

## The Ascoli property for function spaces and the weak topology of Banach and Fréchet spaces

by

S. GABRIYELYAN (Beer-Sheva), J. KAŁKOL (Poznań and Praha)  
and G. PLEBANEK (Wrocław)

**Abstract.** Following Banach and Gabrielyan (2016) we say that a Tychonoff space  $X$  is an Ascoli space if every compact subset  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $C_k(X)$  is evenly continuous; this notion is closely related to the classical Ascoli theorem. Every  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space, hence any  $k$ -space, is Ascoli.

Let  $X$  be a metrizable space. We prove that the space  $C_k(X)$  is Ascoli iff  $C_k(X)$  is a  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space iff  $X$  is locally compact. Moreover,  $C_k(X)$  endowed with the weak topology is Ascoli iff  $X$  is countable and discrete.

Using some basic concepts from probability theory and measure-theoretic properties of  $\ell_1$ , we show that the following assertions are equivalent for a Banach space  $E$ : (i)  $E$  does not contain an isomorphic copy of  $\ell_1$ , (ii) every real-valued sequentially continuous map on the unit ball  $B_w$  with the weak topology is continuous, (iii)  $B_w$  is a  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space, (iv)  $B_w$  is an Ascoli space.

We also prove that a Fréchet lcs  $F$  does not contain an isomorphic copy of  $\ell_1$  iff each closed and convex bounded subset of  $F$  is Ascoli in the weak topology. Moreover we show that a Banach space  $E$  in the weak topology is Ascoli iff  $E$  is finite-dimensional. We supplement the last result by showing that a Fréchet lcs  $F$  which is a quojection is Ascoli in the weak topology iff  $F$  is either finite-dimensional or isomorphic to  $\mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , where  $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ .

**1. Introduction.** Several topological properties of function spaces have been intensively studied for many years (see for instance [1, 17, 20] and references therein). In particular, various topological properties generalizing metrizability attracted a lot of attention. Let us mention, for example, the Fréchet–Urysohn property, sequentiality, the  $k$ -space property and the  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space property (all relevant definitions are given in Section 2 below). It is well known that

metric  $\Rightarrow$  Fréchet–Urysohn  $\Rightarrow$  sequential  $\Rightarrow$   $k$ -space  $\Rightarrow$   $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space,  
and none of these implications is reversible (see [9, 21]).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 46A04, 46B25, 54C35; Secondary 28C15.

*Key words and phrases*: Banach space, Fréchet space, weak topology, Ascoli property.

Received 4 May 2015.

Published online 19 May 2016.

**Referencia al Prometeo en página 137, ver dorso**

For topological spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , we denote by  $C_k(X, Y)$  the space  $C(X, Y)$  of all continuous functions from  $X$  into  $Y$  endowed with the compact-open topology. For  $\mathbb{I} = [0, 1]$ , Pol [30] proved the following remarkable result.

**THEOREM 1.1** ([30]). *Let  $X$  be a first countable paracompact space. Then the space  $C_k(X, \mathbb{I})$  is a  $k$ -space if and only if  $X$  is the topological sum of a locally compact Lindelöf space  $L$  and a discrete space  $D$ .*

Theorem 1.1 easily implies the following result noticed in [13]; see also [19], where McCoy proved that for a first countable paracompact  $X$  the space  $C_k(X)$  is a  $k$ -space if and only if  $X$  is hemicompact.

**COROLLARY 1.2.** *For a metric space  $X$ , the space  $C_k(X)$  is a  $k$ -space if and only if  $C_k(X)$  is a Polish space if and only if  $X$  is a Polish locally compact space.*

Note also that by a result of Pytkeev [29], for a topological space  $X$  the space  $C_k(X)$  is a  $k$ -space if and only if it is Fréchet–Urysohn. For a metrizable space  $X$  and the doubleton  $\mathbf{2} = \{0, 1\}$ , the topological properties of the space  $C_k(X, \mathbf{2})$  are thoroughly studied in [13].

For a topological space  $X$ , denote by  $\psi : X \times C_k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\psi(x, f) := f(x)$ , the evaluation map. Recall that a subset  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $C_k(X)$  is *evenly continuous* if the restriction of  $\psi$  onto  $X \times \mathcal{K}$  is jointly continuous, i.e. for any  $x \in X$ , each  $f \in \mathcal{K}$  and every neighborhood  $O_{f(x)} \subset Y$  of  $f(x)$  there exist neighborhoods  $U_f \subset \mathcal{K}$  of  $f$  and  $O_x \subset X$  of  $x$  such that  $U_f(O_x) := \{g(y) : g \in U_f, y \in O_x\} \subset O_{f(x)}$ .

Following [3], a Tychonoff (Hausdorff) space  $X$  is called an *Ascoli space* if each compact subset  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $C_k(X)$  is evenly continuous. In other words,  $X$  is Ascoli if and only if the compact-open topology of  $C_k(X)$  is Ascoli in the sense of [20, p. 45].

It is easy to see that a space  $X$  is Ascoli if and only if the canonical valuation map  $X \hookrightarrow C_k(C_k(X))$  is an embedding (see [3]). By Ascoli’s theorem [9, 3.4.20], each  $k$ -space is Ascoli. Moreover, Noble [23] proved that any  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space is Ascoli. We have the implication

$$k_{\mathbb{R}}\text{-space} \Rightarrow \text{Ascoli},$$

and this implication is not reversible [3].

The aforementioned results motivate the following general question.

**QUESTION 1.3.** *For which spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  is the space  $C_k(X, Y)$  Ascoli?*

Below we present the following partial answer to this question.

**THEOREM 1.4.** *For a metrizable space  $X$ ,  $C_k(X)$  is Ascoli if and only if  $C_k(X)$  is a  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space if and only if  $X$  is locally compact.*

Corson [7] started a systematic study of various topological properties of the weak topology of Banach spaces. The famous Kaplansky Theorem states that a normed space  $E$  in the weak topology has countable tightness; for further results see [8, 15]. Schlüchtermann and Wheeler [33] showed that an infinite-dimensional Banach space is never a  $k$ -space in the weak topology. We strengthen this result as follows.

**THEOREM 1.5.** *A Banach space  $E$  in the weak topology is Ascoli if and only if  $E$  is finite-dimensional.*

Below we generalize Theorem 1.5 to an interesting class of Fréchet locally convex spaces, i.e. metrizable and complete locally convex spaces (lcs). We say that a Fréchet lcs  $E$  is a *quojection* if it is isomorphic to the projective limit of a sequence of Banach spaces with surjective linking maps or, equivalently, if every quotient of  $E$  which admits a continuous norm is a Banach space (see [4]). Obviously a countable product of Banach spaces is a quojection. Moscatelli [22] gave examples of quojections which are not isomorphic to countable products of Banach spaces.

**THEOREM 1.6.** *Let a Fréchet lcs  $E$  be a quojection. Then  $E$  in the weak topology is Ascoli if and only if  $E$  is either finite-dimensional or isomorphic to the product  $\mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , where  $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ .*

Since every Fréchet lcs  $C_k(X)$  is a quojection (see the survey [5]), Theorem 1.6 yields the following

**COROLLARY 1.7.** *For a Fréchet lcs  $C_k(X)$ , the space  $C_k(X)$  in the weak topology is Ascoli if and only if  $X$  is countable and discrete.*

Let  $E$  be a Banach space; denote by  $B_w$  the closed unit ball  $B = B_E$  in  $E$  endowed with the weak topology of  $E$ . Schlüchtermann and Wheeler [33] showed that some topological properties of  $B_w$  are closely related to the isomorphic structure of  $E$ :

**THEOREM 1.8** ([33]). *The following conditions for a Banach space  $E$  are equivalent:*

- (a)  $B_w$  is Fréchet–Urysohn;
- (b)  $B_w$  is sequential;
- (c)  $B_w$  is a  $k$ -space;
- (d)  $E$  contains no isomorphic copy of  $\ell_1$ .

Therefore it seems to be natural to verify whether there exists a Banach space  $E$  containing a copy of  $\ell_1$  and such that  $B_w$  is Ascoli or a  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space. We answer this question in the negative, by proving the following extension of Theorem 1.8.

