$  weaker than  $\sigma(E, E')$  if and only if  $(E', \sigma(E', E))$  is separable.*

Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 imply the following necessary conditions on lcs  $E$  to be a weakly  $\aleph$ -space; Proposition 5.2(i) is a partial converse.

**Proposition 3.4.** *If  $E$  is a non-trivial lcs which is a weakly  $\sigma$ -space, then*

- (i)  $(E, \sigma(E, E'))$  admits a weaker separable metrizable lcs topology;
- (ii)  $\psi(E, \sigma(E, E')) = \aleph_0$  and  $|E| = \mathfrak{c}$ ;
- (iii)  $(E', \sigma(E', E))$  is separable.

Note that the space  $\ell_\infty$  satisfies above conditions (i)–(iii), although it is not a weakly  $\aleph$ -space (see Corollary 6.7 below).

#### 4. $\ell_1(\mathbb{R})$ is an $\aleph$ -space in the weak topology

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2 which states that the Banach space  $\ell_1(\Gamma)$  is an  $\aleph$ -space in the weak topology if and only if the cardinality of  $\Gamma$  does not exceed the continuum. In particular, the space  $\ell_1(\mathbb{R})$  is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space. Clearly, the “only if” part of the theorem follows from Proposition 3.4 because the space  $\ell_1(\Gamma)$  with the weak topology does not have countable pseudocharacter whenever  $|\Gamma| > 2^{\aleph_0}$ . The remaining part of this section is devoted to the proof of the “if” part. It is clear that if the cardinality of the set  $\Gamma_1$  is less than or equal to the cardinality of the set  $\Gamma_2$  then  $\ell_1(\Gamma_1)$  embeds into  $\ell_1(\Gamma_2)$ , therefore it is enough to consider the case when  $\Gamma$  has the continuum cardinality.

We shall work with the space  $\ell_1(2^\omega)$ , where  $2^\omega$  denotes the Cantor set, treated just as an index set (recall that the space  $\ell_1(S)$  does not depend on any extra structure of the set  $S$ ).

We shall use some ideas from [19] (especially from the proof of Lemma 2.3.1 in [19]). Given a Banach space  $E$ , we shall denote by  $\mathbf{B}_E$  and  $\mathbf{S}_E$  the closed unit ball and the unit sphere of  $E$ , respectively.

**Lemma 4.1.** *The unit sphere  $\mathbf{S}_{\ell_\infty(2^\omega)}$  is weak\* separable.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the family of all (necessarily finite) partitions of the Cantor set into finitely many open sets. As  $2^\omega$  is zero-dimensional, for every finite set  $F \subset 2^\omega$  there is  $P \in \mathbb{P}$  such that  $P = \{U_x : x \in F\}$  and

$x \in U_x$  for every  $x \in F$ . Obviously, the family  $\mathbb{P}$  is countable, because the Cantor set has only countably many sets that are open and closed simultaneously. Define

$$D = \left\{ \sum_{U \in P} q_U \chi_U \in S_{\ell_\infty(2^\omega)} : P \in \mathbb{P}, \{q_U : U \in P\} \subset \mathbb{Q} \right\},$$

where  $\chi_A$  denotes the characteristic function of a set  $A$ . Obviously,  $D$  is countable. We claim that it is *weak\** dense in  $\mathbf{S}_{\ell_\infty(2^\omega)}$ .

In fact, given  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \ell_1(2^\omega)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , a basic *weak\** neighborhood of  $y \in S_{\ell_\infty(2^\omega)}$  is of the form

$$V = \{v \in S_{\ell_\infty(2^\omega)} : |v(x_i) - y(x_i)| < \varepsilon \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}.$$

Fix  $\delta > 0$  and let  $F \subset 2^\omega$  be a finite set such that

$$\|x_i\| - \sum_{t \in F} |x_i(t)| = \sum_{t \notin F} |x_i(t)| < \delta \tag{4.1}$$

for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Take a partition  $P \in \mathbb{P}$  such that  $U \cap F$  is either empty or a singleton, whenever  $U \in \mathbb{P}$ , and there is  $U \in P$  such that  $U \cap F = \emptyset$ . For every  $t \in F$  and each  $U \in P$  containing  $t \in U$  take  $q_U \in [-1, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $|q_U - y(t)| < \delta$ , and set  $q_U = 1$  for every  $U \in P$  such that  $U \cap F = \emptyset$ . Set  $w = \sum_{U \in P} q_U \chi_U$ . Then  $w \in D$ . We show that  $w \in V$  for  $\delta$  small enough. Indeed, for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , the inequality (4.1) and the construction of  $w$  imply

$$\begin{aligned} |w(x_i) - y(x_i)| &\leq \sum_{t \in F} |w(t)x_i(t) - y(t)x_i(t)| + \sum_{t \notin F} |w(t)x_i(t) - y(t)x_i(t)| \\ &< \delta \cdot \sum_{t \in F} |x_i(t)| + \sum_{t \notin F} 2|x_i(t)| < \delta(\|x_i\| + 2). \end{aligned}$$

Now it is clear that if  $\delta$  is small enough then  $w \in V$ . Thus  $\mathbf{S}_{\ell_\infty(2^\omega)}$  is *weak\** separable.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach space such that  $(\mathbf{S}_{E'}, \text{weak}^*)$  is separable. Then for every  $r > 0$  there exists a countable family  $\mathcal{F}$  of weakly closed subsets of  $E$  contained in*

$$E \setminus r\mathbf{B}_E = \{x \in E : \|x\| > r\}$$

and such that

$$E \setminus r\mathbf{B}_E = \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \text{int}_w(F),$$

where  $\text{int}_w$  denotes the interior with respect to the weak topology.

