

Two classes of metrizable spaces ℓ_C -invariant

Manuel López Pellicer (IUMPA, UPV)

Joint work with Jerzy Kakol and Oleg Okunev

April 15, 2014

Prague, Institute of Mathematics

Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic

Project Prometeo I/2013/058



UNIVERSITAT
POLITÈCNICA
DE VALÈNCIA



GENERALITAT VALENCIANA
CONSELLERIA D'EDUCACIÓ, CULTURA I ESPORT

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Michael's results on \aleph_0 -spaces
- 3 Preservation of \aleph_0 and Polish spaces by ℓ_C -equivalence
- 4 Extension to spaces of pointwise countable type

Outline

1 Introduction

Introduction

Many properties of a Tychonoff space X have been characterized by properties of $C_p(X)$ or $C_c(X)$.

- Arhangel'skii question: Is metrizability ℓ_p -invariant in the class of first countable spaces?
- Partially answered by Valov for first countable Čech complete spaces.
- Complete metrizability is ℓ_p -invariant in the class of metrizable spaces (Baars, de Groot and Pelant).

The ℓ_c -invariance of separable metrizability and separable complete metrizability for spaces of pointwise countable type has been considered very recently by Kąkol, L-P and Okunev (JMAA 2014).

t-equivalence

ω (or \mathbb{N}^+) denotes the smallest infinite ordinal with the discrete topology and with the usual order.

Theorem

Two spaces X and Y are homeomorphic if and only if the topological rings $C_p(X)$ and $C_p(Y)$ are isomorphic. (Nagata)

Definition

X and Y are *t*-equivalent if $C_p(X)$ and $C_p(Y)$ are homeomorphic.

A property \mathcal{P} is preserved by *t*-equivalence if whenever X and Y are *t*-equivalent and X has \mathcal{P} then Y has also \mathcal{P} .

Velichko's theorem implies that *finite cardinality* is preserved by *t*-equivalence.

ℓ_p -equivalence

Definition

X and Y are ℓ_p -equivalent if $C_p(X)$ and $C_p(Y)$ are linearly homeomorphic.

\mathcal{P} is invariant by ℓ_p -equivalence if when X has property \mathcal{P} and X is ℓ_p -equivalent to Y then Y has the property \mathcal{P} too.

\mathcal{P} is preserved by ℓ_p -equivalence if and only if \mathcal{P} admits a description in terms of the linear topological structure of $C_p(X)$.

- The properties *hemicompact*, \aleph_0 , *Lindelöf*, *Lindelöf- Σ* , *K-analytic* and *analytic* are preserved by ℓ_p -equivalence.
- *Metrizability*, *local compactness*, *countable weight*, *normality* and *paracompactness* are not ℓ_p -invariant.

ℓ_c -equivalence

Definition

X and Y are ℓ_c -equivalent if $C_c(X)$ and $C_c(Y)$ are linearly homeomorphic.

S is ℓ_c -invariant if and only if S in X is characterized by a property of $C_c(X)$.

- For instance, Nachbin -Shirota theorem states that μ -spaces are preserved by ℓ_c -equivalence.

Definition

X ℓ_c -covers a space Y if there is a continuous open linear map from $C_c(X)$ onto $C_c(Y)$.

If X and Y are ℓ_c -equivalent, then each of the two covers the other.

ℓ_p -eq \implies ℓ_c -eq for μ -spaces

Theorem

For μ -spaces ℓ_p -equivalence implies ℓ_c -equivalence.

Proof.

$h : C_p(X) \rightarrow C_p(Y)$ lin hom $\implies h' : L_p(Y) \rightarrow L_p(X)$ lin hom.

Then $B \subset L_p(Y)$ is bounded $\iff h'(B)$ is bounded.

Then $h : (C(X), \beta(C_p(X), L_p(X))) \rightarrow (C(Y), \beta(C_p(Y), L_p(Y)))$
is lin hom.