**THEOREM 1.9.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach space and  $B_w$  its closed unit ball with the weak topology. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $B_w$  is an Ascoli space;
- (ii)  $B_w$  is a  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space;
- (iii) every sequentially continuous real-valued map on  $B_w$  is continuous;
- (iv)  $E$  does not contain a copy of  $\ell_1$ .

The proof of (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv), given in Proposition 4.5 below, uses basic properties of stochastically independent measurable functions. We also present a result related to (ii): for Banach spaces containing an isomorphic copy of  $\ell_1$  we provide, in a sense, a canonical example of a sequentially continuous but not continuous function on  $B_w$ . Our construction builds on measure-theoretic properties of  $\ell_1$ -sequences of continuous functions (see Example 5.2 below).

For Fréchet lcs we supplement Theorem 1.8 by proving the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.10.** *For a Fréchet lcs  $E$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $E$  contains no isomorphic copy of  $\ell_1$ ;
- (ii) each closed and convex bounded subset of  $E$  is Ascoli in the weak topology.

Theorems 1.9–1.10 heavily depend on our result stating that the closed unit ball  $B$  of  $\ell_1$  in the weak topology is not an Ascoli space (Proposition 4.1).

**2. The Ascoli property for function spaces. Proof of Theorem 1.4.** We start from the definitions of the following well-known notions. A topological space  $X$  is called

- *Fréchet–Urysohn* if for any cluster point  $a \in X$  of a subset  $A \subset X$  there is a sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset A$  which converges to  $a$ ;
- *sequential* if for each non-closed subset  $A \subset X$  there is a sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset A$  converging to some point  $a \in \bar{A} \setminus A$ ;
- a  *$k$ -space* if for each non-closed subset  $A \subset X$  there is a compact subset  $K \subset X$  such that  $A \cap K$  is not closed in  $K$ ;
- a  *$k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space* if a real-valued function  $f$  on  $X$  is continuous if and only if its restriction  $f|_K$  to any compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  is continuous.

Recall that the family of subsets

$$[C; \epsilon] := \{f \in C_k(X) : |f(x)| < \epsilon \forall x \in C\},$$

where  $C$  is a compact subset of  $X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , forms a basis of open neighborhoods at the zero function  $\mathbf{0} \in C_k(X)$ . Below we give a simple sufficient condition for a space  $X$  not to be Ascoli.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Assume a Tychonoff space  $X$  admits a family  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i : i \in I\}$  of open subsets of  $X$ , a subset  $A = \{a_i : i \in I\} \subset X$  and a point  $z \in X$  such that*

- (i)  $a_i \in U_i$  for every  $i \in I$ ;
- (ii)  $|\{i \in I : C \cap U_i \neq \emptyset\}| < \infty$  for each compact subset  $C$  of  $X$ ;
- (iii)  $z$  is a cluster point of  $A$ .

*Then  $X$  is not an Ascoli space.*

*Proof.* For every  $i \in I$ , take a continuous function  $f_i : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f_i(a_i) = 1$  and  $f_i(X \setminus U_i) = \{0\}$ . Set  $\mathcal{K} := \{f_i : i \in I\} \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

We claim that  $\mathcal{K}$  is a compact subset of  $C_k(X)$  and  $\mathbf{0}$  is a unique cluster point of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Indeed, let  $C$  be a compact subset of  $X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . By (ii), the set  $J := \{i \in I : C \cap U_i \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite. So, if  $i \notin J$ , then  $f_i(C) = \{0\}$ . Hence  $f_i \in [C; \epsilon]$  for every  $i \in I \setminus J$ . This means that  $\mathcal{K}$  is a compact set with the unique cluster point  $\mathbf{0}$ .

We show that  $\mathcal{K}$  is not evenly continuous considering  $\mathbf{0}$ ,  $z$  and  $O = (-1/2, 1/2)$ . By the above claim, any neighborhood  $U_{\mathbf{0}} \subset \mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathbf{0}$  contains almost all functions  $f_i$ , and, by (iii), any neighborhood  $O_z$  of  $z$  contains infinitely many points  $a_i$ . So, there is  $m \in I$  such that  $f_m \in U_{\mathbf{0}}$  and  $a_m \in O_z$ . Since  $f_m(a_m) = 1$ , we see that  $U_{\mathbf{0}}(O_z) \not\subset O$ . Hence  $\mathcal{K}$  is not evenly continuous. Thus  $X$  is not Ascoli. ■

The next corollary follows also from [3, Proposition 5.11(1)].

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space with a unique cluster point  $z$  and such that every compact subspace of  $X$  is finite. Then  $X$  is not an Ascoli space.*

*Proof.* Since every  $x \in X$ ,  $x \neq z$ , is isolated, we set  $I = A = X \setminus \{z\}$  and  $U_x = \{x\}$  for  $x \in A$ . Now Proposition 2.1 applies. ■

The proof of the next proposition is a modification of the proof of an assertion in [30, Section 5].

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let  $X$  be a first countable paracompact space. If  $X$  is not locally compact, then  $C_k(X)$  contains a countable family  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_s\}_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$  of open subsets in  $C_k(X)$  and a countable subset  $A = \{a_s\}_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_k(X, \mathbb{I})$  such that*

- (i)  $a_s \in U_s$  for every  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (ii) if  $K \subset C_k(X)$  is compact, then  $\{s \in \mathbb{N} : U_s \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite;
- (iii) the zero function  $\mathbf{0}$  is a cluster point of  $A$ .

*In particular, the spaces  $C_k(X)$  and  $C_k(X, \mathbb{I})$  are not Ascoli.*

*Proof.* Suppose for a contradiction that  $X$  is not locally compact and let  $x_0 \in X$  be a point with no compact neighborhood. Take open bases  $\{V'_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$

and  $\{W_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  at  $x_0$  such that

$$V'_i \supset \overline{W}_i \supset W_i \supset \overline{V}'_{i+1}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Set  $P'_i := \overline{V}'_i \setminus V'_{i+1}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since none of the sets  $V'_i$  is compact, there exists a sequence  $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$  such that  $P'_{k_i}$  is not compact and  $k_{i+1} > k_i + 1$ . Set  $P_i = P'_{k_i}$  and  $V_i = V'_{k_i}$ . Then  $\{P_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of closed, non-compact subsets of  $X$ ,  $\{V_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a decreasing open base at  $x_0$  and

$$(2.1) \quad P_i \subset \overline{V}_i \setminus \overline{W}_{k_{i+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{V}_{i+1} \subset W_{k_{i+1}}.$$

Fix  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $P_i$  is not compact, by [9, 3.1.23] there is a one-to-one sequence  $\{x_{j,i}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset P_i$  which is discrete and closed in  $X$ . Now the paracompactness of  $X$  and (2.1) imply that there exists an open sequence  $\{V_{j,i}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad x_{j,i} \in V_{j,i}, \quad V_{j,i} \cap \overline{V}_{i+1} = \emptyset, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \{V_{j,i}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is discrete in } X.$$

For every  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $1 \leq p < q$ , choose continuous functions  $f_{q,p} : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{q,p}(x_{q,p}) &= 1, & f_{q,p}(x_{q,q}) &= 0, & f_{q,p}(x_0) &= 1/p, \\ f_{q,p}(x) &\leq 1/p & \text{for } x &\notin V_{q,p}. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $A := \{f_{q,p} : 1 \leq p < q < \infty\}$  and  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{q,p} : 1 \leq p < q < \infty\}$ , where  $U_{q,p}$  is the set of all functions  $h \in C_k(X)$  satisfying

$$(2.4) \quad |h(x_{q,p}) - 1| < \frac{1}{4^{p+q}}, \quad \left| h(x_0) - \frac{1}{p} \right| < \frac{1}{4^{p+q}}, \quad |h(x_{q,q})| < \frac{1}{4^{p+q}}.$$

Let us show that  $A$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  are as desired. Clearly, (i) holds. Let us prove (ii).

