



On Countable Tightness and the Lindelöf Property in Non-Archimedean Banach Spaces

Jerzy Kakol

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, A. Mickiewicz University, 61-614 Poznan, Poland, Poland
kakol@amu.edu.pl

Albert Kubzdela

Institute of Civil Engineering, University of Technology, Ul. Piotrowo 5, 61-138 Poznan, Poland
albert.kubzdela@put.poznan.pl

Cristina Perez-Garcia

Dept. of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Universidad de Cantabria, Avda. de los Castros s/n, 39071 Santander, Spain
perezmc@unican.es

[\[Abstract-pdf\]](#)

Let \mathbb{K} be a non-archimedean valued field and let E be a non-archimedean Banach space over \mathbb{K} . By E_w we denote the space E equipped with its weak topology and by E^{\ast} the dual space E^{\ast} equipped with its weak topology. Several results about countable tightness and the Lindelöf property for E_w and E^{\ast} are provided. A key point is to prove that for a large class of infinite-dimensional polar Banach spaces E , countable tightness of E_w or E^{\ast} implies separability of \mathbb{K} . As a consequence we obtain the following two characterizations of the field \mathbb{K} : (a) A non-archimedean valued field \mathbb{K} is locally compact if and only if for every Banach space E over \mathbb{K} the space E_w has countable tightness if and only if for every Banach space E over \mathbb{K} the space E^{\ast}_w has the Lindelöf property. (b) A non-archimedean valued separable field \mathbb{K} is spherically complete if and only if every Banach space E over \mathbb{K} for which E_w has the Lindelöf property must be separable if and only if every Banach space E over \mathbb{K} for which E^{\ast}_w has countable tightness must be separable. Both results show how essentially different are non-archimedean counterparts from the "classical" corresponding theorems for Banach spaces over the real or complex field.

[\[Fulltext-pdf \(389 KB\) \]](#) for subscribers only.

Ver referencia al Prometeo en página siguiente

[Journal Home Page](#)

[Cumulative Index](#)

[List of all Volumes](#)

[Complete Contents of this Volume](#)

[Previous Article](#)

[Next Article](#)

ON COUNTABLE TIGHTNESS AND THE LINDELÖF PROPERTY IN NON-ARCHIMEDEAN BANACH SPACES

J. KAĀKOL, A. KUBZDELA, AND C. PEREZ-GARCIA

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbb{K} be a non-archimedean valued field and let E be a non-archimedean Banach space over \mathbb{K} . By E_w we denote the space E equipped with its weak topology and by $E_{w^*}^*$ the dual space E^* equipped with its weak* topology. Several results about countable tightness and the Lindelöf property for E_w and $E_{w^*}^*$ are provided. A key point is to prove that for a large class of infinite-dimensional polar Banach spaces E , countable tightness of E_w or $E_{w^*}^*$ implies separability of \mathbb{K} . As a consequence we obtain the following two characterizations of the field \mathbb{K} :

(a) A non-archimedean valued field \mathbb{K} is locally compact if and only if for every Banach space E over \mathbb{K} the space E_w has countable tightness if and only if for every Banach space E over \mathbb{K} the space $E_{w^*}^*$ has the Lindelöf property.

(b) A non-archimedean valued separable field \mathbb{K} is spherically complete if and only if every Banach space E over \mathbb{K} for which E_w has the Lindelöf property must be separable if and only if every Banach space E over \mathbb{K} for which $E_{w^*}^*$ has countable tightness must be separable.

Both results show how essentially different are non-archimedean counterparts from the "classical" corresponding theorems for Banach spaces over the real or complex field.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [3] Corson asked if, in the context of real or complex Banach spaces E , weakly compactly generated Banach spaces are exactly those E that are weakly Lindelöf, i.e. endowed with the weak topology $\sigma(E, E^*)$ have the Lindelöf property.

Recall that a Banach space E is called *weakly compactly generated* if it admits a $\sigma(E, E^*)$ -compact set whose linear hull is dense in E . It was proved in [17] that every weakly compactly generated Banach space is weakly Lindelöf, see also [11]. However, there are examples of weakly Lindelöf Banach spaces which are not weakly compactly generated, see [13, Section 3.3]. Notice that there are concrete non-separable weakly compactly generated (hence, weakly Lindelöf) Banach spaces, for example $c_0(I, \mathbb{R})$ if I is uncountable, see e.g. [7] also as a good source of references. Although E_w does not

The research was supported for the first named author by Generalitat Valenciana, Conselleria d'Educaci3 i Esport, Spain, Grant PROMETEO/2013/058 and by the GAĀR project 16-34860L and RVO: 67985840. The second and the third named authors were supported by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, Grant MTM2013-45643-C2-2-P.

necessarily have the Lindelöf property, it has always the following useful property called countable tightness.

A topological space X is said to have *countable tightness* if for every $A \subset X$ and $x \in X$ with $x \in \overline{A}$ there is a countable set $T \subset A$ such that $x \in \overline{T}$. Recall also that X is said to have the *Lindelöf property* if it is regular and every open cover of X has a countable subcover.

By Kaplansky's theorem (see [5, Theorem 4.49], [6, Theorem 3.54]), for every real or complex Banach space E , the space E_w has countable tightness. The proof of this fact essentially uses the compactness of the dual unit ball equipped with the weak* topology. Indeed, by Arkhangel'ski-Pytkeev's theorem, see [1, II.1.1], the space $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ of all real-valued continuous maps on a completely regular space X , endowed with the pointwise topology, has countable tightness if and only if every finite product X^n of X has the Lindelöf property. This result applies for many concrete spaces X , for example if $X = E_{w^*}^*$, the weak*-dual of a metrizable real or complex locally convex space E . Then, as E_w embeds into $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ and X is σ -compact, we obtain Kaplansky's result. In [2] (see also [7, Theorem 12.2]) it was proved that in a large class of locally convex spaces E (which contains for example all metrizable locally convex spaces, (DF) -spaces, (LF) -spaces, etc.), the space E_w has countable tightness if and only if $E_{w^*}^*$ has the Lindelöf property. In particular, for every real or complex Banach space E , its weak*-dual $E_{w^*}^*$ has the Lindelöf property.

In this paper we will analyze this line of research when our main object will be now a non-archimedean Banach space E over a non-archimedean valued field \mathbb{K} .

Clearly, for every finite-dimensional E , E_w and $E_{w^*}^*$ have countable tightness since the weak and weak* topologies coincide with the norm topologies on E and E^* , respectively; in this case E_w (resp. $E_{w^*}^*$) has the Lindelöf property if and only if \mathbb{K} is separable (see [4, Corollary 4.1.16]). Therefore, we will center our attention on infinite-dimensional Banach spaces.

Kąkol and Śliwa proved a non-archimedean counterpart of Kaplansky's theorem, which states that if \mathbb{K} is locally compact then, for every E over \mathbb{K} , E_w has countable tightness ([8, Proposition 2]). Also, we prove here that, for every E over such \mathbb{K} , $E_{w^*}^*$ has the Lindelöf property (Corollary 18). In this context it is natural to ask if these two results are true without the assumption of the local compactness of \mathbb{K} . Then, the main question arises:

Problem 1. *Let E be a Banach space over \mathbb{K} . Describe conditions on E and \mathbb{K} under which E_w has countable tightness (resp. $E_{w^*}^*$ has the Lindelöf property).*

We show that for a polar Banach space E , countable tightness of E_w implies separability of \mathbb{K} , see Proposition 10 (since \mathbb{K} is homeomorphically embedded in $E_{w^*}^*$, the Lindelöf property of this weak*-dual also implies separability of \mathbb{K} , by [4, Corollary 4.1.16]). This result covers a large class of Banach spaces over \mathbb{K} not being necessarily spherically complete. Nevertheless, for non-locally compact \mathbb{K} , we prove (Theorem 19) that if either E has a base or \mathbb{K} is spherically complete, then E_w has countable tightness if and only if E is separable if and only if $E_{w^*}^*$ has the Lindelöf property. A direct application of our Theorem 19 yields the following purely non-archimedean corollary: assume that \mathbb{K} is not locally compact. Then, the Banach space $C(X, \mathbb{K})$ of all \mathbb{K} -valued continuous maps on a zero-dimensional compact space X , has countable tightness in the weak topology if and only if X is ultrametrizable and \mathbb{K} is separable, see Remark 20.5.