Let Z be a μ -space and $\tau_c(Z)$ the top of $C_c(Z)$ then:

$$\tau_c(Z) \leq \beta(C_p(Z), L_p(Z)) \leq \beta(C_c(Z), C_c(Z)') = \tau_c(Z)$$



ℓ_p -eq $\not\Leftarrow$ ℓ_C -eq for μ -spaces

The converse is not true: (Milyutin and Pestov)

- $[0, 1]$ and $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ are ℓ_C -equivalent

but

- $[0, 1]$ and $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ are not ℓ_p -equivalent.

Outline

2 Michael's results on \aleph_0 -spaces

\aleph_0 -spaces: Elementary properties

Definition

\mathcal{N} is a k -network in X if $K \subset U$ (K compact, U open) $\implies K \subset \bigcup \{F : F \in \mathcal{F}\} \subset U$ for some finite family $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{N}$.
 X is \aleph_0 -space if it is regular and it has a countable k -network.

- Every \aleph_0 -space X is Lindelöf, hereditary separable and every open subset is an F_σ
- First-countable or locally compact \aleph_0 -spaces are separable and metrizable.
- \aleph_0 -space are hereditary by subspaces or countable products.

k -equivalence

Definition

X and Y are k -equivalent if there exists a bijection $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with f and f^{-1} preserving compact subsets. Two topologies on X are k -equivalent if they yield the same compact subsets.

- For (X, τ) , τ is k -equivalent to $\tau_k := \sup\{\tau_i : \tau_i \text{ } k\text{-equi. to } \tau\}$ (Tychonoff pr. th.). τ_k ($X_k := (X, \tau_k)$) is the k -topology (space) associated to τ ((X, τ)).
- (X, τ) is a k -space if $\tau = \tau_k$ (if and only if A is closed when $C \cap A$ is closed for each compact subset C)
- $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow Y$ is k -continuous if $f : (X, \tau_k) \rightarrow Y$ is continuous.
- If (X, τ) is a k -space k -equivalent to Y and f^{-1} is continuous then f is a homeomorphism.

Heritability of \aleph_0 -spaces by k -equivalence

Proposition

If \mathcal{J}_1 and \mathcal{J}_2 are two k -equivalent topologies, (X, \mathcal{J}_2) has a countable k -network if and only if (X, \mathcal{J}_1) does.

Proof.

Let \mathcal{P} be a \mathcal{J}_1 (not \mathcal{J}_2) countable k -network closed under finite intersections. Let (compact) $C \subset U_2$ (\mathcal{J}_2 -open) such that if $(P_n)_n$ is $\{P \in \mathcal{P} : C \subset P\}$, then there exists $x_n \in P'_n \setminus U_2$, with $P'_n = \bigcap \{P_m : 1 \leq m \leq n\}$, for each n .

If $C \subset U$ (\mathcal{J}_1 open) there exists $P'_{n(U)}$ such that $C \subset P'_{n(U)} \subset U$.
 $\{x_m : n(U) \leq m\} \subset U \implies C \cup \{x_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a compact set.
 Contradiction with U_1 (\mathcal{J}_1 open) such that

$$U_1 \cap [C \cup \{x_n : n \in \omega\}] = U_2 \cap [C \cup \{x_n : n \in \omega\}].$$

$C_c(X)$ \aleph_0 -spaces: Lema 1

If X is a Hausdorff space with countable k -network and if Y is and \aleph_0 -space then $C_c(X, Y)$ is and \aleph_0 -space (Michael).

Lemma

M (compact) $\subset X$. Then $\psi : M \times C_c(X) \rightarrow C_c(X)$ such that $\psi(x, f) = f(x)$ is continuous.

Proof.

Fix $(x, f) \in M \times C_c(X)$.

Let V be a neighborhood of $f(x)$ and D be a closed neighborhood of x in M such that $f(D) \subset V$.

\triangleright From $\psi((D \times W(D, V))) \subset V$, follows the continuity in (x, f) . □

$C_c(X)$ \aleph_0 -spaces: Lema 2

Lemma

K (compact) $\subset C_c(X)$ and U (open) $\subset \mathbb{R}$. If X is a k -space then $V := \{x \in X : K(x) \subset U\}$ is open.

Proof.

Let M (compact) $\subset X$. For

$$x_0 \in V \cap M = \{x \in M : K(x) \subset U\}$$

and $f \in K$ there exists a M -neighborhood D_f of x_0 and a neighborhood W_f of f such that $W_f(D_f) \subset U$.