Fix a compact subset  $K$  of  $C_k(X)$ . Let us first observe that

$$(2.5) \quad \exists p_0 \in \mathbb{N} : \quad \text{if } p \geq p_0 \text{ and } q > p, \text{ then } U_{q,p} \cap K = \emptyset.$$

Indeed, otherwise we would find sequences  $p_1 < q_1 < p_2 < q_2 < \dots$  and  $h_{q_i, p_i} \in U_{q_i, p_i} \cap K$ . Set

$$Z_1 := \{x_{q_i, p_i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{x_0\}.$$

From (2.1) it follows that  $Z_1$  is compact, and thus, by the Ascoli Theorem [9, 3.4.20], there exists  $r > 10$  such that if  $z', z'' \in Z_1 \cap \overline{V}_r$  and  $f \in K$ , then  $|f(z') - f(z'')| < 1/3$ . But since  $10 < r \leq p_r < q_r$  we obtain  $x_0, x_{q_r, p_r} \in Z_1 \cap \overline{V}_r$ . Hence, by (2.4), we have

$$|h_{q_r, p_r}(x_{q_r, p_r}) - h_{q_r, p_r}(x_0)| > \left(1 - \frac{1}{4^{20}}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{p_r} + \frac{1}{4^{20}}\right) > \frac{1}{3}.$$

Since  $h_{q_r, p_r} \in K$ , we get a contradiction.

We shall now prove that

$$(2.6) \quad \exists q_0 \in \mathbb{N} : \quad \text{if } q \geq q_0 \text{ and } 1 \leq p < p_0, \text{ then } U_{q,p} \cap K = \emptyset,$$

where  $p_0$  is defined in (2.5). Indeed, set

$$Z_2 := \{x_{j,i} : 1 \leq j \leq i < \infty\} \cup \{x_0\}.$$

Then  $Z_2$  is compact by (2.1). Again by the Ascoli Theorem, there exists  $q_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $z', z'' \in Z_2 \cap \overline{V}_{q_0}$  and  $f \in K$  we have  $|f(z') - f(z'')| < 1/(4p_0)$ . Then  $q_0$  satisfies (2.6), since otherwise there would exist  $q \geq q_0$  and  $1 \leq p < p_0$  such that  $U_{q,p} \cap K \neq \emptyset$ . Fix  $h_{q,p} \in U_{q,p} \cap K$ . Then  $x_0, x_{q,q} \in Z_2 \cap \overline{V}_{q_0}$ , and by (2.3) and (2.4),

$$|h_{q,p}(x_{q,q}) - h_{q,p}(x_0)| > \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{4^{p+q}}\right) - \frac{1}{4^{p+q}} > \frac{1}{3p} > \frac{1}{4p_0},$$

which gives a contradiction. Now (2.5) and (2.6) immediately imply (ii).

Now we prove (iii). Fix a compact subset  $Z \subset X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $p_0$  such that  $1/p_0 < \epsilon$ . By (2.2), we can find  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $Z \cap V_{j,p_0} = \emptyset$  for every  $j \geq j_0$ . Take  $q_0 = p_0 + j_0$ . Then  $f_{q_0,p_0} \in A$ , and for  $z \in Z$  we have  $z \notin V_{q_0,p_0}$ , and thus, in accordance with (2.3),  $f_{q_0,p_0}(z) \leq 1/p_0 < \epsilon$ . Thus  $f_{q_0,p_0} \in [Z; \epsilon]$ .

Finally,  $C_k(X)$  and  $C_k(X, \mathbb{I})$  are not Ascoli by Proposition 2.1. ■

The next corollary proved by R. Pol solves Problem 6.8 in [3].

**COROLLARY 2.4** ([31]). *For a separable metrizable space  $X$ ,  $C_k(X)$  is Ascoli if and only if  $X$  is locally compact.*

*Proof.* If  $C_k(X)$  is Ascoli, then  $X$  is locally compact by Proposition 2.3. Conversely, if  $X$  is a separable metrizable locally compact space, then  $C_k(X)$  is even a Polish space. ■

Recall that a family  $\mathcal{N}$  of subsets of a topological space  $X$  is called a *network* in  $X$  if, whenever  $x \in U$  with  $U$  open in  $X$ , then  $x \in N \subset U$  for some  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ . A space  $X$  is called a  $\sigma$ -space if it is regular and has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite network. Any metrizable space is a  $\sigma$ -space by the Nagata–Smirnov Metrization Theorem.

Now Theorem 1.4 follows from the following theorem in which the equivalence of (i) and (ii) is well-known.

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a first countable paracompact  $\sigma$ -space. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is a locally compact metrizable space;
- (ii)  $X = \bigoplus_{i \in \kappa} X_i$ , where all  $X_i$  are separable metrizable locally compact spaces;
- (iii)  $C_k(X)$  is a  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space;
- (iv)  $C_k(X)$  is an Ascoli space;
- (v)  $C_k(X, \mathbb{I})$  is a  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space;
- (vi)  $C_k(X, \mathbb{I})$  is an Ascoli space.

In cases (i)–(vi), the spaces  $C_k(X)$  and  $C_k(X, \mathbb{I})$  are the products of families of Polish spaces.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) follows from [9, 5.1.27].

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii),(v): If  $X = \bigoplus_{i \in \kappa} X_i$ , then

$$C_k(X) = \prod_{i \in \kappa} C_k(X_i) \quad \text{and} \quad C_k(X, \mathbb{I}) = \prod_{i \in \kappa} C_k(X_i, \mathbb{I}),$$

where all the spaces  $C_k(X_i)$  and  $C_k(X_i, \mathbb{I})$  are Polish (see Corollary 1.2). So  $C_k(X)$  and  $C_k(X, \mathbb{I})$  are  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -spaces by [24, Theorem 5.6].

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) and (v) $\Rightarrow$ (vi) follow from [23]. The implications (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) and (vi) $\Rightarrow$ (i) follow from Proposition 2.3 and the fact that any locally compact  $\sigma$ -space is metrizable by [25]. ■

Note that Theorem 2.5 holds true for first countable stratifiable spaces since any stratifiable space is a paracompact  $\sigma$ -space (see [16, Theorems 5.7 and 5.9]).

**3. Proofs of Theorems 1.5 and 1.6.** Following Arhangel'skii [1, II.2], we say that a topological space  $X$  has *countable fan tightness at a point*  $x \in X$  if for any sets  $A_n \subset X$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , with  $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{A_n}$  there are finite sets  $F_n \subset A_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $x \in \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n}$ ; and  $X$  has *countable fan tightness* if it has countable fan tightness at each point. Clearly, if  $X$  has countable fan tightness, then it also has countable tightness.

For a topological space  $X$  we denote by  $C_p(X)$  the space  $C(X)$  endowed with the topology of pointwise convergence.

For a lcs  $E$ , denote by  $E'$  the dual space of  $E$ . The space  $E$  endowed with the weak topology  $\sigma(E, E')$  is denoted by  $E_w$ . The closure of a subset  $A \subset E$  in  $\sigma(E, E')$  is denoted by  $\overline{A}^w$ . If  $E$  is a metrizable lcs, then  $X := (E', \sigma(E', E))$  is  $\sigma$ -compact by the Alaoglu–Bourbaki Theorem. Since  $E_w$  embeds into  $C_p(X)$ , Theorem II.2.2 of [1] immediately implies the following result noticed in [15].

**FACT 3.1** ([15]). *If  $E$  is a metrizable lcs, then  $E_w$  has countable fan tightness.*

Denote the unit sphere of a normed space  $E$  by  $S_E$ . Theorem 1.5 immediately follows from the next proposition.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *Let  $E$  be a normed space. Then  $E$  with the weak topology is Ascoli if and only if it is finite-dimensional.*

*Proof.* We show that  $E_w$  is not Ascoli for any infinite-dimensional normed space  $E$ .

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $A_n$  be a countable subset of  $nS$  such that  $0 \in \overline{A_n}^w$  (see [10, Exercise 3.46] and Fact 3.1). Now Fact 3.1 implies that there are finite sets  $F_n \subset A_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $0 \in \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n}$ . Set  $A := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$ . Using the Hahn–Banach Theorem, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $a \in F_n$  take a weakly open neighborhood  $U_a$  of  $a$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad U_a \cap (n - 1/2)B = \emptyset.$$

Let us show that the family  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_a : a \in A\}$ , the set  $A$  and the zero  $0$  satisfy conditions (i)–(iii) of Proposition 2.1. Clearly, (i) and (iii) hold. To check (ii), let  $C$  be a compact subset of  $E_w$ . Then  $C \subset mB$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and (3.1) implies that the set

$$\{a \in A : U_a \cap C \neq \emptyset\} \subset \bigcup_{n \leq m} F_n$$

is finite. Finally, Proposition 2.1 implies that  $E_w$  is not Ascoli. ■

We also need the following

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** *Let  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  be an open continuous map of a topological space  $X$  onto a regular space  $Y$ . If  $X$  is Ascoli, then so is  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a compact subset of  $C_k(Y)$ . We have to show that  $\mathcal{K}$  is evenly continuous. Denote by  $p^* : C_k(Y) \rightarrow C_k(X)$ ,  $p^*(h) := h(p(x))$ , the adjointed continuous map.