**Proof.** Let  $D$  be a countable *weak\** dense subset of  $\mathbf{S}_{E'}$ . Given  $\varphi \in D$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$F_{\varphi,n} = \{x \in E : \varphi(x) \geq r + 1/n\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_{\varphi,n} : \varphi \in D, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is the required family.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $0 < \varepsilon < r$  and let*

$$M(r, \varepsilon) = \{x \in \ell_1(2^\omega) : r - \varepsilon < \|x\| \leq r\}.$$

Then for every  $x \in M(r, \varepsilon)$  there exists a weakly (in fact, pointwise) open set  $V \subset \ell_1(2^\omega)$  such that  $x \in V$  and  $\text{diam}(V \cap M(r, \varepsilon)) \leq 4\varepsilon$ .

**Proof.** Given  $A \subset 2^\omega$ , denote by  $p_A$  the canonical projection from  $\ell_1(2^\omega)$  onto  $\ell_1(A)$ , that is,  $p_A(v) = v \upharpoonright A$ . Fix  $x \in M(r, \varepsilon)$ . There exists a finite set  $F \subset 2^\omega$  such that  $\|p_F(x)\| > r - \varepsilon$ . Choose an open set  $U \subset \ell_1(F)$  such that  $\|u\| > r - \varepsilon$  and  $\|u - p_F(x)\| < \varepsilon$  for every  $u \in U$ . Let  $V = p_F^{-1}(U)$ . We claim that  $V$  is as required.

Obviously,  $x \in V$  and  $V$  is pointwise (in particular, weakly) open. Fix  $y_1, y_2 \in V \cap M(r, \varepsilon)$ . Let  $A = 2^\omega \setminus F$ . Note that the  $\ell_1$ -norm has the property that

$$\|v\| = \|p_F(v)\| + \|p_A(v)\|$$

for every  $v \in \ell_1(2^\omega)$ . In particular,  $\|p_A(y_i)\| \leq \varepsilon$ , because  $\|p_F(y_i)\| > r - \varepsilon$  and  $\|y_i\| \leq r$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Using these facts we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_1 - y_2\| &= \|p_F(y_1) - p_F(y_2)\| + \|p_A(y_1) - p_A(y_2)\| \\ &\leq \|p_F(y_1) - p_F(x)\| + \|p_F(x) - p_F(y_2)\| + \|p_A(y_1)\| + \|p_A(y_2)\| \\ &\leq 4\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\text{diam}(V \cap M(r, \varepsilon)) \leq 4\varepsilon$ .  $\square$

The next statement is rather standard; it has been used implicitly, e.g., in [19].

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $X$  be a metric space. Then there exists an open base  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_n$  and each  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is uniformly discrete, that is, for every  $n$  there is  $\varepsilon_n > 0$  such that the distance of any two distinct members of  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is  $> \varepsilon_n$ .*

**Proof.** A theorem of Stone says that every open cover of a metric space  $X$  admits a  $\sigma$ -discrete open refinement. The proof (see, e.g., [10, Proof of Theorem 4.4.1]) actually shows that every open cover of  $X$  has an open refinement of the form  $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_n$ , where each  $\mathcal{U}_n$  is uniformly discrete. Now let  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{W}_n$  be such that  $\mathcal{W}_n$  is an open refinement of a cover by balls of radius  $1/n$  and  $\mathcal{W}_n$  is a countable union of uniformly discrete families. Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is easily seen to be an open base.  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.** The proof of Theorem 1.2 uses the well known fact stating that the space  $\ell_1(\Gamma)$  has the Schur property (that is any convergent sequence in the weak topology is also a convergent sequence in the norm topology) for every set  $\Gamma$ , see [11]. This implies that any weakly compact set of  $\ell_1(\Gamma)$  is also norm compact.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_n$  be a base of open sets for the norm topology on  $\ell_1(2^\omega)$  such that the distance between every two distinct members of  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is  $> 1/k_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (here we have used Lemma 4.4).

Given  $r > 0$ , define

$$U_r = \ell_1(2^\omega) \setminus r\mathbf{B}_{\ell_1(2^\omega)}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}_r$  be a countable family of weakly closed subsets of  $U_r$  such that  $\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_r} \text{int}_w F = U_r$  (Lemma 4.2).

Let  $\mathcal{F}_r = \{F_r^m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

Given  $n, m, i \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$L(i, n) := M\left(\frac{i+2}{10k_n}, \frac{1}{5k_n}\right) = \left\{x \in \ell_1(2^\omega) : \frac{i}{10k_n} < \|x\| \leq \frac{i+2}{10k_n}\right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}(n, m, i) = \{B \cap F_{i/10k_n}^m \cap L(i, n) : B \in \mathcal{B}_n\}.$$

**Claim 4.6.** For every  $n, m, i \in \mathbb{N}$ , the family  $\mathcal{C}(n, m, i)$  is discrete in the weak topology.

**Proof.** Note that the union of  $\mathcal{C}(n, m, i)$  is contained in the weakly closed set

$$F_{i/10k_n}^m \cap ((i+2)/10k_n)\mathbf{B}_{\ell_1(2^\omega)},$$

therefore it is enough to show that every point of this set has a weak neighborhood meeting at most one set from  $\mathcal{C}(n, m, i)$ .

Fix  $x \in F_{i/10k_n}^m \cap ((i+2)/10k_n)\mathbf{B}_{\ell_1(2^\omega)} \subset L(i, n)$ . By Lemma 4.3, there exists a weakly open set  $V$  such that  $x \in V$  and

$$\text{diam}(V \cap L(i, n)) \leq 4/5k_n < 1/k_n.$$

The set  $V$  can intersect at most one  $B \in \mathcal{B}_n$ , as  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is  $1/k_n$ -discrete.  $\square$

Let  $O(r) = \{x \in \ell_1(2^\omega) : \|x\| < r\}$  and define

$$\mathcal{D}(n) = \{B \cap O(1/5k_n) : B \in \mathcal{B}_n\}.$$

Note that actually  $\mathcal{D}(n)$  contains at most one nonempty set (because  $2/5k_n < 1/k_n$ ), therefore it is certainly discrete in the weak topology.