$K \subset \cup \{W_{f_i} : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \implies K(\cap D_{f_i}) \subset U$ and then $\cap D_{f_i} \subset V \cap M$. $V \cap M$ is open in M . □

A property of normal spaces

Proposition

If $\cup\{A_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is an open covering of a normal space X , there exists an open covering $\cup\{B_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ such that

$$\bar{B}_i \subset A_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

Proof.

A_1 is an open neighborhood of the closed set

$X \setminus \cup\{A_i : 2 \leq i \leq n\}$.

There exists B_1 open: $X \setminus \cup\{A_i : 2 \leq i \leq n\} \subset B_1 \subset \bar{B}_1 \subset A_1$.

Hence $X = B_1 \cup \{A_i : 2 \leq i \leq n\}$.

After n -steps of an obvious induction process we get the

$B_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$.



$C_c(X)$ \aleph_0 -spaces: Lema 3

Lemma

Let \mathcal{S} be a subbase of the Hausdorff topological space X and let \mathcal{P} be a collection of subsets of X such that for each compact set C and each open set $U \in \mathcal{S}$ there exists $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $C \subset P \subset U$. Then X has a countable k -network.

$C_c(X)$ \aleph_0 -spaces: Lema 3

Proof.

Let \mathcal{R} be the family of finite unions of finite intersections of elements of \mathcal{P} .

Let C be a compact subset of X and let U be an open neighborhood of C .

If $U = \bigcap \{S_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, each $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$, then

$$C \subset P_i \subset S_i \implies C \subset \bigcap \{P_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \subset U.$$

The base \mathcal{B} generated by \mathcal{S} is the family formed by finite intersections of elements of \mathcal{S} .

By compactness $C \subset \bigcup \{A_j : 1 \leq j \leq p\}$, with $A_j \in \mathcal{B}$ and $A_j \subset U$ for each $1 \leq j \leq p$.

$$C = \bigcup \{\bar{B}_j : 1 \leq j \leq p\}, \text{ with } \bar{B}_j(\text{compact}) \subset A_j.$$

From $R_j \in \mathcal{R}$, with $\bar{B}_j \subset R_j \subset A_j$ for each j , follows $C \subset R \subset U$, with $R = \bigcup \{R_j : 1 \leq j \leq p\} \in \mathcal{R}$. □

$C_c(X)$ \aleph_0 -spaces

Theorem

If X is an \aleph_0 -space then $C_c(X)$ is an \aleph_0 -space.

Proof.

kX is an \aleph_0 -space and $C_c(X) \hookrightarrow C_c(kX)$. We may suppose that X is a k -space.

Let $\{Q_m : m \in \omega\}$ be a countable k -network in X and let $\mathcal{B} = \{B_n : n \in \omega\}$ be a base of the topology of \mathbb{R} .

For each compact subset K of $C_c(X)$, each compact subset M of X and each $B_n \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $K \subset W(M, B_n)$ we have $M \subset \{x \in X : K(x) \subset B_n\}$ and then there exists Q_m such that $M \subset Q_m \subset \{x \in X : K(x) \subset B_n\}$.

Hence $K \subset W(Q_m, B_n) \subset W(M, B_n)$.

Apply subbase lemma to $\mathcal{P} := \{W(Q_m, B_n) : (m, n) \in \omega^2\}$. □

Outline

- 3 Preservation of \aleph_0 and Polish spaces by ℓ_C -equivalence
 - Preservation of \aleph_0 -spaces by ℓ_C -equivalence
 - Preservation of Polish spaces by ℓ_C -equivalence

Introduction

- Main result in this section: \aleph_0 -spaces are preserved by ℓ_c -equivalence (Kąkol, L-P and Okunev 2014).
- Elementary properties:
 - 1 $C_p(C_p(X)) \hookrightarrow C_p(C_c(X))$ is an embedding. Therefore $\hat{\Delta}_X : X \rightarrow \hat{X} := \hat{\Delta}_X(X) (\subset C_p(C_c(X)))$ is an homeomorphism.
 - 2 Recall $\hat{\Delta}_X(x) = \hat{x}$ with $\hat{x}(f) = f(x)$ for each $f \in C(X)$
 - 3 The map $\tilde{\Delta}_X : X \rightarrow \tilde{X} := \tilde{\Delta}_X(X) (\subset C_c(C_c(X)))$ may be not continuous (top $C_c(C_c(X)) \succ$ top $C_p(C_c(X))$).
 - 4 The map $\tilde{\Delta}_X$ is continuous \iff if it is an embedding.