On the other hand, we show also that the previous situation differs if \mathbb{K} is not spherically complete. For this case, we provide an example of a non-separable normpolar Banach space E such that E_w has countable tightness and $E_{w^*}^*$ has the Lindelöf property, see Remark 20.3.

These results together lead us to the following two interesting characterizations of the field \mathbb{K} .

Theorem 2. *A non-archimedean valued field \mathbb{K} is locally compact if and only if for every Banach space E over \mathbb{K} the space E_w has countable tightness if and only if for every Banach space E over \mathbb{K} the space $E_{w^*}^*$ has the Lindelöf property.*

Theorem 3. *A non-archimedean valued separable field \mathbb{K} is spherically complete if and only if every Banach space E over \mathbb{K} for which E_w has the Lindelöf property must be separable if and only if every Banach space E over \mathbb{K} for which $E_{w^*}^*$ has countable tightness must be separable.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let V be an ultrametric space, i.e. a metric space (V, d) where d satisfies the *strong triangle inequality* $d(x, y) \leq \max\{d(x, z), d(z, y)\}$ for all $x, y, z \in V$. Let $x \in V$ and $r > 0$; recall that the set $B_r(x) = \{y \in V : d(y, x) \leq r\}$ is called a *closed ball* in V and $B_r^-(x) = \{y \in V : d(y, x) < r\}$ is called an *open ball* in V , respectively. Note that both balls are clopen (closed and open in the topological sense) and two balls in V are either disjoint, or one is contained in the other.

By a *non-archimedean valued field* we mean a non-trivially valued field \mathbb{K} that is complete under the metric induced by its valuation $|\cdot| : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, which satisfies the *strong triangle inequality* $|\lambda + \mu| \leq \max\{|\lambda|, |\mu|\}$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{K}$.

Recall that $|\mathbb{K}^*| = \{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}\}$ is the *value group* of \mathbb{K} and $\mathbb{k} = B_{\mathbb{K}}/B_{\mathbb{K}}^-$ is the *residue class field* of \mathbb{K} , where $B_{\mathbb{K}}$ and $B_{\mathbb{K}}^-$ are the closed and open unit ball in \mathbb{K} centered

at zero, respectively. \mathbb{K} is said to be *discretely valued* if 0 is the only accumulation point of $|\mathbb{K}^*|$ (then, there exists a *uniformizing element* $\rho \in \mathbb{K}$ with $0 < |\rho| < 1$ such that $|\mathbb{K}^*| = \{|\rho|^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$); otherwise, we say that \mathbb{K} is *densely valued* (then, $|\mathbb{K}^*|$ is a dense subset of $[0, \infty)$).

We say that \mathbb{K} is *spherically complete* if every shrinking sequence of balls in \mathbb{K} has a non-empty intersection; otherwise, \mathbb{K} is *non-spherically complete*. Every locally compact field is discretely valued and separable; every discretely valued field is spherically complete.

For any prime number p the field \mathbb{Q}_p of p -adic numbers is non-archimedean and locally compact. On the other hand, the valued field \mathbb{C}_p , the completion of the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p , is separable and non-spherically complete.

By a *non-archimedean Banach space* over \mathbb{K} we mean a complete normed space E over \mathbb{K} whose norm $\|\cdot\|$ satisfies the *strong triangle inequality* $\|x + y\| \leq \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$ for all $x, y \in E$. For $A \subset E$, $[A]$ denotes the linear hull of A .

The topological dual of E is denoted by E^* . Also, $\sigma(E, E^*)$ and $\sigma(E^*, E)$ are the weak and weak* topology on E and E^* , respectively; and $E_w := (E, \sigma(E, E^*))$, $E_{w^*} := (E^*, \sigma(E^*, E))$. For a set $A \subset E$ (resp. $A \subset E^*$), \overline{A}^w is the closure of A in E_w (resp. \overline{A}^{w^*} is the closure of A in E_{w^*}).

If $x^* \in E^*$, then $\ker x^* := \{x \in E : x^*(x) = 0\}$ is the kernel of x^* . If D is a subspace of E , by $x^*|_D$ we mean the restriction of x^* to D . Analogously, $\sigma(E, E^*)|_D$ denotes the restriction to D of the weak topology on E ; same procedure to denote the restriction of $\sigma(E^*, E)$ to a subspace of E^* .

By B_E and B_{E^*} we mean the closed unit ball in E and E^* centered at zero, respectively.

We say that E is *normpolar* (or the norm of E is *polar*) if, for each $x \in E$, $\|x\| = \sup\{|x^*(x)| : x^* \in B_{E^*}\}$. E is called *polar* if its norm topology is defined by a polar norm. If \mathbb{K} is spherically complete every Banach space E over \mathbb{K} is polar. For non-spherically complete ground fields, the most popular examples of non-archimedean Banach spaces are polar, see [12, Section 2.5].

A continuous linear map $T : E \rightarrow F$ between two non-archimedean Banach spaces E, F over \mathbb{K} is called an *isomorphism* if T is bijective and its inverse T^{-1} is also continuous; in this case we say that E and F are *isomorphic*. Then, the adjoint of T , $T^* : F^* \rightarrow E^*$, $y^* \mapsto y^* \circ T$ ($y^* \in F^*$), is also an isomorphism with $(T^*)^{-1} = (T^{-1})^*$; if, in addition, E, F are normpolar, then $\|T\| = \|T^*\|$.

Let I be an infinite set. $\ell^\infty(I)$ denotes the (normpolar) non-archimedean Banach space over \mathbb{K} consisting of all bounded maps $I \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, equipped with the usual supremum norm given by $\|(\lambda_i)_{i \in I}\| = \sup_{i \in I} |\lambda_i|$. $c_0(I)$ is the closed subspace of $\ell^\infty(I)$ formed by the $(\lambda_i)_{i \in I} \in \ell^\infty(I)$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a finite $J \subset I$ for which $|\lambda_i| < \varepsilon$ for all $i \in I \setminus J$. By $c_{00}(I)$ we denote the linear hull of $\{e_i : i \in I\}$, where $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ are the unit vectors of $c_0(I)$. In particular, $\ell^\infty := \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$, $c_0 := c_0(\mathbb{N})$ and $c_{00} := c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$. We

have $c_0(I)^* = \ell^\infty(I)$. For each $y \in \ell^\infty(I)$ we denote by y^* the element of $c_0(I)^*$ defined by y . When \mathbb{K} is not spherically complete and I is small, $c_0(I)$ and $\ell^\infty(I)$ are reflexive, so $\ell^\infty(I)^* = c_0(I)$. Recall that a set I is called *small* if it has non-measurable cardinality (the sets we meet in daily mathematical life are small; see [14, p. 31-33] for further discussions and references on small sets).

A family $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ in E is a *base* of E if each $x \in E$ has a unique expansion $x = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i x_i$, where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{K}$ for all $i \in I$. The unit vectors of $c_0(I)$ form a base of this space. Even more, if E has a base $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$, then E is isomorphic to $c_0(I)$, hence E is polar. For any infinite set I , $\ell^\infty(I)$ has a base if and only if \mathbb{K} is discretely valued.