Define

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{C}(n, m, i) : n, m, i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\mathcal{D}(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Then the family  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete with respect to the weak topology. It remains to show that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $k$ -network in  $\ell_1(2^\omega)$  with the weak topology.

Fix a weakly compact set  $K$  contained in a weakly open set  $U \subset \ell_1(2^\omega)$ . It follows from Remark 4.5 that  $K$  is also compact in the norm topology. Choose a finite  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{B}$  such that  $K \subset \bigcup \mathcal{E} \subset U$ . Choose  $n_0$  such that  $\mathcal{E} \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{n_0} \mathcal{B}_n$ .

For  $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $N(i, n) := \text{int}(L(i, n))$ . Note that, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the space  $\ell_1(2^\omega)$  is covered by  $O(1/5k_n)$  and the sets  $N(i, n)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Given  $B \in \mathcal{B}_n$ , by Lemma 4.2 we have that

$$B = \bigcup \left\{ B \cap \text{int}_w(F_{i/10k_n}^m) \cap N(i, n) : m, i \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \cup (B \cap O(1/5k_n)).$$

Therefore the family

$$\bigcup_{n \leq n_0} \left( \left\{ B \cap \text{int}_w(F_{i/10k_n}^m) \cap N(i, n) : B \in \mathcal{B}_n \cap \mathcal{E}, m, i \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \cup \{B \cap O(1/5k_n) : B \in \mathcal{B}_n \cap \mathcal{E}\} \right)$$

covers  $K$  and consists of norm open sets, so it has a finite subfamily covering  $K$ . Hence, for any  $n \leq n_0$ , we can find  $i_0(n)$  and  $m_0(n)$  such that the finite subfamily

$$\mathcal{F} := \bigcup_{n \leq n_0} \left( \left\{ B \cap F_{i/10k_n}^m \cap L(i, n) : B \in \mathcal{B}_n \cap \mathcal{E}, m \leq m_0(n), i \leq i_0(n) \right\} \cup \{B \cap O(1/5k_n) : B \in \mathcal{B}_n \cap \mathcal{E}\} \right)$$

of  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies  $K \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{E} \subset U$ . Thus the  $\sigma$ -locally finite family  $\mathcal{A}$  is also a  $k$ -network for  $\ell_1(2^\omega)$  in the weak topology.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.7.** *The space  $\ell_1(\mathbb{R})$  endowed with the weak topology is not normal.*

**Proof.** Suppose for a contradiction that  $\ell_1(\mathbb{R})$  with the weak topology is a normal space. Then the square  $\ell_1^2(\mathbb{R})$  of  $\ell_1(\mathbb{R})$  with the weak topology  $\omega$  is also normal (note that  $\ell_1^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\ell_1(\mathbb{R})$  endowed with the weak topologies are homeomorphic). Now Corson's lemma [7, Lemma 7] applies to derive that every  $\omega$ -discrete set in  $\ell_1(\mathbb{R})$  is countable, which clearly leads to a contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark 4.8.** Recall that Foged in [12] constructed already a non-normal space which is an  $\aleph$ -space. Our example of such a space seems to be however very natural and uses a well known Banach space  $\ell_1(\mathbb{R})$ . Also O'Meara [22] gave an example (unpublished) of an  $\aleph$ -space which is not paracompact. The authors thank Professor Gary Gruenhage for providing references included in the above remark.

Notice also that the proof of Theorem 1.2 essentially uses the fact that  $\ell_1(\mathbb{R})$  has the Schur property (see Remark 4.5). Therefore it is natural to ask:

**Question 4.9.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach space with the Schur property and satisfy (i)–(iii) of Proposition 3.4. Is  $E$  an  $\aleph$ -space in the weak topology?*

Taking into account Remark 4.5, every separable Banach space with the Schur property in the weak topology is an  $\aleph_0$ -space.

## 5. Interplay between weakly $\aleph$ and weakly $\aleph_0$ -Fréchet spaces

Recall that an lcs  $E$  is called *trans-separable* if for each neighborhood of zero  $U$  in  $E$  there exists a countable subset  $N$  of  $E$  such that  $E = N + U$ . Clearly for metrizable lcs trans-separability and separability are equivalent concepts.

**Lemma 5.1.** *(See [18, Corollary 6.8].) The strong dual of an lcs  $E$  is trans-separable if and only if every bounded set in  $E$  is metrizable in the weak topology of  $E$ .*

Recall that a Fréchet lcs  $E$  satisfies the *density condition* if every bounded set in  $E'$  (with the strong topology) is metrizable (cf. [18, Proposition 6.16]). The class of such spaces includes Fréchet–Montel locally convex spaces and quasinormable Fréchet locally convex spaces. The latter class contains all Banach spaces, as well as every  $(FS)$ -space (see [5]). In [13] we proved the following

**Proposition 5.2.** *(See [13].) Let  $E$  be a Fréchet lcs and  $E'$  be its strong dual. Then:*

- (i) *If  $E'$  is separable, then  $E$  is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space.*
- (ii) *If  $E$  is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space not containing a copy of  $\ell_1$ , then  $E'$  is trans-separable.*
- (iii) *If  $E$  is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space, then  $E'$  is trans-separable if and only if every bounded set in  $E$  is Fréchet–Urysohn in the weak topology of  $E$ .*
- (iv) *If  $E$  satisfies the density condition and does not contain a copy of  $\ell_1$ , then  $E$  is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space if and only if  $E'$  is separable.*
- (v) *If  $E$  does not contain a copy of  $\ell_1$ , then every bounded set in  $E$  is Fréchet–Urysohn in  $\sigma(E, E')$ .*

**Corollary 5.3.** *A reflexive Fréchet lcs  $E$  is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space if and only if  $E$  is separable (if and only if  $E$  is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space).*

**Proof.** As  $E$  is reflexive,  $(E', \sigma(E', E))$  is separable if and only if  $(E', \beta(E', E))$  is separable. Assume that  $E$  is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space. Then  $(E', \sigma(E', E))$  is separable by Proposition 3.4, so  $(E', \beta(E', E))$  is separable. By Proposition 5.2(i) the space  $E$  is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space. In particular,  $E$  is separable. Conversely, if  $E$  is separable then  $(E', \sigma(E', E))$  is separable and Proposition 5.2(i) applies.  $\square$

Since every nuclear Fréchet space is a separable reflexive space, see [5], we have

**Corollary 5.4.** *Every nuclear Fréchet space is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space.*

We apply Theorem 2.2 to extend parts (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 5.2.

