

On a theorem of D. P. Baturov

Juan Carlos Ferrando

Revista de la Real Academia de
Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales.
Serie A. Matemáticas

ISSN 1578-7303

Volume 111

Number 2

RACSAM (2017) 111:499-505

DOI 10.1007/s13398-016-0312-4



Your article is protected by copyright and all rights are held exclusively by Springer-Verlag Italia. This e-offprint is for personal use only and shall not be self-archived in electronic repositories. If you wish to self-archive your article, please use the accepted manuscript version for posting on your own website. You may further deposit the accepted manuscript version in any repository, provided it is only made publicly available 12 months after official publication or later and provided acknowledgement is given to the original source of publication and a link is inserted to the published article on Springer's website. The link must be accompanied by the following text: "The final publication is available at link.springer.com".

On a theorem of D. P. Baturov

Juan Carlos Ferrando¹

Received: 2 March 2016 / Accepted: 26 May 2016 / Published online: 2 June 2016
© Springer-Verlag Italia 2016

Abstract A deep result of C_p -theory, due to D. P. Baturov, states that if X is a Lindelöf Σ -space, for every subset H of $C_p(X)$ the extent of H equals the Lindelöf number of H . The most useful consequence of this result asserts that if X is a Lindelöf Σ -space, every countably compact subset H of $C_p(X)$ is a monolithic Fréchet–Urysohn compact. Since every compact set of $C_p(X)$ is Gul’ko compact whenever X is Lindelöf Σ and for such X a well known angelicity theorem due to Orihuela assures that $C_p(X)$ is angelic, both ingredients yield Baturov’s utility grade theorem. In this paper we get a simple proof of Orihuela’s theorem, which provides in turn a simple proof of Baturov’s utility grade result. Our approach is independent of the original techniques used in both proofs.

Keywords Lindelöf Σ -space · Gul’ko compact set · Angelic space · Fréchet–Urysohn space · Locally convex space · Relatively countably compact set

Mathematics Subject Classification 54C35 · 46A03 · 54D30

1 Introduction

Baturov’s theorem mentioned in the abstract can be found in [1, III.6.1 Theorem] and Orihuela’s angelicity result can be seen in [5] or in [3, Theorem 4.5]. Both results are useful tools in C_p -theory, Descriptive Topology and Functional Analysis.

Let us recall that a completely regular space X is called *Lindelöf Σ* if there is a set $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and a *usc* (upper semi-continuous) map $T : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$, where $\mathcal{K}(X)$ stands for the family of all compact subspaces of X , such that $\bigcup \{T(\alpha) : \alpha \in \Sigma\} = X$. A compact space X is called

Partially supported by Grant PROMETEO/2013/058 of the Conserjería de Educación, Cultura y Deportes of Generalidad Valenciana.

✉ Juan Carlos Ferrando
jc.ferrando@umh.es

¹ Centro de Investigación Operativa, Universidad Miguel Hernández, 03202 Elche, Spain

Gul'ko compact if $C_p(X)$ is a Lindelöf Σ -space. A compact space X is *monolithic* if each separable subspace Y of X is metrizable. A topological space X is called *Fréchet–Urysohn* if for each $Y \subseteq X$ and each $y \in \bar{Y}$ there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in Y such that $y_n \rightarrow y$ in X . Every Gul'ko compact set is both Fréchet–Urysohn and monolithic. A topological space X is called *web-compact* if there is a map T from a subspace Σ of $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ into X such that $\bigcup\{T(\alpha) : \alpha \in \Sigma\} = X$ and if $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ in Σ and $x_n \in T(\alpha_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\{x_n\}$ has a cluster point in X (see [5, Definition] or [3, Chapter 4]). Clearly, every Lindelöf Σ -space is web-compact and necessarily each set $T(\alpha)$ of the previous definition is relatively countably compact. The family $\{T(\alpha) : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$ is usually referred to as a *web-compact representation* in X . If X is completely regular, we shall denote by νX the Hewitt *real compactification* of X . If $\mathcal{M} = \{L_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ is a family of linear subspaces of a topological vector space E , a linear functional u on E is called \mathcal{M} -*continuous* if u is continuous on each L_α .

2 Preliminary background

If X is a completely regular (Hausdorff) space, we denote by $C(X)$ the linear space of real-valued functions defined on X , or by $C_p(X)$ when equipped with the *pointwise* topology τ_p . The topological dual of $C_p(X)$ is denoted by $L(X)$, or by $L_p(X)$ when provided with the weak* topology. The linear space $C(X)$ equipped with the *compact-open* topology τ_c is represented by $C_c(X)$. We will not use Fremlin's angelic lemma.