Let $t \in (0, 1]$. A countable set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\} \subset E \setminus \{0\}$ is called *t-orthogonal* if for each finite subset J of \mathbb{N} and all $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in J} \subset \mathbb{K}$ we have $\|\sum_{i \in J} \lambda_i x_i\| \geq t \cdot \max_{i \in J} \|\lambda_i x_i\|$.

E is of *countable type* if it contains a countable set whose linear hull is dense in E . If \mathbb{K} is separable, then a Banach space is of countable type if and only if it is separable. If E is of countable type it has, for each $t \in (0, 1)$, a *t-orthogonal base*, i.e. a *t-orthogonal set* $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\} \subset E$ that is a base of E ; hence, if E is infinite-dimensional, it is isomorphic to c_0 . For any infinite set I , $\ell^\infty(I)$ is not of countable type.

Throughout this paper \mathbb{K} will be a non-archimedean valued field. All the Banach spaces over \mathbb{K} , denoted by E, F, \dots , considered in the sequel are assumed to be non-archimedean and infinite-dimensional.

For more background on normed spaces over non-archimedean valued fields we refer the reader to [12] and [14].

The following two basic Lemmas will be used along the paper.

Lemma 4. *Let E be normpolar. Then, for each $t \in (0, 1)$ there exist *t-orthogonal sequences* x_1, x_2, \dots in E and x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots in E^* such that*

$$t \leq \|x_n\| \leq 1 \leq \|x_n^*\| \leq \frac{1}{t} \quad \text{and} \quad x_n^*(x_m) = \delta_{nm} \quad \text{for all } n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Let $t_1, t_2, \dots \in (t, 1)$ with $t_1^2 \cdot t_2^2 \cdots > t$. We are done as soon as we construct x_1, x_2, \dots in E and x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots in E^* such that

- (a) $t_n \leq \|x_n\| \leq 1 \leq \|x_n^*\| \leq \frac{1}{t_1^2 \cdots t_n^2}$ and $x_n^*(x_m) = \delta_{nm}$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (b) For each $n \geq 2$, x_1, \dots, x_n and x_1^*, \dots, x_n^* are $(t_1^2 \cdots t_{n-1}^2)$ -orthogonal in E and E^* , respectively.

Let us proceed inductively for this construction. For $n = 1$, choose $x_1 \in E$ with $t_1 \leq \|x_1\| \leq 1$. Let y_1^* be a linear functional defined on $[x_1]$, given by $y_1^*(x_1) = 1$. Then, $1 \leq \|y_1^*\| = \frac{1}{\|x_1\|} \leq \frac{1}{t_1}$. By normpolarity and [12, Theorem 4.4.5], we can extend y_1^* to $x_1^* \in E^*$ with $1 \leq \|x_1^*\| \leq \frac{1}{t_1^2}$.

For the step $n \rightarrow n + 1$, suppose that we have constructed x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n in E and $x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*$ in E^* satisfying (a) and (b). Choose $x_{n+1} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker x_i^*$ with $t_{n+1} \leq \|x_{n+1}\| \leq 1$. Let us see that $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$ is a $(t_1^2 \cdot \dots \cdot t_n^2)$ -orthogonal set in E . For that, let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1} \in \mathbb{K}$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$|\lambda_i| = |x_i^*(\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n+1} x_{n+1})| \leq \|x_i^*\| \|\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n+1} x_{n+1}\|,$$

from which

$$\|\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n+1} x_{n+1}\| \geq (t_1^2 \cdot \dots \cdot t_n^2) \|\lambda_i x_i\| \geq (t_1^2 \cdot \dots \cdot t_n^2) \|\lambda_i x_i\|,$$

and by [14, Lemma 3.2], we are done.

Now, let y_{n+1}^* be a linear functional defined on $[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$ by $y_{n+1}^*(\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n+1} x_{n+1}) = \lambda_{n+1}$ ($\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1} \in \mathbb{K}$). It is easily seen that $1 \leq \|y_{n+1}^*\| \leq \frac{1}{t_1^2 \cdot \dots \cdot t_n^2 \cdot t_{n+1}}$. Applying normpolarity and [12, Theorem 4.4.5] again, we can extend y_{n+1}^* to $x_{n+1}^* \in E^*$ with $1 \leq \|x_{n+1}^*\| \leq \frac{1}{t_1^2 \cdot \dots \cdot t_{n+1}^2}$.

Finally, proceeding similarly as above for x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} , it can be proved that x_1^*, \dots, x_{n+1}^* are $(t_1^2 \cdot \dots \cdot t_n^2)$ -orthogonal in E^* . \square

Lemma 5. *Suppose either E has a base or \mathbb{K} is spherically complete and separable. Then E is isomorphic to $c_0(I)$ for some I .*

Proof. When E has a base the conclusion follows from [14, Corollary 3.8]. Now, let \mathbb{K} be spherically complete and separable. By [15, Theorem 20.5], \mathbb{K} is discretely valued and by [12, Theorems 2.1.9 and 2.5.4] E is isomorphic to $c_0(I)$ for some I . \square

3. COUNTABLE TIGHTNESS.

The main results about countable tightness of E_w for the case when \mathbb{K} may not be locally compact (see Problem 1) are provided by Theorems 12 and 16. To prove them we need a few preparing lemmas.

Lemma 6. *Let (V, d) be an ultrametric space. Then, for every $r > 0$ there exists a partition of V consisting of closed (open) balls with radius equal to r .*

Proof. We prove the result for closed balls. Similarly can be done for open balls. Let $r > 0$. The formula $x \sim y$ if $|x - y| \leq r$, defines an equivalence relation on V . Its equivalence classes form a partition of V consisting of closed balls with radius equal to r . \square

Recall that if \mathbb{K} is separable, then \mathbb{k} and $|\mathbb{K}^*|$ are both countable, but the converse is not true (see [15, Exercise 19.B]). We also get the following.

Lemma 7. *Let \mathbb{K} be non-separable. If the residue class field \mathbb{k} and the value group $|\mathbb{K}^*|$ of \mathbb{K} are both countable, then we have the following.*

- (1) \mathbb{K} is densely valued.
(2) For every $r \in (0, 1) \cap |\mathbb{K}^*|$ there exists a partition of $B_{\mathbb{K}} \setminus B_{\mathbb{K}}^-$, consisting of uncountable many closed balls with radius equal to r .

Proof. (1): Assume that \mathbb{K} is discretely valued; we will arrive at a contradiction. If $\rho \in \mathbb{K}$ is an uniformizing element, then $B_{\mathbb{K}}^- = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| \leq |\rho|\}$. Since, by assumption, \mathbb{k} is countable, $B_{\mathbb{K}}$ has a countable partition formed by closed balls with radius equal to $|\rho|$. Hence, setting $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we imply that every closed ball contained in $B_{\mathbb{K}}$ with radius equal to $|\rho^n|$ has a countable partition consisting of closed balls with radius equal to $|\rho^{n+1}|$. Thus, we conclude that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $B_{\mathbb{K}}$ has a countable partition composed of closed balls with radius equal to $|\rho^n|$. This implies that $B_{\mathbb{K}}$, hence \mathbb{K} , is separable, a contradiction.

(2): Denote $V = B_{\mathbb{K}} \setminus B_{\mathbb{K}}^- (= \{x \in \mathbb{K} : |x| = 1\})$. Since $|\mathbb{K}^*|$ is countable and \mathbb{K} is non-separable, V is also non-separable. This, together with Lemma 6, implies that the set

$$\mathcal{R} := \{r \in (0, 1) \cap |\mathbb{K}^*| : V \text{ has an uncountable partition consisting of closed balls with radius equal to } r\}$$

is non-empty.