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $E$  be an lcs which is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space. Then the strong dual  $E'$  of  $E$  is trans-separable if and only if every bounded set in  $E$  is Fréchet–Urysohn in the weak topology of  $E$ . If in addition  $E$  is a Fréchet lcs not containing a copy of  $\ell_1$ , then  $E'$  is trans-separable.*

**Proof.** If  $E'$  is trans-separable, then every bounded set in  $E$  is metrizable in  $\sigma(E, E')$  by Lemma 5.1. Conversely, if every bounded set in  $E$  is Fréchet–Urysohn in  $\sigma(E, E')$ , apply [13, Lemma 3.2] to see that every bounded set  $B$  in  $E$  is a Fréchet–Urysohn  $(\alpha_4)$ -space in  $\sigma(E, E')$ . As a subspace of the  $\aleph$ -space  $(E, \sigma(E, E'))$ ,  $B$  is also an  $\aleph$ -space. By Theorem 2.2,  $B$  is metrizable. Finally, Lemma 5.1 applies to get the trans-separability of  $E'$ . The last assertion follows from the first one and Proposition 5.2(v).  $\square$

As the strong dual of a Banach space is normed, this theorem combined with Proposition 5.2 yield the following

**Corollary 5.6.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach space not containing a copy of  $\ell_1$ . Then  $E$  is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space if and only if  $E$  is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space if and only if its strong dual  $E'$  is separable.*

Any reflexive Fréchet lcs  $E$  does not contain a copy of  $\ell_1$ , but  $E$  may not satisfy the density condition [4]. The following result generalizes (iv) of Proposition 5.2.

**Theorem 5.7.** *Let  $E$  be a Fréchet lcs not containing a copy of  $\ell_1$  and satisfying the density condition. Then  $E$  is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space if and only if  $E$  is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space if and only if the strong dual of  $E'$  of  $E$  is separable.*

**Proof.** Clearly, the strong dual  $E'$  is a  $(DF)$ -space, see [25, Theorem 8.3.9], with a fundamental sequence  $(Q_n)_n$  of absolutely convex bounded subsets of  $E'$ . Since  $E$  satisfies the density condition, every bounded set  $Q_n$  is metrizable by [5, Corollary 3]. Assume now that  $E$  is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space. By Theorem 5.5 the strong dual  $E'$  is trans-separable. So the trans-separable lcs  $E'$  is covered by a sequence of metrizable bounded absolutely convex sets  $(Q_n)_n$ . Now Corollary 4.12 of [13] implies that  $E'$  is separable. As  $E'$  is separable, then  $E$  is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space by Proposition 5.2(i). Finally, if  $E$  is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space it is also a weakly  $\aleph$ -space.  $\square$

Since every Fréchet lcs  $C_c(X)$  satisfies the density condition (see [26] or [5]), we apply Theorem 5.7 to get

**Corollary 5.8.** *Let  $E := C_c(X)$  be a Fréchet lcs not containing a copy of  $\ell_1$ . Then  $E$  is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space if and only if  $E$  is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space if and only if the strong dual  $E'$  of  $E$  is separable.*

We need the following useful fact, see also [24] for (ii).

**Proposition 5.9.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space.*

- (i)  $X$  is a cosmic space if and only if  $X$  is a Lindelöf  $\sigma$ -space.
- (ii)  $X$  is an  $\aleph_0$ -space if and only if  $X$  is a Lindelöf  $\aleph$ -space.

**Proof.** Assume that  $X$  is a Lindelöf  $\sigma$ -space (respectively, an  $\aleph$ -space) with a  $\sigma$ -locally finite network (respectively,  $k$ -network)  $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}_n$ . It is enough to prove that every  $\mathcal{D}_n$  is countable. For every  $x \in X$  choose an open neighborhood  $U_x$  of  $x$  such that  $U_x$  intersects with a finite subfamily  $T(x)$  of  $\mathcal{D}_n$ . Since  $X$  is a Lindelöf space, we can find a countable set  $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} U_{x_k}$ . Hence any  $D \in \mathcal{D}_n$  intersects with some  $U_{x_k}$  and therefore  $D \in T(x_k)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{D}_n = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} T(x_k)$  is countable.

Conversely, if  $X$  is a cosmic (respectively, an  $\aleph_0$ -space), then  $X$  is Lindelöf (see [20]) and it is trivially a  $\sigma$ -space (respectively, an  $\aleph$ -space).  $\square$

**Corollary 5.10.** *Let  $E$  be a Lindelöf (in particular, separable metrizable) lcs. Then  $E$  is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space if and only if  $E$  is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space.*

Since every WCG Banach space is Lindelöf in its weak topology by Preiss–Talagrand’s theorem (see [11, Theorem 12.35]), we note also

**Corollary 5.11.** *Every WCG Banach space is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space if and only if it is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space.*

As  $C_c(X)$  is Lindelöf for any  $\aleph_0$ -space  $X$  by [20, Proposition 10.3], we obtain

**Corollary 5.12.** *Let  $X$  be an  $\aleph_0$ -space. Then  $C_c(X)$  is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space if and only if it is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space.*

## 6. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $X$  be a completely regular space containing a non-scattered compact subset  $K$ . Then  $C_c(X)$  is not a weakly  $\aleph$ -space.*