k -continuity of $\tilde{\Delta}_X$

Lemma

The map $\tilde{\Delta}_X$ is k -continuous. \hat{X} and \tilde{X} are k -equivalents (by $i : i(\hat{x}) = \tilde{x}, x \in X$). If X is a k -space then $\tilde{\Delta}_X$ is an embedding.

Proof.

Let M be a compact subset of X and $F = C_c(C_c(X)) \setminus W(K, V)$, where K is a compact subset of $C_c(X)$ and V is an open subset of \mathbb{R} .

Let $x = \lim_{l \in L} x_l$, with each $x_l \in M \cap \tilde{\Delta}_X^{-1}(F)$.

For each $l \in L$ fix $f_l \in K$ with $f_l(x_l) \notin V$.

We may suppose (compactness) that $(f_l : l \in L)$ converges uniformly on M to $f \in K$.

$\lim_{l \in L} f_l(x_l) = f(x) \implies f(x) \notin V \implies x \in M \cap \Delta_X^{-1}(F)$.

The map $\tilde{\Delta}_X$ is k -continuous, and the remaining is obvious. □ ↻ ↺

\aleph_0 -property is ℓ_c -invariante

The first part of the next theorem extends Theorem 21 in Kąkol, L-P and Okunev.

We will refer this theorem as Main Theorem

Theorem

If X is an \aleph_0 -space and $h : C_c(X) \rightarrow C_c(Y)$ is continuous linear and onto, then Y is an \aleph_0 -space. \aleph_0 -property is ℓ_c -invariant.

\aleph_0 property is not preserved by open maps.

\aleph_0 -property is ℓ_c -invariante

Proof.

$h^* : C_p(C_c(Y)) \rightarrow C_p(C_c(X))$ ($h^*(\hat{Y})$) is an embedding.

$h^* : C_c(C_c(Y)) \rightarrow C_c(C_c(X))$ ($h^*(\tilde{Y})$) is continuous.

$j : h^*(\hat{Y}) \rightarrow h^*(\tilde{Y})$ ($j(h^*(\hat{y})) = h^*(\tilde{y})$, for each $y \in Y$) has inverse map j^{-1} continuous. \hat{Y} and \tilde{Y} are k -equivalent (by $i : \hat{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$, with $i(\hat{y}) = \tilde{y}$ for each $y \in Y$). By definition

$h^*i = jh^*|_{\hat{Y}}$. Therefore

M (compact) $\subset \hat{Y} \implies h^*i(M)$ (compact) $\subset h^*(\tilde{Y})$.

K (compact) $\subset h^*(\tilde{Y}) \implies (jh^*|_{\hat{Y}})^{-1}(K)$ (compact) $\subset \hat{Y}$.

Therefore $h^*(\tilde{Y})$ is k -equivalent to \hat{Y} and (homeomorphism) to Y .

$C_c(C_c(X))$ is an \aleph_0 -space (Michael) $\implies h^*(\tilde{Y})$ is

\aleph_0 -space \implies (k -equivalence) Y is an \aleph_0 -space. □

ℓ_c -invariance of metrizability for first countable spaces

Let \mathfrak{D} be the class of first countable or locally compact spaces. As \aleph_0 -spaces in \mathfrak{D} are metrizable (Michael) Kąkol, L-P and Okunev obtained:

Corollary

If X is an \aleph_0 -space, $Y \in \mathfrak{D}$ and there exists a continuous linear map h from $C_c(X)$ onto $C_c(Y)$, then Y is metrizable and separable. In particular, the property of being metrizable and separable is preserved by ℓ_c -equivalence in the class \mathfrak{D} .

This result applies when X is second countable (extending Corollary 23 of Arhangel'skii's Survey of C_p -theory).