Let $p = \sup \mathcal{R}$. Assume $p < 1$; we will arrive at a contradiction. As we proved in (1), \mathbb{K} is densely valued, thus, we can find $r_1 \in (p, 1) \cap |\mathbb{K}^*|$. Also, there exists $r_2 \in (r_1 p, p) \cap \mathcal{R}$. Since $r_1 > p$, by Lemma 6 there exists a countable partition of V , $\{B_{r_1}(x_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Furthermore, since $r_2 \in \mathcal{R}$ there is a partition $\{B_{r_2}(y_i) : i \in I\}$ of V for some uncountable I . Hence, there are $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and uncountable $J \subset I$ such that $B_{r_1}(x_m) = \bigcup_{i \in J} B_{r_2}(y_i)$.

Since $r_1 \in |\mathbb{K}^*|$ there is $\mu_1 \in \mathbb{K}$ with $|\mu_1| = r_1$. Define the map $T : B_{r_1}(x_m) \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{K}}$ setting $T(z) := \frac{1}{\mu_1}(z - x_m)$. Hence, $B_{\mathbb{K}}$ has an uncountable partition

$$\{B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}}(T(y_i))\}_{i \in J}.$$

Let

$$J_v = \{i \in J : B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}}(T(y_i)) \subset V\}.$$

We show that J_v is uncountable. Assume for a contradiction that J_v is countable. Then, for every $\lambda \in B_{\mathbb{K}}$

$$\{B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}|\lambda|}(\lambda T(y_i))\}_{i \in J_v}$$

is a countable partition of the set $V_\lambda = \{x \in B_{\mathbb{K}} : |x| = |\lambda|\}$. Fix $\lambda \in B_{\mathbb{K}}$ such that $|\lambda| > \frac{r_2}{r_1}$ and consider the family $\{B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}}(\lambda T(y_i))\}_{i \in J_v}$. Then,

$$\bigcup_{i \in J_v} B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}}(\lambda T(y_i)) = V_\lambda.$$

Indeed, if $x \in V_\lambda$ then there is $i \in J_v$ such that $x \in B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}|\lambda|}(\lambda T(y_i))$; thus, $x \in B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}}(\lambda T(y_i))$. On the other hand, assume that $x \in B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}}(\lambda T(y_i))$ for some $i \in J_v$. Clearly $\lambda T(y_i) \in V_\lambda$ and $|x| = |x - \lambda T(y_i) + \lambda T(y_i)| = |\lambda T(y_i)|$ since $|x - \lambda T(y_i)| < \frac{r_2}{r_1} < |\lambda| = |\lambda T(y_i)|$. Thus, $x \in V_\lambda$.

Note that if $|\lambda T(y_i) - \lambda T(y_j)| \leq \frac{r_2}{r_1}$ then $B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}}(\lambda T(y_i)) = B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}}(\lambda T(y_j))$. Hence, from J_v we can select a subset J'_v such that $\{B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}}(\lambda T(y_i))\}_{i \in J'_v}$ is a partition (obviously countable) of V_λ .

By assumption, $|\mathbb{K}^*|$ is countable; thus, we can find a countable subset $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots\} \subset B_{\mathbb{K}}$, $|\lambda_n| \neq |\lambda_m|$ if $n \neq m$, such that $|\mathbb{K}^*| \cap (\frac{r_2}{r_1}, 1] = \{|\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2|, \dots\}$. Then,

$$B_{\mathbb{K}} = B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}}(0) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{\lambda_n}.$$

As we proved above, V_{λ_n} has a countable partition consisting of closed balls with radius equal to $\frac{r_2}{r_1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $V_{\lambda_n} \cap V_{\lambda_m} = \emptyset$ if $n \neq m$, we imply that $B_{\mathbb{K}}$ has a countable partition consisting of closed balls with radius equal to $\frac{r_2}{r_1}$, either. Thus, $\frac{r_2}{r_1} \in \mathcal{R}$. However, $\frac{r_2}{r_1} > \frac{r_1 p}{r_1} = p$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\sup \mathcal{R} = 1$, from which (2) follows easily. \square

Next two lemmas, which will be used in the sequel, show that if \mathbb{K} is not separable, c_0 contains subsets which do not have countable tightness with respect to the restricted weak topology and weak* topology, respectively.

Lemma 8. *Assume that \mathbb{K} is not separable. Let $E = c_0$ and $S_0 = \{x \in c_{00} : \|x\| = 1\}$. Then, $0 \in \overline{S_0}^w$ but there is no countable set $T \subset S_0$ such that $0 \in \overline{T}^w$.*

Proof. First, we prove that $0 \in \overline{S_0}^w$ (also true in the real case, see [5, Exercise 3.8]). Take a weak zero-neighborhood

$$W = \{x \in E : |x_i^*(x)| < \varepsilon, i = 1, \dots, n\},$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$, $x_1^*, \dots, x_n^* \in E^*$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, the map $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$, $x \mapsto (x_1^*(x), \dots, x_n^*(x))$, is linear and, by infinite-dimensionality of c_{00} , there is a non-zero $x \in c_{00}$ in $\ker f = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker x_i^*$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ with $|\lambda| = \|x\|$. Then, $\frac{x}{\lambda} \in S_0 \cap \ker f$ and so $\frac{x}{\lambda} \in S_0 \cap W$.

Now, assume that there is a countable set $T \subset S_0$ such that $0 \in \overline{T}^w$; we will arrive at a contradiction.

Write $T = \{u_1, u_2, \dots\}$, where $u_k = (u_k^1, u_k^2, \dots) \in S_0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $M_k := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |u_k^n| = 1\}$ is non-empty. Let $M = M_1 \cup M_2 \cup \dots$.

First, suppose that M is finite, say $M = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_p\}$. Let $W = \{x \in E : |e_{m_i}^*(x)| < 1, i = 1, \dots, p\}$. Then, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $n \in \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_p\}$ such that $|u_k^n| = 1$, i.e. $|e_n^*(u_k)| = 1$. Thus, $T \cap W = \emptyset$, a contradiction.

Next, assume that M is infinite. We will construct inductively a bounded sequence v_1, v_2, \dots in \mathbb{K} such that

$$(3.1) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_i u_k^i \right| > \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for every } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Once this sequence is constructed the proof is finished. Indeed, the formula

$$v^*(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_i x_i \quad (x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in E)$$

defines an element of E^* . Then, setting the weak zero-neighborhood $W := \{x \in E : |v^*(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$ and applying (3.1), we obtain that $T \cap W = \emptyset$; again a contradiction.

For the construction of v_1, v_2, \dots we distinguish three cases.

1. \mathbb{k} is uncountable. Define, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$L_n := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |u_k^n| = 1 \text{ and } |u_k^i| < 1 \text{ if } i > n\}$$

Then, $\{L_1, L_2, \dots\}$ is a partition of \mathbb{N} and, since M is infinite, the set $\mathcal{L} := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : L_n \neq \emptyset\}$ is also infinite. To simplify notations we assume $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{N}$ (otherwise, take $v_n = 0$ if $L_n = \emptyset$).

In this case we construct $(v_n)_n$ in $B_{\mathbb{K}} \setminus B_{\mathbb{K}}^-$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^n v_i u_k^i \right| = 1 \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and each } k \in L_n.$$

Set $v_1 := 1$. For the step $n - 1 \rightarrow n$, assume v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} are already constructed. For each $k \in L_n$, we set

$$z_k := -\frac{1}{u_k^n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_i u_k^i.$$

Each z_k belongs to $B_{\mathbb{K}}$ and by assumption \mathbb{k} is uncountable, so we can select $v_n \in B_{\mathbb{K}} \setminus B_{\mathbb{K}}^-$ such that $|v_n - z_k| = 1$ for all $k \in L_n$.