**Proof.** Suppose for a contradiction that  $C_c(X)$  is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space. As  $K$  is not scattered, there exists a continuous map  $f$  from  $K$  onto the interval  $[0, 1]$  (see [28, Theorem 8.5.4]). In particular, every compact subset of  $[0, 1]$  is the image  $f(L)$  for some compact set  $L$  in  $X$ . By the Tietze–Urysohn theorem (which holds for compact subsets of completely regular spaces, knowing that they have normal compactifications),  $f$  has an extension  $\tilde{f} : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . Clearly,  $\tilde{f}$  is also compact-covering, therefore the adjoint map  $h \mapsto h \circ \tilde{f}$  is an embedding of  $C[0, 1]$  into  $C_c(X)$ . Finally, if  $C[0, 1]$  were an  $\aleph$ -space in the weak topology, then by Corollary 5.12 it would be an  $\aleph_0$ -space, which leads to a contradiction with the following result of Corson (see [20, Proposition 10.8]): A space of the form  $C(K)$  with  $K$  compact is an  $\aleph_0$ -space in the weak topology if and only if  $K$  is countable.  $\square$

For example, as  $X = \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  has a non-scattered compact subset, the space  $C_c(X)$  is an  $\aleph_0$ -space [20], but  $C_c(X)$  is not a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space by Lemma 6.1. Observe that the condition on  $X$  to have only scattered (even countable) compact subsets is not enough for  $C_c(X)$  to be a weakly  $\aleph$ -space. This follows from the following

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space such that each compact subset of  $X$  is countable. If  $C_c(X)$  is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space, then  $X$  is separable. In particular, the space  $C_c[0, \omega_1)$  is not a weakly  $\aleph$ -space.*

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.4, there is a sequence  $\{K_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of (countable) compact subsets of  $X$  and a sequence  $\{\delta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of positive numbers such that

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{f \in C_c(X) : f(K_n) \subseteq [-\delta_n, \delta_n]\} = \{0\}. \quad (6.1)$$

Set  $A := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$ . Then  $A$  is countable. We show that  $A$  is dense in  $X$ . Indeed, if  $X \setminus \text{cl}_X(A) \neq \emptyset$ , we can find  $h \neq 0$  such that  $h(\text{cl}_X(A)) = \{0\}$ ; this contradicts (6.1). The last assertion follows from the fact that  $[0, \omega_1)$  is a non-separable locally compact normal space (see [10, 3.1.27]).  $\square$

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $X$  be a completely regular space. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  contains a non-scattered compact subset.
- (ii)  $C_c(X)$  contains a copy of  $\ell_1$ .
- (iii)  $C_c(X)$  contains a separable Banach space  $B$  with non-separable dual.

*So, every compact subset of  $X$  is scattered if and only if  $C_c(X)$  does not contain a copy of  $\ell_1$ .*

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Assume that  $X$  contains a non-scattered compact set  $K$ . As it was shown in the proof of Lemma 6.1, the space  $C[0, 1]$  embeds into  $C_c(X)$ . It remains to note that  $C[0, 1]$  contains  $\ell_1$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) is trivial. Let us prove that (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Suppose for a contradiction that every compact subset of  $X$  is scattered. Denote by  $\mathcal{K}$  the set of all compact subsets of  $X$ . Then  $C_c(X)$  can be treated as a subspace of the product  $E := \prod_{K \in \mathcal{K}} C_c(K)$ . Then, by [8, Theorem 4.1 and the first claim of the proof], the space  $B$  is embedded in the finite product  $F_k := C(K_{i_1}) \times \cdots \times C(K_{i_k})$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . On the other hand, since  $F_k = C(K_{i_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus K_{i_k})$  and the topological direct sum  $K := K_{i_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus K_{i_k}$  is compact and scattered,  $F_k$  is Asplund by [11, Theorem 12.29] (i.e. every separable subspace of  $C(K)$  has separable dual). Thus  $F_k$  does not contain  $B$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

We recall that the countable product of  $\aleph_0$ -spaces is an  $\aleph_0$ -space (see [20]). Now we are ready to prove the main theorem.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let  $(K_n)_n$  be a fundamental sequence of compact sets in  $X$ . Then  $C_c(X)$  is embedded in the product  $\prod_n C(K_n)$ .

If  $X$  is countable, each space  $K_n$  is metrizable and scattered, so  $C_c(X)$  is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space by Proposition 5.2(i). Thus  $C_c(X)$  is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space.

Assume that  $C_c(X)$  is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space. By Lemma 6.1,  $K_n$  is scattered for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We apply Lemma 6.3 to derive that  $C_c(X)$  does not contain a copy of  $\ell_1$ . Now Corollary 5.8 says that  $C_c(X)$  is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space.

Assume now that  $C_c(X)$  is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space. Lemma 6.1 shows that every compact subset of  $X$  is scattered. Since  $C_c(X)$  is separable,  $X$  admits a weaker metrizable topology; so all sets  $K_n$  are metrizable and scattered. Thus each  $K_n$  is countable and so is the whole space  $X$ .  $\square$

Theorem 1.1 combined with [19] provides concrete Banach spaces  $C(K)$  which under the weak topology are  $\sigma$ -spaces but is not  $\aleph$ -spaces.

**Corollary 6.4.** *Let  $K$  be an uncountable separable compact space. If  $K$  is*

- (1) a linearly ordered space, or
- (2) a dyadic space,

then  $C(K)$  endowed with the weak topology is a  $\sigma$ -space but not an  $\aleph$ -space. If additionally  $K$  is metrizable, then  $C(K)$  endowed with the weak topology is a cosmic space but is not an  $\aleph$ -space.