Compact resolutions in X and \mathcal{G} -bases

Definition

A resolution is a family $\mathcal{K} = \{K_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega^\omega\}$ of subsets of X such that $X = \bigcup \{K_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega^\omega\}$ and $K_\alpha \subset K_\beta$ if $\alpha \leq \beta$.

- A resolution \mathcal{K} is *compact* if each K_α is a compact subset of X . If, additionally, for each compact subset K of X there exists $K_\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $K \subset K_\alpha$, then \mathcal{K} is a *compact resolution swallowing compact subsets of X* .

Definition

A base $\{K_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega^\omega\}$ of neighborhoods of zero in the topological space E is a \mathcal{G} -base if $U_\beta \subset U_\alpha$ whenever $\alpha \leq \beta$.

- Quotient maps preserve \mathcal{G} -bases.

Compact resolutions in X and \mathfrak{G} -bases in $C_c(X)$

Proposition

X has a compact resolution $\mathcal{K} = \{K_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega^\omega\}$ swallowing compact sets if and only if $C_c(X)$ has a \mathfrak{G} -base.

Compact resolutions in X and \mathfrak{G} -bases in $C_c(X)$

Proof.

Let $\mathcal{K} = \{K_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega^\omega\}$ be a crscs. As for $K \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $K_\alpha \supset K$, with $a_1^{-1} < \varepsilon$, then

$U_\alpha := W(K_\alpha, (-a_1^{-1}, a_1^{-1})) \subset W(K, \varepsilon)$ and $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega^\omega\}$ is a \mathfrak{G} -base in $C_c(X)$.

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega^\omega\}$ be a \mathfrak{G} -base of $C_c(X)$. For U_α there exists $K \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ with $W(K, (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)) \subset U_\alpha$. Then

$U_\alpha \subset W(K_\alpha, [-a_1, a_1])$, with

$K_\alpha := \{x \in X : |f(x)| \leq a_1, f \in U_\alpha\}$.

$W(K, (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)) \subset W(K_\alpha, [-a_1, a_1]) \implies (K_\alpha \subset K) K_\alpha$ compact.

For D (compact) $\subset X$ there exists $U_\alpha \subset W(D, [-1, 1])$. Then

$x \in D$ and $f \in U_\alpha \implies |f(x)| \leq 1 (\leq a_1)$, hence, by definition,

$x \in K_\alpha$. From $D \subset K_\alpha$ follows $\mathcal{K} = \{K_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega^\omega\}$ is a crscs of

X .



Christensen theorem

Proposition

A separable and complete metric space (X, d) has a compact resolution \mathcal{K} swallowing compact sets.

Proof.

By hypothesis $X = \overline{\{x_m : m \in \omega\}}$. For $a_n \in \omega$ let $K_{a_n} := \cup \{B(x_m; n^{-1}) : m \leq a_n\}$ and for $\alpha = (a_n)_n \in \omega^\omega$ let $K_\alpha = \cap \{K_{a_n} : n \in \omega\}$. K_α is closed and precompact and for each compact subset K of X and each n there exists $b_n \in \omega$ such that $K \in K_{b_n}$. Then $\mathcal{K} = \{K_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega^\omega\}$. □

Theorem

A metrizable space (X, d) has a compact resolution swallowing compact sets if and only if (X, d) is a Polish space

Preservation of Polish spaces by ℓ_C -equivalence in \mathfrak{D} .

\mathfrak{D} is the class of first-countable or locally compact spaces.

Theorem

Let X be a Polish space and $Y \in \mathfrak{D}$. If X ℓ_C -cover Y then Y is a Polish space. Therefore the property of being Polish space is invariant by ℓ_C -equivalence in the class \mathfrak{D} .

Proof.

By the Main Theorem Y is \aleph_0 -space. Then Y is metrizable (Michael).

As X has a compact resolution swallowing compact sets then $C_c(X)$ has a \mathfrak{G} -base, hence $C_c(Y)$ has also a \mathfrak{G} -base.