Thus,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n v_i u_k^i \right| = |u_k^n| \cdot \left| \frac{1}{u_k^n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_i u_k^i + v_n \right| = |v_n - z_k| = 1,$$

and so (3.2) holds.

Next we will get (3.1). Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \in L_n$. Then $|u_k^i| < 1$ if $i > n$, so that $|\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} v_i u_k^i| < 1$. Hence, by (3.2) we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_i u_k^i \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n v_i u_k^i \right| = 1 > \frac{1}{2}.$$

2. $|\mathbb{K}^*|$ is uncountable. Choose $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ with $|\lambda| > 1$. Let $\Gamma_0 = [1, |\lambda|) \cap |\mathbb{K}^*|$. Observe that Γ_0 is uncountable; otherwise $|\mathbb{K}^*| = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda|^m \Gamma_0$ would be countable, which contradicts the assumption.

In this case we construct $(v_n)_n$ in \mathbb{K} with $|v_n| \in \Gamma_0$ and such that

$$(3.3) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^n v_i u_k^i \right| = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} |v_i u_k^i| \quad \text{for each } n, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Set $v_1 := 1$. For the step $n-1 \rightarrow n$, assume v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} are already constructed. For the k with $u_k^n = 0$ it is obvious that (3.3) holds for each $v_n \in \mathbb{K}$. So, we also can assume that $u_k^n \neq 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $z_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_i u_k^i$. Since Γ_0 is uncountable we can find $v_n \in \mathbb{K}$ with $|v_n| \in \Gamma_0$ such that $|v_n u_k^n| \neq |z_k|$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n v_i u_k^i \right| = |z_k + v_n u_k^n| = \max\{|z_k|, |v_n u_k^n|\} = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} |v_i u_k^i|,$$

and so (3.3) holds.

Next we will get (3.1). Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $u_k \in S_0 \subset c_{00}$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u_k^i = 0$ if $i > n$. Hence, by (3.3) we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_i u_k^i \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n v_i u_k^i \right| = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} |v_i u_k^i| \geq 1 > \frac{1}{2}.$$

3. \mathbb{k} and $|\mathbb{K}^*|$ are both countable. By Lemma 7, \mathbb{K} is densely valued. Choose a sequence $(\lambda_n)_n$ in \mathbb{K} such that $1 > |\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| > \dots > \frac{1}{2}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define $r_n := |\lambda_n|$ and

$$J_n = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |u_k^n| \geq r_n\}.$$

Then $J_1 \cup J_2 \cup \dots = \mathbb{N}$. As in the first case we may assume that $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : J_n \neq \emptyset\} = \mathbb{N}$.

In this case we construct $(v_n)_n$ in $B_{\mathbb{K}} \setminus B_{\mathbb{K}}^-$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^n v_i u_k^i \right| > r_{n+1} \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and each } k \in J_n.$$

Set $v_1 := 1$. For the step $n-1 \rightarrow n$, assume v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} are already constructed. For each $k \in J_n$, we set

$$z_k := -\frac{1}{u_k^n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_i u_k^i.$$

By Lemma 7, there exists a partition of $B_{\mathbb{K}} \setminus B_{\mathbb{K}}^-$ consisting of uncountable many closed balls with radius equal to $\frac{r_{n+1}}{r_n}$. So, we can select $v_n \in \mathbb{K}$ with $|v_n| = 1$, such that $|v_n - z_k| > \frac{r_{n+1}}{r_n}$ for all $k \in J_n$.

Thus,

$$(3.5) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^n v_i u_k^i \right| = |u_k^n| \cdot \left| \frac{1}{u_k^n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_i u_k^i + v_n \right| = |u_k^n| \cdot |v_n - z_k| > r_n \cdot \frac{r_{n+1}}{r_n} = r_{n+1},$$

and so (3.4) holds.

Next we will get (3.1). Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \in J_n$. Let $n_0 = \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} : k \in J_n\}$. Then, $|v_i u_k^i| = |u_k^i| < r_{n_0+1}$ if $i > n_0$. Hence, by (3.4) we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_i u_k^i \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} v_i u_k^i \right| > r_{n_0+1} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

□

Since $\ell^\infty = c_0^*$, $\sigma(\ell^\infty, c_0)$ is the weak* topology on ℓ^∞ . Considering c_0 as a subspace of ℓ^∞ , by w_0 we will denote the restricted weak* topology $\sigma(\ell^\infty, c_0)|_{c_0}$ on c_0 .

Next lemma shows that c_0 contains unbounded sets which do not have countable tightness with respect to the topology w_0 . Also, it is worth mentioning that, by [16, Proposition 6.1], all bounded subsets of c_0 are metrizable in the topology w_0 ; thus, they have countable tightness.

Lemma 9. *Let \mathbb{K} be non-separable and let $E = c_0$. Then, there exists a set $G \subset c_{00}$ for which $0 \in \overline{G}^{w_0}$ and there is no countable set $T_0 \subset G$ such that $0 \in \overline{T_0}^{w_0}$.*

Proof. Let $S_0 = \{x \in c_{00} : \|x\| = 1\}$. Fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ with $|\lambda| > 1$. Define

$$G := \left\{ (y_1, y_2, \dots) \in c_{00} : \left(\frac{y_1}{\lambda}, \frac{y_2}{\lambda^2}, \dots \right) \in S_0 \right\}.$$

Then, $0 \in \overline{G}^{w_0}$. Indeed, let $V = \{x \in E : |x_i^*(x)| < \varepsilon, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ be a weak zero-neighborhood in E , where $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x_1^*, \dots, x_n^* \in \ell^\infty (= E^*)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Applying the argumentation contained at the beginning of the proof of Lemma 8, we imply that there exists $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in c_{00} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker x_i^*$.

Choose $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ with $|\alpha| = \max_n |\lambda^{-n} x_n|$. Clearly $\alpha^{-1} x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker x_i^*$. Also, it is easily seen that $\alpha^{-1} x \in G$. Thus, $V \cap G \neq \emptyset$, and we are done.

Now, suppose that there is a countable subset $T_0 \subset G$ such that $0 \in \overline{T_0}^{w_0}$; we will arrive at a contradiction. The map $c_0 \rightarrow c_0$, $(x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (\lambda^{-1} x_1, \lambda^{-2} x_2, \dots)$ is a continuous linear injection $(c_0, w_0) \rightarrow (c_0, \sigma(c_0, \ell^\infty))$ and $f(G) = S_0$. So, $f(T_0)$ is a countable subset of S_0 . By Lemma 8, we can select W_0 , a weak zero-neighborhood in c_0 , such that $W_0 \cap f(T_0) = \emptyset$. Thus, $f^{-1}(W_0)$ is a w_0 -neighborhood of zero in c_0 with $f^{-1}(W_0) \cap T_0 = \emptyset$, a contradiction. □

The next result shows that in most cases the countable tightness of E_w implies separability of \mathbb{K} .

Proposition 10. *Let E be polar. If E_w has countable tightness then \mathbb{K} is separable.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the result when E is normpolar. Assume that \mathbb{K} is not separable and let us see that E_w does not have countable tightness.