Fix  $y_0 \in Y$ ,  $h_0 \in \mathcal{K}$  and an open neighborhood  $O_{z_0}$  of the point  $z_0 := h_0(y_0)$ . Set  $f := p^*(h_0) \in C_k(X)$  and take any preimage  $x_0$  of  $y_0$ , so  $p(x_0) = y_0$ . Since  $p^*(\mathcal{K})$  is a compact subspace of  $C_k(X)$  it is evenly continuous. Hence we can find neighborhoods  $U_f \subset p^*(\mathcal{K})$  of  $f$  and  $O_{x_0} \subset X$  of  $x_0$  such that  $U_f(O_{x_0}) \subset O_{z_0}$ . Set  $U_{h_0} := \mathcal{K} \cap (p^*)^{-1}(U_f)$  and  $O_{y_0} := p(O_{x_0})$  (which is a neighborhood of  $y_0$  as  $p$  is open). For every  $h \in U_{h_0}$  and each  $y \in O_{y_0}$ , take  $x \in O_{x_0}$  with  $p(x) = y$ , so we obtain

$$h(y) = h(p(x)) = p^*(h)(x) \in O_{z_0}.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{K}$  is evenly continuous, and therefore  $Y$  is Ascoli. ■

*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* Assume that  $E$  is infinite-dimensional. By Proposition 3.2 the space  $E$  is not normed. Let  $(p_n)_n$  be a sequence of continuous seminorms providing the topology of  $E$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $E_n := E/p_n^{-1}(0)$  be the quotient endowed with the norm topology  $p_n^* : [x] \mapsto p_n(x)$ , where  $[x]$  is the equivalence class of  $x$  in  $E$ . Since  $E$  is a quojection, the quotient  $E_n$  with the original quotient topology is a Banach space by [4, Proposition 3].

By Proposition 3.3 the space  $E_n$  endowed with the weak topology is Ascoli, so we apply Proposition 3.2 to deduce that each  $E_n$  is finite-dimensional. On the other hand,  $E$  embeds into the product  $\prod_n E_n$ . So  $E$ , being com-

plete, is isomorphic to a closed subspace of  $\mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Thus  $E$  is also isomorphic to  $\mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$  by [27, Corollary 2.6.5]. ■

*Proof of Corollary 1.7.* By Theorem 1.6 the space  $C_k(X)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , and since  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  does not admit a weaker locally convex topology (see [27, Corollary 2.6.5]),  $C_k(X) = C_p(X) = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Thus  $X$  is countable and discrete. The converse assertion is trivial. ■

We do not know whether there exists a Fréchet space  $E$  such that  $E_w$  is an Ascoli non-metrizable space.

REMARK 3.4. The first example of a non-distinguished Fréchet space (so also not a quojection) was given by Grothendieck and Köthe, and it was the Köthe echelon space  $\lambda_1(A)$  of order 1 for the Köthe matrix  $A = (a_n)_n$  defined on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  by  $a_n(i, j) := j$  if  $i < n$  and  $a_n(i, j) = 1$  otherwise (see [5] for more references). We do not know however if this space with the weak topology is an Ascoli space.

**4. Proof of Theorem 1.9.** To prove Theorem 1.9 we need the following key proposition, which proves, among other things, that the unit ball  $B_{\ell_1}$  in the weak topology is not Ascoli. In particular, since the  $k$ -space property is inherited by closed subspaces, this also shows that any Banach space  $E$  whose weak unit ball  $B_w$  is a  $k$ -space contains no isomorphic copy of  $\ell_1$ , i.e. the proposition proves (c) $\Rightarrow$ (d) in Schlüchtermann–Wheeler’s Theorem 1.8. A sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E$  is called *trivial* if there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_i = x_n$  for all  $i > n$ .

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let  $E = \ell_1$  and  $B_w$  its closed unit ball in the weak topology. Then there is a countable subset  $A$  of  $S_{\ell_1}$  and a family  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_a : a \in A\}$  of weakly open subsets of the unit ball  $B$  such that*

- (1)  $a \in U_a$  for any  $a \in A$ ;
- (2)  $\text{dist}(U_a, U_b) \geq 1/5$  for any distinct  $a, b \in A$ ;
- (3) the zero  $0$  is the unique cluster point of  $A$ ;
- (4)  $|\{a \in A : C \cap U_a \neq \emptyset\}| < \infty$  for every weakly compact subset  $C$  of  $B$ ;
- (5)  $\overline{A}^w = A \cup \{0\}$  and every weakly compact subset of  $\overline{A}^w$  is finite;
- (6)  $A$  contains a sequence which is equivalent to the unit basis of  $\ell_1$ ;
- (7)  $A$  has no non-trivial weakly fundamental subsequence;
- (8) the countable space  $\overline{A}^w$  and  $B_w$  are not Ascoli.

*Proof.* Let  $\{(e_i, e_i^*) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be the standard biorthogonal basis in  $\ell_1 \times \ell_1' = \ell_1 \times \ell_\infty$ . Following [15], set  $\Omega := \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : m < n\}$  and

$$A := \left\{ a_{m,n} := \frac{1}{2}(e_m - e_n) : (m, n) \in \Omega \right\} \subset S_{\ell_1}.$$

For every  $(m, n) \in \Omega$ , define the following weak neighborhood of  $a_{m,n}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} U_{m,n} &:= \left\{ x \in B : |\langle e_m^*, a_{m,n} - x \rangle| < \frac{1}{10} \text{ and } |\langle e_n^*, a_{m,n} - x \rangle| < \frac{1}{10} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ x = (x_i) \in B : \left| \frac{1}{2} - x_m \right| < \frac{1}{10} \text{ and } \left| \frac{1}{2} + x_n \right| < \frac{1}{10} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (1) holds trivially. Let us check (2). For every  $k \notin \{m, n\}$  and each  $x = (x_i) \in U_{m,n}$ , one has

$$|x_k| \leq \|x\| - |x_m| - |x_n| < 1 - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{10} \right) - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{10} \right) = \frac{1}{5}.$$

So, if  $(m, n) \neq (k, l)$  and  $x = (x_i) \in U_{m,n}$ , we obtain either

$$\left| \frac{1}{2} - x_k \right| > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{5} = \frac{3}{10} \text{ if } k \notin \{m, n\}, \quad \text{or} \quad \left| \frac{1}{2} + x_l \right| > \frac{3}{10} \text{ if } l \notin \{m, n\}.$$

Hence  $\text{dist}(U_{m,n}, U_{k,l}) \geq 3/10 - 1/10 = 1/5$  for all  $(m, n) \neq (k, l)$ . This proves (2). In particular, every point of  $A$  is weakly isolated.

To prove (3) we note first that  $0 \in \overline{A}^w$  by [15, Lemma 3.2]. We provide a proof of this result to keep the paper self-contained. Let  $U$  be a neighborhood of 0 of the canonical form

$$U = \{x \in \ell_1 : |\langle \chi_k, x \rangle| < \epsilon, \text{ where } \chi_k = (\chi_k(i))_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in S_{\ell_\infty} \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq s\}.$$

Let  $I$  be an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $1 \leq k \leq s$ , either  $\chi_k(i) > 0$  for all  $i \in I$ , or  $\chi_k(i) = 0$  for all  $i \in I$ , or  $\chi_k(i) < 0$  for all  $i \in I$ . Take a natural number  $N > 1/\epsilon$ . Since  $I$  is infinite, by induction, one can find  $(m, n) \in \Omega$  satisfying the following condition: for every  $1 \leq k \leq s$  there is  $0 < t_k \leq N$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{t_k - 1}{N} \leq \min \{|\chi_k(m)|, |\chi_k(n)|\} \leq \max \{|\chi_k(m)|, |\chi_k(n)|\} \leq \frac{t_k}{N}.$$

Then, by the construction of  $I$ , we obtain

$$|\langle \chi_k, a_{m,n} \rangle| < 1/N < \epsilon \quad \text{for every } 1 \leq k \leq s.$$

Thus  $a_{m,n} \in U$ , and hence  $0 \in \overline{A}^w$ .