This proves that Y has a compact resolution swallowing compact sets and then Christensen's Theorem implies that Y is a Polish space. □

Outline

- 4 Extension to spaces of pointwise countable type
 - Spaces of pointwise countable type and submetrizability
 - ℓ_C -equivalence in spaces of pointwise countable type

Spaces of pointwise countable type

Definition

The topological space Y is *of pointwise countable type* if for every $y \in Y$ there exists a compact set K that contains y such that K has a countable base of neighborhoods in Y .

It is easy to prove that:

- If Y is a space of pointwise countable type then Y is a k -space.
- The first countable spaces and the locally compact spaces are spaces of pointwise countable type.

Spaces of pointwise countable type

Proposition

If Y is a Čech-complete space then Y is a space of countable type.

Proof.

Let $Y = \bigcap O_n$, with each O_n open subset in βY of Y .

For each $y \in Y$ and each n there exists in βY a closed neighborhood A_n of y such that $A_n \subset O_n$.

The set $K := \bigcap A_n$ is a compact subset of X . We may suppose that $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$ for each $n \in \omega$.

Clearly $y \in K$ and (by compactness) if A is an open neighborhood of K in βY there exists $n \in \omega$ such that $A_n \subset A$. Then $\{A_n \cap X : n \in \omega\}$ is a countable base of neighborhoods of K and hence Y is a space of pointwise countable type. □

Results on submetrizability.

Definition

X is submetrizable if there exists a continuous bijective map $\Phi : X \rightarrow M$, with M metrizable.

Proposition

If X has a dense σ -compact subset A then $C_c(X)$ is submetrizable.

Proposition

If X is submetrizable then $C_c(X)$ has a dense σ -compact subset.

Results on submetrizability.

Proposition

Let Y be a submetrizable space of pointwise countable type. Then Y is first countable.

Proof.

Let $y \in K(\text{compact}) \subset Y$. $(V_n)_n \downarrow$ base closed neigh. of K .
 $(W_n[\subset V_n])_n \downarrow$ sequence closed neigh. of y such that $(W_n \cap K)_n$
 base K -neigh. of y .

If V is an open neighborhood of y there exist m such that
 $W_m \cap K \subset V$. If for each $m \in \omega$ there exists $x_m \in W_m \setminus V$ then
 $\{x_p : p \geq m\} \subset V_m$, hence $K \cup \{x_m : m \in \omega\}$ is compact. If x is
 adh. to $(x_m)_m \implies x \in W_n, n \in \omega, \implies x \in \bigcap \{V_n : n \in \omega\} = K$.
 Hence $x \in W_m \cap K \subset V$. But $x_n \in W_n \setminus V \subset E \setminus V$,
 $n \in \omega, \implies x \in E \setminus V$ (contr.). Hence there exists $W_p \subset V$. □

Preservation of second countability

Proposition

Let X be a submetrizable space and let Y be a space of pointwise countable type. If there exists a continuous map from $C_c(X)$ onto $C_c(Y)$ then Y is submetrizable and first countable.

Proof.

$C_c(X)$ (and then $C_c(Y)$) has a dense σ -compact subset. Hence $C_c(C_c(Y))$ (and then Y -embeds in $C_c(C_c(Y))$ -) is submetrizable. We get that Y is first countable. □

Preservation of second countability

Corollary

If X is metrizable and separable, Y is a space of pointwise countable type and h is a continuous linear mapping from $C_c(X)$ onto $C_c(Y)$, then Y is metrizable and separable (second countability is preserved by ℓ_C -equivalence for spaces of pointwise countable type).

Proof.

From first countability of Y follows metrizable and separability of Y . □

Preservation of Polish spaces by ℓ_C -equivalence

The property that Polish spaces are preserved by ℓ_C -equivalence in the spaces of countable type may be obtained by a combination of results of Baars, de Groot, Pelant and Valov on preservation of complete metrizability by ℓ_p -equivalence in the class of metrizable spaces. The next simple corollary is due to Kąkol, L-P and Okunev.

Corollary

Let X be a Polish space that ℓ_C -covers the space Y . If Y is of pointwise countable type then Y is a Polish space. Thus the property of being a Polish space is preserved by ℓ_C -equivalence for spaces of pointwise countable type.

Proof.

Like Y is first countable then it is a Polish space. □