Let $t \in (0, 1)$ and let $x_1, x_2, \dots \in E$ and $x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots \in E^*$ be the t -orthogonal sequences in E and E^* , respectively, considered in Lemma 4. Clearly, x_1, x_2, \dots is a t -orthogonal base of $D := \overline{[x_1, x_2, \dots]}$. Then, $T : D \rightarrow c_0$, $x_n \mapsto e_n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) is an isomorphism for which $\|T\| \leq \frac{1}{t^2}$ and $\|T^{-1}\| \leq 1$. The adjoint $T^* : c_0^* \rightarrow D^*$ is also an isomorphism with $T^*(e_n^*) = x_n^*|D$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By normpolarity of c_0 and D , $\|T^*\| = \|T\|$ and $\|(T^*)^{-1}\| = \|T^{-1}\|$. Thus, $x_1^*|D, x_2^*|D, \dots$ is a t -orthogonal sequence in D^* (hence, a t -orthogonal base of its closed linear hull in D^*), with $1 \leq \|x_n^*|D\| \leq \|x_n^*\| \leq \frac{1}{t}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let w_0 be the topology on c_0 considered in Lemma 9 and let τ be the topology on D inherited by w_0 through T^{-1} . From the above facts we get that

$$\tau \leq \sigma(E, E^*)|D \leq \sigma(D, D^*).$$

Since \mathbb{K} is not separable, by Lemma 9 there exists $G \subset D$ with $0 \in \overline{G}^{\sigma(D, D^*)}$, so $0 \in \overline{G}^{\sigma(E, E^*)|D}$, and such that for each countable set $T_0 \subset G$, $0 \notin \overline{T_0}^\tau$, so $0 \notin \overline{G}^{\sigma(E, E^*)|D}$. Therefore, we conclude that $(D, \sigma(E, E^*)|D)$, hence E_w , does not have countable tightness. \square

As a last step before giving Theorem 12, let us recall the following result.

Proposition 11. ([8, Proposition 2]) *If \mathbb{K} is locally compact then, for every Banach space E over \mathbb{K} , E_w has countable tightness.*

Now, we are ready to give the first main theorem of this section.

Theorem 12. *Suppose either E has a base or \mathbb{K} is spherically complete. Then, E_w has countable tightness if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied.*

- (1) \mathbb{K} is locally compact.
- (2) E is separable, i.e. E is of countable type and \mathbb{K} is separable.

Proof. If (1) holds then E_w has countable tightness by Proposition 11.

If (2) holds then every set $A \subset E$ is separable. Thus, there exists a countable set $T \subset A$ with $\overline{T} = \overline{A}$, from which we have that $\overline{T}^w = \overline{A}^w$. Hence, E_w has countable tightness.

Next, let \mathbb{K} be non-locally compact and assume that E_w has countable tightness; let us get (2). Firstly, since E is polar, it follows from Proposition 10 that \mathbb{K} is separable.

Secondly, assume that E is not of countable type; we will arrive at a contradiction. By Lemma 5 we can take $E = c_0(I)$ with I uncountable. Let \mathcal{F} be the collection of all two-point subsets of I . For every $J \in \mathcal{F}$, $J = \{i_1, i_2\}$, define $x_J := e_{i_1} - e_{i_2}$.

Let $M = \{x_J : J \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Then, $0 \in \overline{M}^w$. Indeed, let

$$W = \{x \in E : |z_k^*(x)| < \varepsilon, k = 1, \dots, m\}$$

be a weak zero-neighborhood in E , where $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $z_1^*, \dots, z_m^* \in B_{E^*}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $E^* = \ell^\infty(I)$, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we can write $z_k^* = (z_k^i)_{i \in I}$, $z_k^i \in B_{\mathbb{K}}$ ($i \in I$).

By non-local compactness of \mathbb{K} and [12, Lemma 3.7.52] there is a partition U_1, U_2, \dots of $B_{\mathbb{K}}$ consisting of non-empty clopen sets. Also, as \mathbb{K} is separable then so is each U_n and, by [15, Theorem 19.3] and Lemma 6, we obtain that $B_{\mathbb{K}}$ has a partition V_1, V_2, \dots consisting of open balls with radius equal to ε .

Since I is uncountable, we can choose an uncountable subset I_0 of I such that for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ there exists $j_k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $z_k^i \in V_{j_k}$ if $i \in I_0$. Take any $J \in \mathcal{F}$, say $J = \{i_1, i_2\}$ such that $J \subset I_0$. Then, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we obtain $|z_k^*(x_J)| = |z_k^{i_1} - z_k^{i_2}| < \varepsilon$. Hence, $x_J \in W \cap M$.

By countable tightness of E_w , there exists a countable set $M_0 \subset M$, say $M_0 = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$, $x_k = (x_k^i)_{i \in I}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $0 \in \overline{M_0}^w$.

Let $J_k = \{i \in I : x_k^i \neq 0\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $J_0 = \bigcup_k J_k$. Clearly J_0 is countable, say $J_0 = \{i_1, i_2, \dots\}$. Select a sequence $(\lambda_j)_j$ in $B_{\mathbb{K}}$ such that $\lambda_j \in V_j$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$) and define $z^* := (z^i)_{i \in I} \in \ell^\infty(I)$, setting $z^{i_k} := \lambda_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $z^i := 0$ if $i \in I \setminus J_0$. Then, $W_0 = \{x \in E : |z^*(x)| < \varepsilon\}$ is a weak zero-neighborhood in E . Also, if $x = (x^i)_{i \in I} \in M_0$, then there are $i_{j_1}, i_{j_2} \in J_0$ such that $x = e_{i_{j_1}} - e_{i_{j_2}}$. As $V_{j_1} \cap V_{j_2} = \emptyset$,

$$|z^*(x)| = |z^{i_{j_1}} - z^{i_{j_2}}| = |\lambda_{j_1} - \lambda_{j_2}| \geq \varepsilon,$$

so $x \notin W_0$, and we derive that $W_0 \cap M_0 = \emptyset$, a contradiction. \square

The infinite-dimensional Banach spaces $\ell^\infty(I)$ are some of the most popular examples of Banach spaces without a base when \mathbb{K} is not discretely valued. Theorem 16, preceded by a few preliminary results, provides the answer to Problem 1 for these spaces.

Lemma 13. *Let $B_{\ell^\infty(I)}$ be equipped with the restricted topology $\sigma(\ell^\infty(I), c_0(I))|_{B_{\ell^\infty(I)}}$. Then the map $B_{\ell^\infty(I)} \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{K}}^I$, $f \mapsto (f(e_i))_{i \in I}$, is a bijective homeomorphism.*

Proof. Proceed as in $(\alpha) \implies (\beta)$ of [16, Theorem 8.1]. \square

The following gives the weak* version of Proposition 10.

Proposition 14. *Let E be polar. If $E_{w^*}^*$ has countable tightness then \mathbb{K} is separable.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the result when E is normpolar. Assume that \mathbb{K} is not separable and let us see that $E_{w^*}^*$ does not have countable tightness. Let $t \in (0, 1)$, $x_1, x_2, \dots \in E$, $x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots \in E^*$ and D be as in the proof of Proposition 10. Looking at the second paragraph of that proof we see that $T : D \rightarrow c_0$, $x_n \mapsto e_n$, as well as its adjoint $T^* :$

$\ell^\infty(=c_0^*) \rightarrow D^*$, are norm-isomorphisms with $T^*(e_n^*) = x_n^*|D$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$; and also that $x_1^*|D, x_2^*|D, \dots$ and x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots are t -orthogonal bases of

$$\mathcal{D}_D := \overline{[x_1^*|D, x_2^*|D, \dots]} \subset D^* \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D} := \overline{[x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots]} \subset E^*,$$

respectively, with $1 \leq \|x_n^*|D\| \leq \|x_n^*\| \leq \frac{1}{t}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The last implies that the map $S : \mathcal{D}_D \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, $x_n^*|D \mapsto x_n^*$, is again a norm-isomorphism.