Now fix a non-zero  $z = (z_i) \in \ell_1$  and consider the following three cases.

(a) There is  $z_i \notin \{-1/2, 0, 1/2\}$ , so  $z \notin A$ . Set

$$\epsilon := \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ |z_i|, \left| z_i - \frac{1}{2} \right|, \left| z_i + \frac{1}{2} \right| \right\}, \quad U := \{x \in \ell_1 : |\langle e_i^*, z - x \rangle| < \epsilon\}.$$

Clearly,  $U \cap A = \emptyset$  and  $z \notin \overline{A}^w$ .

(b) Assume that  $z \notin A$  and  $z_i \in \{-1/2, 0, 1/2\}$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . So there are distinct  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $z_i = z_j \in \{-1/2, 1/2\}$ . Set

$$U := \{x \in \ell_1 : |\langle e_i^* + e_j^*, z - x \rangle| < 1/10\}.$$

By the definition of  $A$ , we obtain  $U \cap A = \emptyset$ , and hence  $z \notin \overline{A}^w$ .

(c) Assume that  $z \in A$ . Then  $z$  is not a cluster point of  $A$  because it is weakly isolated.

Now (a)–(c) prove (3). Let us prove (4). Fix a weakly compact subset  $C$  of  $\ell_1$ . Assuming that  $C \cap U_a \neq \emptyset$  for an infinite subset  $J \subset A$  we choose  $x_j \in C \cap U_j$  for every  $j \in J$ . Since  $\ell_1$  has the Schur property,  $C$  is also compact in the norm topology of  $\ell_1$ . So we can assume that  $x_j$  converges to some  $x_\infty \in C$  in the norm topology. But this contradicts (2), proving (4).

(5) immediately follows from (3) and (4).

(6): Clearly, the sequence  $\{a_{1,i}\}_{i>1} \subset A$  is equivalent to the unit basis of  $\ell_1$ .

(7): Assuming the converse let  $\{a_{m_i, n_i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a faithfully indexed weakly fundamental subsequence of  $A$ . Then only the next two cases are possible.

CASE 1: *There is  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  such that  $k = m_{i_1} = m_{i_2} = \dots$ .* Passing to a subsequence we can assume that  $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = k$  and  $k < n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ . Set

$$\chi := (\chi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell_\infty, \quad \text{where} \quad \chi_j = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } j \in \{n_2, n_4, \dots\}, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \notin \{n_2, n_4, \dots\}. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\chi \in S_{\ell_\infty}$  and

$$\langle \chi, a_{k, n_{2s}} - a_{k, n_{2s+1}} \rangle = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus the sequence  $\{a_{m_i, n_i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is not fundamental, a contradiction.

CASE 2:  *$m_i \rightarrow \infty$  and  $n_i \rightarrow \infty$ .* Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that

$$m_1 < n_1 < m_2 < n_2 < \dots$$

Defining  $\chi \in S_{\ell_\infty}$  as in Case 1, we obtain

$$\langle \chi, a_{m_{2s}, n_{2s}} - a_{m_{2s+1}, n_{2s+1}} \rangle = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus the sequence  $\{a_{m_i, n_i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is not weakly fundamental in this case either.

Therefore  $A$  does not have a weakly fundamental subsequence.

(8): The space  $\overline{A}^w$  is not Ascoli by (5) and Corollary 2.2, and  $B_w$  is not Ascoli by (1)–(4) and Proposition 2.1. ■

Recall that a (normalized) sequence  $(x_n)$  in a Banach space  $E$  is said to be equivalent to the standard basis of  $\ell_1$ , or simply to be an  $\ell_1$ -sequence, if for some  $\theta > 0$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i \right\| \geq \theta \sum_{i=1}^n |c_i|$$

for any natural number  $n$  and any scalars  $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . We also call such a sequence a  $\theta$ - $\ell_1$ -sequence if we want to specify the constant in the definition.

We need some measure-theoretic preparations. Let  $(T, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a probability space. Measurable functions  $g_n : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are said to be *stochastically independent with respect to  $\mu$*  if

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{n \leq k} g_n^{-1}(B_n)\right) = \prod_{n \leq k} \mu(g_n^{-1}(B_n))$$

for every  $k$  and any Borel sets  $B_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ; see e.g. Fremlin [11, 272] for basic facts concerning independence. Recall (see [11, 272Q]) that if integrable functions  $f, g : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are independent with respect to  $\mu$ , then  $\int_T f \cdot g \, d\mu = (\int_T f \, d\mu) \cdot (\int_T g \, d\mu)$ .

LEMMA 4.2. *Let  $(T, \Sigma, \mu)$  and  $(S, \Theta, \nu)$  be probability spaces and let  $\Phi : T \rightarrow S$  be a measurable mapping such that  $\Phi[\mu] = \nu$ , that is  $\mu(\Phi^{-1}(E)) = \nu(E)$  for every  $E \in \Theta$ . If  $(p_n)_n$  be a sequence of measurable functions  $S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is stochastically independent with respect to  $\nu$ , then the functions  $g_n = p_n \circ \Phi$  are stochastically independent with respect to  $\mu$ .*

Lemma 4.2 is standard and follows for instance from [11, Theorem 272G].

In the proof of the crucial Proposition 4.5 we essentially use the following version of the Riemann–Lebesgue lemma, which is mentioned in Talagrand’s [35, p. 3].

THEOREM 4.3. *Let  $(T, \Sigma, \mu)$  be any probability space and let  $(g_n)_n$  be a stochastically independent uniformly bounded sequence of measurable functions  $T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\int_T g_n \, d\mu = 0$  for every  $n$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_T f \cdot g_n \, d\mu = 0$$

for every bounded measurable function  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Finally, let us recall the following fact (see e.g. [35, 1-2-5]).

LEMMA 4.4. *Let  $\Phi$  be a continuous surjection of a compact space  $K$  onto a compact space  $L$ . If  $\lambda$  is a regular probability Borel measure on  $L$  then there exists a regular probability Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $K$  such that  $\Phi[\mu] = \lambda$ , that is,  $\mu(\Phi^{-1}(B)) = \lambda(B)$  for every Borel set  $B \subseteq L$ .*

PROPOSITION 4.5. *If a Banach space  $E$  contains an isomorphic copy of  $\ell_1$ , then  $B_w$  is not an Ascoli space.*

*Proof.* The proof is in four steps.

STEP 1. Since the Hilbert cube  $H = [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$  is separable, one can find a continuous function  $\Phi_0$  from the discrete space  $\mathbb{N}$  onto a dense subset of  $H$ . By [9, Theorem 3.6.1], we can extend  $\Phi_0$  to a continuous map  $\Phi : \beta\mathbb{N} \rightarrow H$ . As  $\Phi_0(\mathbb{N})$  is dense in  $H$ , we obtain  $\Phi(\beta\mathbb{N}) = H$ . Let  $\pi_n : H \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  be the projection onto the  $n$ th coordinate, and let  $\lambda = \prod_n m_n$  be the product of

the normalized Lebesgue measures  $m_n$  on  $[-1, 1]$ . Then the sequence  $(\pi_n)$  is stochastically independent with respect to  $\lambda$  and

$$(4.2) \quad \int_H \pi_n d\lambda = \int_H \pi_n \pi_m d\lambda = 0, \quad \int_H \pi_n^2 d\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 x^2 dx = \frac{1}{3}$$

for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \neq m$ . Moreover,  $(\pi_n)_n$  is a  $1$ - $\ell_1$ -sequence in  $C(H)$ . Indeed, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and any scalars  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , set

$$x := (\text{sign}(c_1), \dots, \text{sign}(c_n), 0, \dots) \in H.$$

Then  $\sum_{i \leq n} c_i \pi_i(x) = \sum_{i \leq n} |c_i|$ . Thus  $(\pi_n)$  is a  $1$ - $\ell_1$ -sequence in  $C(H)$ .