**Proof.** For both cases, by [Theorem 1.1](#), the space  $C(K)$  with the weak topology is not an  $\aleph$ -space.

(1): Let  $K$  be a compact space as assumed. By [\[19, Theorem 5.5\]](#) the space  $(C(K), \tau_p)$  is a  $\sigma$ -space, where  $\tau_p$  is the pointwise topology on  $C(K)$ . Moreover, by [Lemma 5.4](#) and [Lemma 2.3.1](#) of [\[19\]](#) the space  $C(K)$  admits a  $\sigma$ -discrete collection in  $(C(K), \tau_p)$  which is a network in  $C(K)$ . Hence  $C(K)$  with the weak topology is a  $\sigma$ -space.

(2): By [\[19, Lemma 2.3.1 and Lemma 5.10\]](#) there exists a  $\sigma$ -discrete family in  $(C(2^{2^\omega}), \tau_p)$  which is a network in  $C(2^{2^\omega})$ . Hence the space  $C(2^{2^\omega})$  endowed with the weak topology is a  $\sigma$ -space. Since  $K$  is a continuous image of  $2^{2^\omega}$ , the space  $C(K)$  embeds into  $C(2^{2^\omega})$  for the weak topology. This proves the general case.

Assume now that  $K$  is metrizable. Then  $C(K)$  is a Polish space. So  $C(K)$  endowed with the weak topology is a cosmic space by [\[20\]](#) but is not an  $\aleph$ -space by [Theorem 1.1](#).  $\square$

**Remark 6.5.** Let  $K$  be a compact space. Then  $C(K)$  is weakly cosmic if and only if  $C(K)$  is separable if and only if  $K$  is metrizable. So, if  $K$  satisfies (1) or (2) of [Corollary 6.4](#) but is not metrizable (for example, if  $K$  is the Bohr compactification of a countably infinite abelian group; in this case  $K$  satisfies (2) but is not metrizable) we obtain a non-trivial example of Banach spaces  $B$  such that  $(B, \sigma(B, B'))$  is a  $\sigma$ -space but  $(B, \sigma(B, B'))$  is neither cosmic nor an  $\aleph$ -space.

**Corollary 6.6.** Let  $X$  be a locally compact and paracompact space. Then  $C_c(X)$  is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space if and only if  $X$  is countable.

**Proof.** By the assumption on  $X$ , there exists a family  $\{X_t : t \in T\}$  of locally compact and  $\sigma$ -compact spaces such that  $X := \bigoplus_{t \in T} X_t$ , see [\[10, 5.1.27\]](#). So  $C_c(X) = \prod_{t \in T} C_c(X_t)$  and each  $C_c(X_t)$  is a Fréchet space.

Assume that  $C_c(X)$  is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space. Then  $C_c(X_t)$  is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space and hence  $X_t$  is countable by [Theorem 1.1](#) for every  $t \in T$ . As any compact subset of  $C_c(X)$  is metrizable by [Proposition 3.4\(i\)](#), the set  $T$  is countable. Thus  $X$  is countable. Conversely, if  $X$  is countable,  $C_c(X)$  is a Fréchet space and [Theorem 1.1](#) applies.  $\square$

Since  $\ell_\infty = C(\beta\mathbb{N})$ , [Theorem 1.1](#) provides

**Corollary 6.7.** A Banach space containing a copy of  $\ell_\infty$  is not a weakly  $\aleph$ -space.

**Corollary 6.8.** Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Then the Banach space  $C_0(X)$  of continuous functions on  $X$  vanishing at infinity is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space if and only if  $X$  is countable.

**Proof.** Let  $K$  be the one-point compactification of  $X$ . Then  $C(K) = C_0(X) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ , therefore [Theorem 1.1](#) applies.  $\square$

**Question 6.9.** Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space. Is it true that  $X$  is countable provided that  $C_c(X)$  is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space?

## 7. $C_c(X)$ over a countable $\aleph_0$ -space $X$ for the weak topology

This section is motivated by the previous one, especially by [Question 6.9](#). Let  $\mu$  be a ( $\sigma$ -additive real-valued regular) measure on a Tychonoff space  $X$ . The variation and the norm of  $\mu$  are denoted by  $|\mu|$  and  $\|\mu\|$  respectively. We shall use the following well known fact (see for example [\[17, 7.6.5\]](#)).

**Fact 7.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space. Then:*

- (i)  $(C_c(X))'$  can be identified with the space of all measures on  $X$  with compact support.
- (ii)  $(C_p(X))'$  can be identified with the space of measures with finite support in  $X$ .

If  $X$  is a countable  $\aleph_0$ -space, the space  $C(X)$  is an  $\aleph_0$ -space both in the compact-open and the pointwise topology (see [20]). The next theorem shows that the same holds also for the weak topology on  $C_c(X)$ .

**Theorem 7.2.** *If  $X$  is a countable  $\aleph_0$ -space, then  $C_c(X)$  is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space.*

**Proof.** Set  $E := C_c(X)$  and let  $E_w$  be the space  $C_c(X)$  endowed with the weak topology. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a countable closed  $k$ -network in  $X$  closed under taking finite unions, and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a countable basis in  $\mathbb{R}$ . For every finite subset  $F = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  of  $X$ , every finite subfamily

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$$

of  $\mathcal{B}$ , each  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  and every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , set

$$A(F, \mathcal{U}, D, m) := \{f \in C(X) : f(x_i) \in U_i, 1 \leq i \leq n, \text{ and } f(D) \subset [-m, m]\}. \quad (7.1)$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the countable family of all subsets of  $E$  of the form (7.1). By [16, Theorem 1], in order to prove the theorem it is enough to show that the family  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the following claim.

**Claim 7.3.** *For every  $f_0 \in E$ , for every sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converging to  $f_0$  in  $E_w$  and any neighborhood  $W$  of  $f_0$  in  $E_w$ , there exists  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $f_0 \in A \subset W$  and  $f_n \in A$  for almost all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

Without loss of generality we may assume that  $W$  is of the standard form, i.e. there are measures  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_s \in E'$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$W = \{f \in E : |\mu_i(f - f_0)| < \varepsilon, 1 \leq i \leq s\}.$$

Set  $K := \bigcup_{i=1}^s \text{supp}(\mu_i)$ . So  $K$  is a compact subset of  $X$  by Fact 7.1(i).