Let w_0^D be the topology on \mathcal{D}_D that is image by T^* of the topology w_0 on $\overline{[e_1^*, e_2^*, \dots]}$ ($=c_0$) considered in Lemma 9 and let τ_0^D be the topology on \mathcal{D} that is image by S of the topology w_0^D on \mathcal{D}_D . Then,

$$\tau_0^D \leq \sigma(E^*, E)|\mathcal{D} \leq \sigma(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^*).$$

Since \mathbb{K} is not separable, by Lemma 9 there exists $G \subset \mathcal{D}$ with $0 \in \overline{G}^{\sigma(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^*)}$, so $0 \in \overline{G}^{\sigma(E^*, E)|\mathcal{D}}$, and such that for each countable set $T_0 \subset G$, $0 \notin \overline{T_0}^{\tau_0^D}$, so $0 \notin \overline{T_0}^{\sigma(E^*, E)|\mathcal{D}}$. Therefore, we conclude that $(\mathcal{D}, \sigma(E^*, E)|\mathcal{D})$, hence $E_{w^*}^*$, does not have countable tightness. \square

Proposition 15. *Let $F = \ell^\infty(I)$ and let F_{w^*} denote the space $\ell^\infty(I)$ equipped with its weak* topology $\sigma(\ell^\infty(I), c_0(I))$. Then, F_{w^*} has countable tightness if and only if I is countable and \mathbb{K} is separable.*

Proof. Assume that I is countable and \mathbb{K} is separable. By Lemma 13, B_F , equipped with the restricted topology $\sigma(\ell^\infty(I), c_0(I))|_{B_F}$, is metrizable and separable. Now, let A be a non-empty subset of F and let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ be a sequence in \mathbb{K} with $\lim_n |\lambda_n| = \infty$. Since $A = \bigcup_n (\lambda_n B_F \cap A)$, we derive that A is separable in F_{w^*} . Hence, F_{w^*} has countable tightness.

Conversely, let F_{w^*} have countable tightness. Since F is polar, from Proposition 14 we deduce that \mathbb{K} is separable.

Now, assume that I is uncountable; we will arrive at a contradiction.

Let $y^* = (y^i)_{i \in I} \in \ell^\infty(I)$, where $y^i = 1$ for all $i \in I$. Let $S_0(I) = \{x^* \in c_{00}(I) : \|x^*\| = 1\} \subset \ell^\infty(I)$. First we prove that $y^* \in \overline{S_0(I)}^{w^*}$. For that, let V be a zero-neighborhood in F_{w^*} of the form

$$V = \{z^* \in F : |z^*(x_j)| < \varepsilon, j = 1, \dots, n\},$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$, $x_1, \dots, x_n \in c_0(I)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For each $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have $y^*(x_j) = \sum_{i \in I} x_j^i$, where $x_j = (x_j^i)_{i \in I}$. So, there is a finite set $J_j \subset I$ such that

$$(3.6) \quad |y^*(x_j) - \sum_{i \in K} e_i^*(x_j)| = |y^*(x_j) - \sum_{i \in K} x_j^i| < \varepsilon$$

for every finite subset K of I that contains J_j . Thus, setting $K := J_1 \cup \dots \cup J_n$, (3.6) holds for this finite set K and all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then, $y^* - \sum_{i \in K} e_i^* \in V$, so that $\sum_{i \in K} e_i^* \in S_0(I) \cap (y^* - V)$, and we are done.

By assumption, there exists a countable set $T \subset S_0(I)$ such that $y^* \in \overline{T}^{w^*}$; say $T = \{u_1^*, u_2^*, \dots\}$ where $u_n^* = (u_n^i)_{i \in I}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we can find a countable set $J \subset I$ such that $u_n^i = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in I \setminus J$. Choosing $i \in I \setminus J$, we derive that

$$|(u_n^* - y^*)(e_i)| = |u_n^i - y^i| = 1$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, setting $\delta < 1$, we obtain that

$$T \cap \{z^* \in F : |(z^* - y^*)(e_i)| < \delta\} = \emptyset,$$

a contradiction. □

Finally, we have the machinery to prove the second main theorem of this section.

Theorem 16. *Let $E = \ell^\infty(I)$, where I is a small set. Then, E_w has countable tightness if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied.*

- (1) \mathbb{K} is locally compact.
- (2) I is countable and \mathbb{K} is separable and non-spherically complete.

Proof. If (1) holds then E_w has countable tightness by Proposition 11. If (2) holds then E is reflexive, by [12, Theorem 7.4.3], and the conclusion follows from Proposition 15.

Next, assume that E_w has countable tightness and \mathbb{K} is not locally compact. As E is not of countable type, \mathbb{K} is non-spherically complete, by Theorem 12. Hence, E is reflexive and (2) follows from Proposition 15. □

4. COUNTABLE TIGHTNESS AND THE LINDELÖF PROPERTY

The main result of this section, Theorem 19, extends [8, Theorem 7] and [10, Theorem 3] and completes the two main theorems of Section 3. This result characterizes when E_w has countable tightness or the Lindelöf property in terms of the weak*-dual of E and some separability properties.

For the basic facts on topological spaces having the Lindelöf property, some of which will be used in this section, we refer to [4, Section 3.8]. Also, recall the well-known fact that a metric space has the Lindelöf property if and only if it is separable, see [4, Corollary 4.1.16].

Proposition 17. *Let F and F_{w^*} be as in Proposition 15. Then, F_{w^*} has the Lindelöf property if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied.*

- (1) \mathbb{K} is locally compact.
- (2) I is countable and \mathbb{K} is separable.

Proof. Through this proof we consider B_F equipped with the restricted weak* topology.

First assume that (1) holds, i.e. $B_{\mathbb{K}}$ is compact. From the Tychonoff Theorem (see [4, Theorem 3.2.4]) and Lemma 13 we obtain that B_F is also compact, so it has the Lindelöf property. Now, let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ be a sequence in \mathbb{K} with $\lim_n |\lambda_n| = \infty$. Then $F = \bigcup_n \lambda_n B_F$, so F_{w^*} has the Lindelöf property.

Next, assume that (2) holds. Again by Lemma 13, B_F is metrizable and separable, so it has the Lindelöf property. Proceeding as above we conclude that F_{w^*} also has this property.

Finally, suppose that \mathbb{K} is not locally compact and F_{w^*} has the Lindelöf property. Then, B_F , so $B_{\mathbb{K}}^I$ by Lemma 13, and thus $B_{\mathbb{K}}$, also have this property. So, $B_{\mathbb{K}}$, hence \mathbb{K} , is separable. To have $B_{\mathbb{K}}^I$ the Lindelöf property also implies that it is normal. From the Stone Theorem (see [4, Problem 5.5.6]) and non-compactness of $B_{\mathbb{K}}$, it follows that I is countable, and we get (2). \square

Since every locally compact \mathbb{K} is spherically complete and separable, as a direct consequence of Lemma 5 and Proposition 17, we derive the following.

Corollary 18. *If \mathbb{K} is locally compact then, for every Banach space E over \mathbb{K} , $E_{w^*}^*$ has the Lindelöf property.*

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem of this section. Recall that a topological space X is called *hereditary separable* if every subset of X is separable.

Theorem 19. *Suppose either E has a base or \mathbb{K} is spherically complete. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) E is separable, i.e. E is of countable type and \mathbb{K} is separable.
- (2) E_w is separable.
- (3) E_w is hereditary separable.
- (4) E_w has the Lindelöf property.
- (5) $E_{w^*}^*$ is hereditary separable.
- (6) $E_{w^*}^*$ has countable tightness.

If, in addition, \mathbb{K} is not locally compact then (1) – (6) are equivalent to

- (7) E_w has countable tightness.
- (8) $E_{w^*}^*$ has the Lindelöf property.

Proof. (1) \iff (2) \iff (4) \iff (5): Any of the properties involved in these equivalences implies that \mathbb{K} is separable. Indeed, for (1), (4) and (5), just note that \mathbb{K} is isomorphic to every one-dimensional subspace of E , E_w and $E_{w^*}^*$, respectively. For (2), separability of \mathbb{K} follows from the fact that, as $E^* \neq \{0\}$, \mathbb{K} is the image of E under a continuous map $E_w \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$. By Lemma 5, E is isomorphic to $c_0(I)$ for some I . Now, the equivalences follow [10, Theorem 3].