STEP 2. Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\Phi[\mu] = \lambda$  (see Lemma 4.4). Set  $g_n := \pi_n \circ \Phi$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the sequence  $(g_n)$  is stochastically independent with respect to  $\mu$  by Lemma 4.2. As  $\Phi$  is surjective,  $(g_n)$  is also a  $1$ - $\ell_1$ -sequence in  $C(\beta\mathbb{N})$ .

STEP 3. Let  $Y$  be a subspace of  $E$  isomorphic to  $\ell_1$  and let  $T_1 : Y \rightarrow \ell_1$  be an isomorphism. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  choose  $x_n \in Y$  such that  $T_1(x_n) = e_n$ , where  $(e_n)$  is the standard coordinate basis in  $\ell_1$ . In turn, as  $(g_n)$  is a  $1$ - $\ell_1$ -sequence in  $C(\beta\mathbb{N})$ , there is an isometric embedding  $T_2 : \ell_1 \rightarrow C(\beta\mathbb{N})$ , sending  $e_n$  to  $g_n$ .

As the space  $C(\beta\mathbb{N})$  is  $1$ -injective, the operator  $T = T_2 \circ T_1 : Y \rightarrow C(\beta\mathbb{N})$  can be extended to an operator  $\tilde{T} : E \rightarrow C(\beta\mathbb{N})$  having the same norm (cf. [10, Proposition 5.10]).

STEP 4. Set  $d := \sup\{\|x_n\|_E : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\gamma := \sup\{\|\tilde{T}(x)\| : x \in dB_E\}$ . Let  $h_{m,n} = (g_m - g_n)/2$  for  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n > m$ , and set

$$V_{m,n} = \left\{ f \in \gamma B_{C(\beta\mathbb{N})} : \left| \int_{\beta\mathbb{N}} f \cdot g_i d\mu \right| > 1/4 \text{ for } i = m, n \right\}.$$

Denote by  $T^+$  the map  $\tilde{T}$  from  $E_w$  into  $C_w(\beta\mathbb{N})$ . Clearly,  $T^+$  is also continuous. Finally we set

$$A := \{a_{m,n} := (x_m - x_n)/2 : 1 \leq m < n\},$$

$$\mathcal{U} := \{U_{m,n} := (T^+)^{-1}(V_{m,n}) \cap dB_E : 1 \leq m < n\}.$$

Now the following claim finishes the proof.

CLAIM. *The ball  $dB_E$  is not Ascoli in the weak topology.*

To prove the claim it is enough to check (i)–(iii) of Proposition 2.1 for the set  $A$  and the family  $\mathcal{U}$ .

(i): To show that  $a_{m,n} \in U_{m,n}$  it is enough to prove that  $h_{m,n} \in V_{m,n}$ . But this follows from (4.2) since

$$2 \int_{\beta\mathbb{N}} h_{m,n} \cdot g_n d\mu = \int_{\beta\mathbb{N}} g_m \cdot g_n d\mu - \int_{\beta\mathbb{N}} g_n^2 d\mu = -\frac{2}{3} = -2 \int_{\beta\mathbb{N}} h_{m,n} \cdot g_m d\mu.$$

(iii): The zero function  $\mathbf{0}$  is the weak cluster point of  $A$  by Proposition 4.1.

Let us check (ii), i.e. if  $C \subseteq dB_E$  is weakly compact, then  $C$  can meet only a finite number of  $U_{m,n}$ 's. Suppose otherwise: let  $x_i \in C \cap U_{m_i, n_i}$ , where the pairs  $(m_i, n_i)$  are distinct. As  $m_i < n_i$  we may also assume that  $n_i \neq n_{i'}$  for  $i \neq i'$ . Since  $C$  is weakly compact, it is Fréchet–Urysohn by the Eberlein–Shmul'yan theorem [10, 3.109]. So we can further assume that the  $x_i$  converge weakly to some  $x \in C$ . Then also the functions  $f_i := T^+(x_i) \in V_{m_i, n_i}$  converge weakly to  $f := T^+(x) \in T^+(C) \subset \gamma B_{C(\beta\mathbb{N})}$ , and they are uniformly bounded on  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  and  $f_i \rightarrow f$  pointwise.

Take  $0 < \delta < 1/16(1 + \gamma + 2\gamma^2)$ . By Theorem 4.3, there is  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|\int_{\beta\mathbb{N}} f \cdot g_{n_i} d\mu| < \delta$  for all  $i > N_1$ . By the classical Egorov theorem,  $f_i$  converge almost uniformly to  $f$ , i.e. there is  $B \subseteq \beta\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mu(\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus B) < \delta$  and  $f_i$  converge uniformly to  $f$  on  $B$ . Take  $N_2 > N_1$  such that  $|f_i - f| < \delta$  on  $B$  for all  $i > N_2$ . Taking into account that  $|h| \leq \gamma$  for each  $h \in \gamma B_{C(\beta\mathbb{N})}$ , for every  $i > N_2$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\beta\mathbb{N}} f_i \cdot g_{n_i} d\mu \right| &\leq \left| \int_{\beta\mathbb{N}} f_i \cdot g_{n_i} d\mu - \int_{\beta\mathbb{N}} f \cdot g_{n_i} d\mu \right| + \left| \int_{\beta\mathbb{N}} f \cdot g_{n_i} d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\beta\mathbb{N}} |f_i - f| \cdot |g_{n_i}| d\mu + \delta \leq \int_B + \int_{\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus B} + \delta \\ &\leq \gamma \cdot \delta + 2\gamma^2 \cdot \delta + \delta = \delta(1 + \gamma + 2\gamma^2) < 1/16. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,  $f_i \in V_{m_i, n_i}$  implies  $|\int_{\beta\mathbb{N}} f_i \cdot g_{n_i} d\mu| > 1/4$ . This contradiction proves the claim. ■

To prove Theorem 1.9 we also need the following simple lemma.

LEMMA 4.6. *Let  $E$  be a Banach space and let  $B_w$  denote the unit ball of  $E$  equipped with the weak topology. For any function  $f : B_w \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $f$  is sequentially continuous on  $B_w$ ;
- (ii)  $f$  is continuous on every compact subset of  $B_w$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be sequentially continuous on  $B_w$  and let  $C$  be a compact subset of  $B_w$ . For any closed set  $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , the set  $F = f^{-1}(H) \cap C$  is sequentially closed in  $C$ . Hence  $F$  is closed in  $C$ , since  $C$ , as a weakly compact set, has the Fréchet–Urysohn property by the Eberlein–Shmul'yan theorem.

We have checked that (i) implies (ii); the reverse implication is obvious. ■

*Proof of Theorem 1.9.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) follows from Proposition 4.5. Theorem 1.8 implies (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) follows from Lemma 4.6. Finally, (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) holds by [23]. ■

REMARK 4.7. Banach [2] provided a different proof of the equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) in Theorem 1.9.

**5. On weakly sequentially continuous functions on the unit ball.**

Let  $E$  be a Banach space containing an isomorphic copy of  $\ell_1$  and let  $B_w$  denote the unit ball in  $E$  equipped with the weak topology. It follows from Theorem 1.9 that  $B_w$  is not a  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space, which, in view of Lemma 4.6, is equivalent to saying that there is a function  $\Phi : B_w \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is sequentially continuous but not continuous. We show below that such a function can be defined, in a sense, effectively by means of measure-theoretic properties of  $\ell_1$ -sequences of continuous functions.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let  $K$  be a compact space and let  $(g_n)$  be a normalized  $\theta$ - $\ell_1$ -sequence in the Banach space  $C(K)$ . Then there exists a regular probability measure  $\mu$  on  $K$  such that*

$$\int_K |g_n - g_k| d\mu \geq \theta/2 \quad \text{whenever } n \neq k.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(g_n)$  is  $\theta$ -equivalent to the standard basis  $(e_n)$  in  $\ell_1$ . Set

$$H = \overline{\text{conv}}(\{|g_n - g_k| : n \neq k\}) \subseteq C(K).$$

Note that it is enough to check that  $\|h\| \geq \theta/2$  for all  $h \in H$  since in that case, by the separation theorem, there is a norm-one  $\mu \in C(K)^*$  such that  $\int_K h d\mu \geq \theta/2$  for every  $h \in H$ . As  $h \geq 0$  for  $h \in H$ , we can then replace the signed measure  $\mu$  by its variation  $|\mu|$ .