Let  $\{D'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an enumeration of the family  $\{D' \in \mathcal{D} : K \subseteq D'\}$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , set  $D_n := \bigcap_{i=1}^n D'_i$ . It follows that the decreasing sequence of sets  $\{D_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to the compact set  $K$  in the sense that each neighborhood  $O(K)$  of  $K$  contains all but finitely many sets  $D_n$ .

*Step 1.* Let us show that there are  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|f_i(x)| < m, \quad \forall x \in D_m, \quad \forall i \geq k. \quad (7.2)$$

Indeed, assuming the converse we choose a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , with  $x_n \in D_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and a sequence  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  such that

$$|f_{i_n}(x_n)| > n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (7.3)$$

Since  $\{D_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to the compact set  $K$ , all accumulation points of the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are in  $K$ . In other words, the set

$$K' := K \cup \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is compact. As the restriction map  $f \mapsto f|_{K'}$  is continuous, we obtain that the sequence  $S := \{f_{i_n}|_{K'}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in the Banach space  $C(K')$  converges to  $f_0|_{K'}$  in the weak topology of  $C(K')$ . Thus  $S$  is bounded, that is there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$|f_{i_n}(x)| < C, \quad \forall x \in K', \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In particular,  $|f_{i_n}(x_n)| < C$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , that contradicts (7.3). This proves (7.2).

*Step 2.* Fix  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that (7.2) holds. For every  $1 \leq i \leq s$  take a finite subset  $F_i$  of  $\text{supp}(\mu_i)$  such that

$$|\mu_i|(\text{supp}(\mu_i) \setminus F_i) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3m}, \tag{7.4}$$

and for every  $x_{i,j} \in F_i$  choose  $U_{i,j} \in \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$f_0(x_{i,j}) \in U_{i,j} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{diam}(U_{i,j}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3|F_i| \cdot \|\mu_i\|}. \tag{7.5}$$

If  $x_{i,j} = x_{k,l}$  for some  $1 \leq i < k \leq s$ , we shall suppose that  $U_{i,j} = U_{k,l}$ . Finally we set

$$A := \{f \in C(X) : f(x_{i,j}) \in U_{i,j}, \quad x_{i,j} \in F_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s; \quad f(D_m) \subset [-m, m]\}.$$

Clearly,  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . For each  $f \in A$  and every  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , (7.4) and (7.5) imply

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_i(f - f_0)| &\leq \sum_{x_{i,j} \in F_i} |f(x_{i,j}) - f_0(x_{i,j})| \cdot \|\mu_i\| \\ &\quad + \sum_{x_{i,j} \in \text{supp}(\mu_i) \setminus F_i} |f(x_{i,j}) - f_0(x_{i,j})| \cdot |\mu_i(\{x_{i,j}\})| \\ &< |F_i| \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{3|F_i| \cdot \|\mu_i\|} \cdot \|\mu_i\| + 2m \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{3m} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $A \subset W_0$ , and (7.2) shows that  $f_i(D_m) \subset [-m, m]$  for every  $i \geq k$ . Since  $f_n \rightarrow f_0$  also in the pointwise topology, we obtain that  $f_n \in A$  for all sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This proves Claim 7.3 and hence also the theorem.  $\square$

Consequently, the space  $C_c(X)$  is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space for any metrizable and countable space  $X$ . We end this section with the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 7.4.** *Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space. Then  $C_c(X)$  is a weakly  $\aleph$ -space if and only if  $C_c(X)$  is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space if and only if  $X$  is a countable  $\aleph_0$ -space.*

### 8. One application

Let  $E$  be a separable Banach space and  $S$  its closed unit ball endowed with the weak topology of  $E$ . In [9, Theorem A] Edgar and Wheeler proved that  $S$  is completely metrizable if and only if  $S$  is a Polish space if and only if  $S$  is metrizable and every closed subset of  $S$  is a Baire space. We supplement this result.

For this purpose we introduce a property which by [20, Theorem 11.4] is stronger than being an  $\aleph_0$ -space. We say that a topological space  $X$  is an  $\aleph_1$ -space if  $X$  is a continuous image under a compact-covering map from a Polish space  $Y$ . Every closed subspace of an  $\aleph_1$ -space is also an  $\aleph_1$ -space.

**Proposition 8.1.** *Let  $E$  be a separable Banach space.*

- (i) *If  $E$  does not contain a copy of  $\ell_1$ , then the closed unit ball  $S$  of  $E$  is a Polish space in the weak topology of  $E$  if and only if  $E$  is a weakly  $\aleph_1$ -space.*
- (ii) *If  $E$  contains a copy of  $c_0$ , then  $E$  is not a weakly  $\aleph_1$ -space.*

**Proof.** (i) We denote by  $S_w$  the closed unit ball  $S$  endowed with the weak topology. Assume that  $S_w$  is a Polish space. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  set  $S_n := nS_w$  and let  $Y := \bigoplus_n S_n$  be the topological direct sum of the sequence  $(S_n)_n$  of Polish spaces. Denote by  $T$  the canonical mapping from  $Y$  onto  $E_w := (E, \sigma(E, E'))$ . Since every compact set of  $E_w$  is contained in some  $S_n$ , the map  $T$  is continuous and compact-covering. Conversely, assume that  $E$  is a weakly  $\aleph_1$ -space and  $T : Y \rightarrow E_w$  is a continuous compact-covering map. Denote by  $B(x, r)$  the closed ball in  $Y$  of radius  $r$  centered at  $x$ . For a countable dense sequence  $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $Y$  and each  $\alpha = (n_k) \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , set  $K_\alpha := \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_k} B(x_j, k^{-1})$ . Then  $\{K_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$  is a family of compact sets in  $Y$  covering  $Y$  with  $K_\alpha \subset K_\beta$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$ , and such that every compact set in  $Y$  is contained in some  $K_\alpha$ . Set  $W_\alpha := T(K_\alpha)$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Since  $T$  is compact-covering and continuous, the sets  $W_\alpha$  form a compact covering of  $E_w$  such that every  $\sigma(E, E')$ -compact set is contained in some  $W_\alpha$ . On the other hand,  $E_w$  is an  $\aleph_0$ -space, so we apply Corollary 5.6 to deduce that the strong dual  $E'$  is separable. Hence  $S_w$  is metrizable (see Lemma 5.1) and separable. Now by Christensen's theorem, see [18, Theorem 6.1], the space  $S_w$  is a Polish space.