For (1) \implies (3) proceed as in the second paragraph of the proof of Theorem 12. Also, (3) \implies (2) and (5) \implies (6) are obvious.

(6) \implies (1): Since E is polar then, by Proposition 14, \mathbb{K} is separable. Then (1) follows from Lemma 5 and Proposition 15.

Finally, if \mathbb{K} is not locally compact, then (1) \iff (7) follows from Theorem 12. Also, (8) implies that \mathbb{K} is separable, so (1) \iff (8) follows from Lemma 5 and Proposition 17. \square

Remark 20.

1. Item (5) in Theorem 19 cannot be replaced only by separability of $E_{w^*}^*$. Indeed, let \mathbb{K} be separable and let $E = c_0(I)$, where I is an uncountable set with cardinality equals to 2^{\aleph_0} . By Lemma 13, B_{E^*} , equipped with the restricted weak* topology, is homeomorphic to $B_{\mathbb{K}}^I$, hence B_{E^*} is separable, by [4, Theorem 2.3.15]. Thus, $E_{w^*}^*$ is also separable. However, $E_{w^*}^*$ does not have countable tightness by Theorem 19.

2. Let \mathbb{K} be locally compact. Then, the equivalence of (1) – (6) and (7), (8) in Theorem 19 fails. For an example, let $E = c_0(I)$, where I is uncountable. Then E is a non-separable space such that, by Proposition 11 and Corollary 18, E_w has countable tightness and $E_{w^*}^*$ has the Lindelöf property, respectively.

3. If the assumptions in Theorem 19 are dropped then the conclusions of this result fail. Indeed, let $F = \ell^\infty$ over a non-spherically complete separable K (e.g. $K = C_p$). ℓ^∞ is a non-separable space, it does not even have a base, so that (1) of Theorem 19 fails for ℓ^∞ . However, as $F_w = E_{w^*}^*$ and $F_{w^*}^* = E_w$ with $E = c_0$, applying Theorem 19 for $E = c_0$ we deduce that (2) – (8) of Theorem 19 hold for ℓ^∞ .

4. Also, for every non-spherically complete \mathbb{K} there exists a non-archimedean Banach space E such that $E^* = \{0\}$ (e.g. $E = \ell^\infty/c_0$). Trivially, the conclusions of Theorem 19 and Proposition 14 fail for such spaces.

5. Let X be a zero-dimensional and compact topological space. By [12, Theorem 2.5.22], the Banach space $C(X, \mathbb{K})$ (of all \mathbb{K} -valued continuous maps on X , equipped with the canonical maximum norm) has a base. Hence, by Theorem 19 and [12, Theorem 2.5.24], if \mathbb{K} is not locally compact, $C(X, \mathbb{K})$ equipped with the weak topology has countable tightness if and only if X is ultrametrizable and \mathbb{K} is separable. In particular, let $X = [0, \omega_1]$. Then, $C(X, \mathbb{K})$ with respect to the weak topology has countable tightness only if \mathbb{K} is locally compact. However, $C_p(X, \mathbb{K})$, the locally convex space $C(X, \mathbb{K})$ endowed with the pointwise topology, has countable tightness (even Fréchet-Uryhson property) for any \mathbb{K} , see [9, Theorem 16].

Now, we are ready to prove Theorems 2 and 3.

of *Theorem 2*. If \mathbb{K} is locally compact then, for every Banach space E over \mathbb{K} , E_w has countable tightness, by Proposition 11, and E_{w^*} has the Lindelöf property, by Corollary 18.

Conversely, assume that \mathbb{K} is not locally compact. For any uncountable set I , $E := c_0(I)$ is not of countable type. From Theorem 19 we obtain that E_w does not have countable tightness and E_{w^*} does not have the Lindelöf property. \square

of *Theorem 3*. If \mathbb{K} is spherically complete, the conclusion follows from Theorem 19. Assume now that \mathbb{K} is non-spherically complete and separable. Let $E = \ell^\infty$. Then, E_w has the Lindelöf property and E_{w^*} has countable tightness, but E is not separable, see Remark 20.3. \square

We finish the paper with an open problem, which raises naturally after looking at Theorem 19 and Remarks 20.3, 20.4.

Problem 21. *Let \mathbb{K} be non-spherically complete and separable. Let E be a polar Banach space over \mathbb{K} without a base. Suppose that E_w (resp. E_{w^*}) has countable tightness or (and) the Lindelöf property. Does it imply that E_w (resp. E_{w^*}) is separable, even hereditary separable?*

REFERENCES

- [1] A. V. Arkhangel'skii, *Topological Function Spaces*. Math. and its Applications. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992.
- [2] B. Cascales, J. Kąkol, S. A. Saxon, *Weight of precompact subsets and tightness*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **269** (2002), 500–518.
- [3] H. H. Corson, *The weak topology of a Banach space*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1961), 1–15.
- [4] R. Engelking, *General Topology*. Heidermann, Berlin, 1989.
- [5] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos Santalucía, J. Pelant, J. and V. Zizler, *Functional Analysis and Infinite-Dimensional Geometry*. CMS Books in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [6] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos Santalucía and V. Zizler, *Banach Space Theory. The Basis for Linear and Nonlinear Analysis*. CMS Books in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2011.
- [7] J. Kąkol, J., W. Kubiś, M. Lopez-Pellicer, *Descriptive Topology in Selected Topics of Functional Analysis*. Developments in Mathematics, Springer, 2011.
- [8] J. Kąkol, W. Śliwa, *On the weak topology of Banach spaces over non-archimedean fields*. Topology Appl. **158** (2011), 1131–1135.
- [9] J. Kąkol, W. Śliwa, *Descriptive topology in non-archimedean function spaces $C_p(X, \mathbb{K})$. Part I*. Bull. London Math. Soc. **44** (2012), 899–912.
- [10] J. Kąkol, C. Perez-Garcia, W. Śliwa, *Non-archimedean function spaces and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem*. Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **19** (2012), 173–184.
- [11] J. Orihuela, *On weakly Lindelöf Banach spaces*, in: Progress in Functional Analysis, K. D. Bierstedt et. al. (edts), North-Holland Math. Studies **170** (1992), 279–291.

- [12] C. Perez-Garcia, W. H. Schikhof, *Locally Convex Spaces over Non-archimedean Valued Fields*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [13] R. Pol, *On a question of H. H. Corson and some related problems*. *Fund. Math.* **109** (1980), 143-154.
- [14] A. C. M. van Rooij, *Non-archimedean Functional Analysis*. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1978.
- [15] W. H. Schikhof, *Ultrametric Calculus. An Introduction to p -adic Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984.
- [16] W. H. Schikhof, *A perfect duality between p -adic Banach spaces and compactoids*. *Indag. Math., New Ser.* **6** (1995), 325-339.
- [17] M. Talagrand, *Espaces de Banach faiblement \mathcal{K} -analytiques*. *Ann. of Math.* **110** (1979), 407-438.

E-mail address: kakol@amu.edu.pl

E-mail address: albert.kubzdela@put.poznan.pl

E-mail address: perezmc@unican.es

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS A. MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY, 61 – 614 POZNAŃ,
POLAND AND INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, PRAHA, CZECH REPUBLIC

INSTITUTE OF CIVIL ENGINEERING, POZNAŃ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, UL. PIOTROWO 5,
61-138 POZNAŃ, POLAND

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE CANTABRIA, AVDA.
DE LOS CASTROS S/N, 39071, SANTANDER, SPAIN