In turn, the fact that  $\|h\| \geq \theta/2$  for  $h \in H$  is a consequence of the following.

CLAIM. *Suppose that  $n_i \neq k_i$  for  $i \leq p$ . Then for any convex coefficients  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$ ,*

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i |g_{n_i} - g_{k_i}| \right\| \geq \theta/2.$$

We shall verify the claim in two steps.

STEP 1. There is  $E \subseteq \{1, \dots, p\}$  such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in E} \alpha_i (e_{n_i} - e_{k_i}) \right\| \geq 1/2.$$

Indeed, if  $L$  denotes the Cantor set  $\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , then the projections  $\pi_n : L \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  form a sequence in  $C(L)$  which is a 1- $\ell_1$ -sequence, so we have an isometric embedding  $T : \ell_1 \rightarrow C(L)$ , where  $Te_n = \pi_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Write  $\lambda$  for the standard product measure on  $L$ . We calculate directly that  $\int_K |\pi_n - \pi_k| d\lambda = 1$  for  $n \neq k$  and therefore

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i |\pi_{n_i} - \pi_{k_i}| \right\| \geq \int_L \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i |\pi_{n_i} - \pi_{k_i}| d\lambda = 1.$$

Hence there is  $t \in L$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i |\pi_{n_i}(t) - \pi_{k_i}(t)| \geq 1$ . Examining the signs of summands we conclude that for some set  $E \subseteq \{1, \dots, p\}$  we have

$$\left| \sum_{i \in E} \alpha_i (\pi_{n_i}(t) - \pi_{k_i}(t)) \right| \geq 1/2.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i \in E} \alpha_i (e_{n_i} - e_{k_i}) \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{i \in E} \alpha_i (Te_{n_i} - Te_{k_i}) \right\| \\ &\geq \left| \sum_{i \in E} \alpha_i (\pi_{n_i}(t) - \pi_{k_i}(t)) \right| \geq 1/2. \end{aligned}$$

STEP 2. Taking a set  $E$  from Step 1 we conclude that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i (g_{n_i} - g_{k_i}) \right\| \geq \left\| \sum_{i \in E} \alpha_i (g_{n_i} - g_{k_i}) \right\| \geq \theta \cdot \left\| \sum_{i \in E} \alpha_i (e_{n_i} - e_{k_i}) \right\| \geq \theta/2.$$

This verifies the claim, and thus the proof is complete. ■

EXAMPLE 5.2. *Suppose that  $E$  is a Banach space containing an isomorphic copy of  $\ell_1$ . Then there is a function  $\Phi : B_w \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is sequentially continuous but not continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $K$  denote the dual unit ball  $B_{E^*}$  equipped with the weak\* topology. Write  $Ix$  for the function on  $K$  given by  $Ix(x^*) = x^*(x)$  for  $x^* \in K$ . Then  $I : E \rightarrow C(K)$  is an isometric embedding.

Since  $E$  contains a copy of  $\ell_1$ , there is a normalized sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $E$  which is a  $\theta$ - $\ell_1$ -sequence for some  $\theta > 0$ . Then the functions  $g_n = Ix_n$  form a  $\theta$ - $\ell_1$ -sequence in  $C(K)$ . By Proposition 5.1 there is a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $K$  such that  $\int_K |g_n - g_k| d\mu \geq \theta/2$  whenever  $n \neq k$ .

Define a function  $\Phi$  on  $E$  by  $\Phi(x) = \int_K |Ix| d\mu$ . If  $y_j \rightarrow y$  weakly in  $E$  then  $Iy_j \rightarrow Iy$  weakly in  $C(K)$ , i.e.  $(Iy_j)_j$  is a uniformly bounded sequence converging pointwise to  $Iy$ . Consequently,  $\Phi(y_j) \rightarrow \Phi(y)$  by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. Thus  $\Phi$  is sequentially continuous.

We now check that  $\Phi$  is not weakly continuous at 0 on  $B_w$ . Consider a basic weak neighborhood of  $0 \in B_w$  of the form

$$V = \{x \in B_w : |x_j^*(x)| < \varepsilon \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, r\}.$$

Then there is an infinite set  $N \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(x_j^*(x_n))_{n \in N}$  is a convergent sequence for every  $j \leq r$ . Hence there are  $n \neq k$  such that  $|x_j^*(x_n - x_k)| < \varepsilon$  for every  $j \leq r$ , which means that  $(x_n - x_k)/2 \in V$ . On the other hand,  $\Phi((x_n - x_k)/2) \geq \theta/4$ , which demonstrates that  $\Phi$  is not continuous at 0. ■

**6. Proof of Theorem 1.10 and final questions.** In order to prove Theorem 1.10 we need the following two results also of independent interest.

PROPOSITION 6.1 ([14]). *Let  $E$  be a metrizable lcs. Then every bounded subset of  $E$  is Fréchet–Urysohn in the weak topology of  $E$  if and only if every bounded sequence in  $E$  has a Cauchy subsequence in the weak topology of  $E$ .*

PROPOSITION 6.2 ([32]). *Let  $E$  be a complete lcs such that every bounded set in  $E$  is metrizable. Then  $E$  does not contain a copy of  $\ell_1$  if and only if every bounded sequence in  $E$  has a Cauchy subsequence in the weak topology of  $E$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 1.10.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): By Propositions 6.1 and 6.2 every bounded set  $A$  in  $E$  is even Fréchet–Urysohn in the weak topology of  $E$ . The converse implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) follows from Theorem 1.9. ■

We complete the paper with a few open questions. By Proposition 4.1, there is a countable (hence Lindelöf) non-Ascoli space  $A$ . So  $A$  is homeomorphic to a closed subspace of some  $\mathbb{R}^\kappa$ . As  $\mathbb{R}^\kappa$  is a  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space, we see that a  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space may contain a countable closed non-Ascoli subspace. So the  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space property and the Ascoli property are not preserved in general by closed subspaces.

QUESTION 6.3. *Let  $X$  be an Ascoli space such that every closed subspace of  $X$  is Ascoli. Is  $X$  a  $k$ -space?*

Arhangel’skii [9, 3.12.15] proved that a topological space  $X$  is a hereditarily  $k$ -space if and only if  $X$  is Fréchet–Urysohn.

QUESTION 6.4. *Let  $X$  be a hereditarily Ascoli space. Is  $X$  Fréchet–Urysohn?*

Let  $E = C_p(\omega_1) = \mathbb{R}^{\omega_1}$ . Then the lcs  $E$  is a  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space by [24, Theorem 5.6] and is not a  $k$ -space by [18, Problem 7.J(b)]. So the  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space property and the Ascoli property are not equivalent to the  $k$ -space property for  $C_p$ -spaces (see the Pytkeev and Gerlits–Nagy theorem [1, II.3.7]).

QUESTION 6.5. *For which Tychonoff spaces  $X$  is the space  $C_p(X)$  Ascoli (or a  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space)?*

It is well-known (see [1, III.1.2]) that, for a compact space  $K$ , the space  $C_p(K)$  is a  $k$ -space if and only if  $K$  is scattered. Below we generalize this result.