(ii) The closed unit ball  $B$  of  $c_0$  is metrizable and separable in the weak topology. On the other hand, by [9, Theorem A, Examples (3)]  $B$  is not a Polish space in the weak topology. Now the proof of (i) (involving Christensen's theorem) applies to complete case (ii).  $\square$

**Remark 8.2.** Note that  $\ell_1$  is a weakly  $\aleph_1$ -space (by Schur's property) but the unit ball in  $\ell_1$  is not a Polish space, see [9, Example 9]. So the assumption on  $E$  in item (i) that  $E$  does not contain a copy of  $\ell_1$  is essential. Recall also that for a Banach space  $E$  with separable bidual  $E''$  the unit ball  $S$  in  $E$  is a Polish space in the weak topology by Godefroy's theorem, see [11, Theorem 12.55].

**Remark 8.3.** Let  $K$  be a countably infinite compact space. Then  $C(K)$  contains a copy of  $c_0$ . Hence  $C(K)$  is not a weakly  $\aleph_1$ -space by (ii), but  $C(K)$  is a weakly  $\aleph_0$ -space by Theorem 1.1.

## References

- [1] R. Arens, A topology for spaces of transformations, *Ann. of Math.* 47 (1946) 480–495.
- [2] T.O. Banakh, V.I. Bogachev, A.V. Kolesnikov,  $k^*$ -Metrisable spaces and their applications, *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)* 155 (2008) 475–522.
- [3] T. Banakh, L. Zdomskyy, The topological structure of (homogeneous) spaces and groups with countable  $cs^*$ -character, *Appl. Gen. Topol.* 5 (2004) 25–48.
- [4] K.D. Bierstedt, J. Bonet, Density conditions in Fréchet and (DF)-spaces, *Rev. Mat. Complut.* 2 (1989) 59–75.
- [5] K.D. Bierstedt, J. Bonet, Some aspects of the modern theory of Fréchet spaces, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Math. RACSAM* 97 (2003) 159–188.
- [6] B. Cascales, J. Orihuela, A biased view of topology as a tool in functional analysis, in: K.P. Hart, J. van Mill, P. Simon (Eds.), *Recent Progress in Topology III*, Springer, 2014, pp. 93–165.
- [7] H.H. Corson, The weak topology of a Banach space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 101 (1961) 207–230.
- [8] J. Diestel, S.A. Morris, S.A. Saxon, Varieties of linear topological spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 172 (1972) 207–230.
- [9] G.A. Edgar, R.F. Wheeler, Topological properties of Banach spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* 115 (1984) 317–350.
- [10] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [11] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos, J. Pelant, V. Zizler, *Functional Analysis and Infinite-Dimensional Geometry*, CMS Books Math./Ouvrages Math. SMC, 2001.
- [12] L. Foged, A non-normal  $k$ -and- $\aleph$  space, *Topology Appl.* 22 (1986) 223–240.
- [13] S. Gabrielyan, J. Kąkol, A. Kubzdela, M. Lopez Pellicer, On topological properties of Fréchet locally convex spaces with the weak topology, *Topology Appl.* (2015), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2015.05.075>, in press.
- [14] Z.M. Gao,  $\aleph$ -space is invariant under perfect mappings, *Questions Answers Gen. Topology* 5 (1987) 271–279.
- [15] G. Gruenhage, Generalized metric spaces, in: *Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology*, North-Holland, New York, 1984, pp. 423–501.

- [16] J.A. Guthrie, A characterization of  $\aleph_0$ -spaces, *Appl. Gen. Topol.* 1 (1971) 105–110.
- [17] H. Jarchow, *Locally Convex Spaces*, B.G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981.
- [18] J. Kąkol, W. Kubiś, M. Lopez-Pellicer, *Descriptive Topology in Selected Topics of Functional Analysis*, Dev. Math., Springer, 2011.
- [19] W. Marciszewski, R. Pol, On Banach spaces whose norm-open sets are  $F_\sigma$ -sets in the weak topology, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 350 (2009) 708–722.
- [20] E. Michael,  $\aleph_0$ -spaces, *J. Math. Mech.* 15 (1966) 983–1002.
- [21] P.J. Nyikos, Metrizability and Fréchet–Urysohn property in topological groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 83 (1981) 793–801.
- [22] P. O’Meara, A new class of topological spaces, Dissertation, University of Alberta, 1966.
- [23] P. O’Meara, A metrization theorem, *Math. Nachr.* 45 (1970) 69–72.
- [24] P. O’Meara, On paracompactness in function spaces with the compact-open topology, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 29 (1971) 183–189.
- [25] P. Pérez Carreras, J. Bonnet, *Barrelled Locally Convex Spaces*, North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 131, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [26] A. Peris, Quasinormable spaces and the problem of topologies of Grothendieck, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* 19 (1994) 167–203.
- [27] M. Sakai, Function spaces with a countable  $cs^*$ -network at a point, *Topology Appl.* 156 (2008) 117–123.
- [28] Z. Semadeni, *Banach Spaces of Continuous Functions*, Monogr. Mat., vol. 55, PWN—Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1971.