PROPOSITION 6.6. *Let  $K$  be a compact space. Then  $C_p(K)$  is a  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space if and only if  $K$  is scattered.*

*Proof.* If  $K$  is scattered, then  $C_p(K)$  is Fréchet–Urysohn, and we are done (see [1, Theorem III.1.2]). Now assume that  $K$  is not scattered. Then there is a continuous map  $p$  from  $K$  onto  $[0, 1]$  by [34, 8.5.4]. Let  $\lambda$  be the

Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ . Take a measure  $\mu$  on  $K$  such that  $p[\mu] = \lambda$  (see Lemma 4.4). Note that the measure  $\mu$  vanishes on points. If we define

$$\Psi(g) = \int_X \frac{|g|}{|g| + 1} d\mu,$$

then  $\Psi$  is easily seen to be sequentially continuous on  $C_p(K)$  by the Lebesgue theorem. This implies that  $\Psi$  is continuous on every compact subset  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $C_p(X)$  (recall that  $\mathcal{K}$  is Fréchet–Urysohn, [1, Theorem III.3.6]). On the other hand, it is easy to construct a family  $\mathfrak{G}$  of functions  $g : K \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\int_K g d\mu \geq 1/2$  and the zero function lies in the pointwise closure of  $\mathfrak{G}$  (see [1, Theorem II.3.5]). This means that  $\Psi$  is not continuous on  $C_p(K)$ . ■

REMARK 6.7. Let  $\kappa$  be a cardinal number endowed with the discrete topology. Then  $C_p(\kappa) = \mathbb{R}^\kappa$  is a  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space by [24]. Recall also that in a model of set theory without weakly inaccessible cardinals, any sequentially continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^\kappa$  is in fact continuous (see [28] for further references).

Theorem 1.4 and Proposition 6.6 motivate the following problem.

QUESTION 6.8. *Does there exist  $X$  such that  $C_k(X)$  or  $C_p(X)$  is Ascoli but is not a  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space?*

For a Tychonoff space  $X$  denote by  $L(X)$  (respectively,  $F(X)$  and  $A(X)$ ) the free locally convex space (respectively, the free or the free abelian topological group) over  $X$ .

QUESTION 6.9. *Let  $L(X)$  ( $F(X)$  or  $A(X)$ ) be an Ascoli space. Is  $X$  Ascoli?*

QUESTION 6.10. *For which metrizable spaces  $X$ , are the groups  $F(X)$  and  $A(X)$  Ascoli?*

In [12] the first named author proved that the free lcs  $L(X)$  over a Tychonoff space  $X$  is a  $k$ -space if and only if  $X$  is a discrete countable space.

QUESTION 6.11. *Let  $L(X)$  be an Ascoli space. Is  $X$  a discrete countable space?*

We do not know the answer even if “Ascoli” is replaced by a stronger assumption “ $L(X)$  is a  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space” (see [12, Question 3.6]).

**Acknowledgments.** The second named author was supported by the Center for Advanced Studies in Mathematics at Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, by Generalitat Valenciana, Conselleria d’Educació, Cultura i Esport, Spain, Grant PROMETEO/2013/058 and by GAČR project 16-34860L and RVO: 67985840.

The third named author was partially supported by NCN grant 2013/11/B/ST1/03596 (2014-2017).

The authors are greatly indebted to Professor R. Pol who sent to T. Banach and the first named author a solution of [3, Problem 6.8] (see Corollary 2.4). In [31], R. Pol noticed that it can be shown that the space  $C_k(M)$ , where  $M$  is the countable metric fan, contains a closed countable non-Ascoli subspace using ideas from [30]. Using this fact and stratifiability of metric spaces, for a separable metric space  $X$ , R. Pol proved that the space  $C_k(X)$  is Ascoli if and only if  $X$  is locally compact. We provide another proof of a more general result by modifying the proof in [30, Section 5] (see Proposition 2.3).

### References

- [1] A. V. Arhangel'skii, *Topological Function Spaces*, Math. Appl. 78, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1992.
- [2] T. Banach, *Fans and their applications in general topology, functional analysis and topological algebra*, arXiv:1602.04857 (2016).
- [3] T. Banach and S. Gabriyelyan, *On the  $C_k$ -stable closure of the class of (separable) metrizable spaces*, Monatsh. Math. 180 (2016), 39–64.
- [4] S. F. Bellenot and E. Dubinsky, *Fréchet spaces without Köthe quotients*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 273 (1982), 579–594.
- [5] K. D. Bierstedt and J. Bonnet, *Some aspects of the modern theory of Fréchet spaces*, Rev. R. Acad. Cien. Ser. A Mat. 97 (2003), 159–188.
- [6] C. R. Borges, *On stratifiable spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 17 (1966), 1–16.
- [7] H. Corson, *The weak topology of a Banach space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 101 (1961), 1–15.
- [8] G. A. Edgar and R. F. Wheeler, *Topological properties of Banach spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 115 (1984), 317–350.
- [9] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.
- [10] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos, J. Pelant and V. Zizler, *Banach Space Theory. The Basis for Linear and Nonlinear Analysis*, Springer, New York, 2010.
- [11] D. H. Fremlin, *Measure Theory, Vol. 2: Broad Foundations*, Torres Fremlin, 2001.
- [12] S. Gabriyelyan, *Free locally convex spaces and the  $k$ -space property*, Canad. Math. Bull. 57 (2014), 803–809.
- [13] S. Gabriyelyan, *Topological properties of function spaces  $C_k(X, \mathbf{2})$  over zero-dimensional metric spaces  $X$* , arXiv:1504.04198 (2015).
- [14] S. Gabriyelyan, J. Kąkol, A. Kubzdela and M. Lopez-Pellicer, *On topological properties of Fréchet locally convex spaces*, Topology Appl. 192 (2015), 123–137.
- [15] S. Gabriyelyan, J. Kąkol and L. Zdomskyy, *On topological properties of the weak topology of a Banach space*, J. Convex Anal. 24 (2017), to appear.
- [16] G. Gruenhage, *Generalized metric spaces*, in: Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, North-Holland, New York, 1984, 423–501.
- [17] J. Kąkol, W. Kubiś and M. Lopez-Pellicer, *Descriptive Topology in Selected Topics of Functional Analysis*, Developments Math., Springer, 2011.
- [18] J. L. Kelley, *General Topology*, Springer, New York, 1957.
- [19] R. A. McCoy, *Complete function spaces*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 8 (1983), 271–278.
- [20] R. McCoy and I. Ntantu, *Topological Properties of Spaces of Continuous Functions*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1315, Springer, 1988.

- [21] E. Michael, *On  $k$ -spaces,  $k_R$ -spaces and  $k(X)$* , Pacific J. Math. 47 (1973), 487–498.
- [22] V. B. Moscatelli, *Fréchet spaces without continuous norms and without bases*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 12 (1980), 63–66.
- [23] N. Noble, *Ascoli theorems and the exponential map*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 143 (1969), 393–411.
- [24] N. Noble, *The continuity of functions on Cartesian products*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 149 (1970), 187–198.
- [25] P. O’Meara, *A metrization theorem*, Math. Nachr. 45 (1970), 69–72.
- [26] A. Pełczyński, *On simultaneous extension of continuous functions*, Studia Math. 24 (1964), 157–161.
- [27] P. Pérez-Carreras and J. Bonet, *Barrelled Locally Convex Spaces*, North-Holland Math. Stud. 131, North-Holland, 1987.
- [28] G. Plebanek, *Remarks on measurable Boolean algebras and sequential cardinals*, Fund. Math. 143 (1993), 11–21.
- [29] E. G. Pytkeev, *On sequentiality of spaces of continuous functions*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 37 (1982), no. 5, 197–198 (in Russian).
- [30] R. Pol, *Normality in function spaces*, Fund. Math. 84 (1974), 145–155.
- [31] R. Pol, private communication, 2015.
- [32] W. Ruess, *Locally convex spaces not containing  $\ell_1$* , Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. 50 (2014), 531–558.
- [33] G. Schlüchtermann and R. F. Wheeler, *The Mackey dual of a Banach space*, Note Mat. 11 (1991), 273–287.
- [34] Z. Semadeni, *Banach Spaces of Continuous Functions*, Monografie Mat. 55, PWN–Polish Sci. Publ., Warszawa, 1971.
- [35] M. Talagrand, *Pettis integral and measure theory*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1984), no. 307, ix + 224 pp.

S. Gabrielyan  
Department of Mathematics  
Ben-Gurion University of the Negev  
Beer-Sheva, P.O. 653, Israel  
E-mail: saak@math.bgu.ac.il

G. Plebanek  
Instytut Matematyczny  
Uniwersytet Wrocławski  
50-384 Wrocław, Poland  
E-mail: grzes@math.uni.wroc.pl

J. Kąkol  
Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics  
A. Mickiewicz University  
61-614 Poznań, Poland  
and  
Institute of Mathematics  
Czech Academy of Sciences  
Žitna 25, Praha 1, Czech Republic  
E-mail: kakol@amu.edu.pl