Theorem 1 *Let X be completely regular. In order that $C_p(X)$ be angelic, the following conditions are equivalent*

1. X is a Lindelöf Σ -space
2. X contains a Lindelöf Σ -subspace that separates the functions of $C(X)$
3. X contains a dense Lindelöf Σ -subspace
4. X is a realcompact web-space
5. X is a web space

Proof Let us shorten by A the proposition 'the space $C_p(X)$ is angelic' and by H_i the i th-statement, for $1 \leq i \leq 5$, listed above.

1. Assume that proposition $H_1 \rightarrow A$ is true. If X contains a Lindelöf Σ -subspace Y that separates the functions of $C(X)$, then $L_p(Y)$ is a Lindelöf Σ -space by [1, 0.5.14 Corollary]. Moreover, since Y separates the functions of $C(X)$, it turns out that $\langle C(X), L(Y) \rangle$ is a dual pair, so that the weak topology $\sigma(C(X), L(Y))$ on $C(X)$ is Hausdorff. Thanks to $H_1 \rightarrow A$ is supposed to be true, $C_p(L_p(Y))$ is angelic. Since $(C(X), \sigma(C(X), L(Y)))$ is embedded in $C_p(L_p(Y))$ and angelicity respects the subspaces, $(C(X), \sigma(C(X), L(Y)))$ is angelic. Due to $\sigma(C(X), L(X))$ is a regular topology stronger than $\sigma(C(X), L(Y))$, one has that $C_p(X) = (C(X), \sigma(C(X), L(X)))$ is angelic too. So $H_2 \rightarrow A$ is true.
2. Assume that $H_2 \rightarrow A$ is true. If X contains a dense Lindelöf Σ -subspace Y , then Y separates the functions of $C(X)$. So, by hypothesis $C_p(X)$ is angelic and $H_3 \rightarrow A$ holds.
3. Assume that $H_3 \rightarrow A$ is true. Let X be a realcompact web-space and let $\{T(\alpha) : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$, with $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$, be a web-compact representation in X consisting of relatively countably compact sets. Since X is realcompact, each relatively countably compact set in X is relatively compact. According to [3, Proposition 4.6], these two facts imply that X contains a dense Lindelöf Σ -space. Thus condition 3 holds, which means that $C_p(X)$ must be angelic. Consequently $H_4 \rightarrow A$ is true.

4. Assume that $H_4 \rightarrow A$ is true. If X is a completely regular web-space, clearly νX is also a web-space. Since νX is realcompact, according to our assumption the space $C_p(\nu X)$ is angelic. But in this case, a standard argument using the properties of the Hewitt real compactification νX of X shows that the space $C_p(X)$ is angelic as well. Thus the proposition $H_5 \rightarrow A$ is true.
5. Assume finally that proposition $H_5 \rightarrow A$ is true. If X is a Lindelöf Σ -space, then obviously X is web-compact. So condition 5 holds and, according to our assumption, $C_p(X)$ ought to be angelic. Thus proposition $H_1 \rightarrow A$ holds and we are done. \square

The following property was proclaimed to be true for web-spaces X in [5], and actually proved in [2, Theorem 2]. We include it here for the sake of completeness. Another closed related result, consequence of Baturov's theorem, can be read in [1, IV.9.10].

Lemma 2 *Let X be completely regular. If X contains a Lindelöf Σ -subspace that separates the functions of $C(X)$, then every compact set of $C_p(X)$ is a Gul'ko compact space, hence a monolithic Fréchet–Urysohn space.*

Proof Let Y be a Lindelöf Σ -space of X that separates the functions of $C(X)$. So, there is a subset Σ of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and a map T from Σ into the family $\mathcal{K}(Y)$ of compact sets of Y such that $\{T(\alpha) : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$ covers Y and if $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ in Σ and $y_n \in T(\alpha_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a cluster point $y \in T(\alpha)$. Now let H be a compact set of $C_p(X)$ and define

$$S_\alpha = \{ \delta_y|_H : y \in T(\alpha) \}$$

for each $\alpha \in \Sigma$. Clearly S_α is a compact set of $C_p(H)$. Setting $M := \cup \{S_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\} = \{ \delta_y|_H : y \in Y \}$, we may define $S : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(C_p(H))$ by the rule $S(\alpha) = S_\alpha$. Then it is obvious that M is a Lindelöf Σ -subspace of $C_p(H)$. Since Y separates the functions of $C(X)$, if $f, g \in H$ with $f \neq g$ there exists $z \in Y$ such that $f(z) \neq g(z)$, that is, $\langle \delta_z|_H, f \rangle \neq \langle \delta_z|_H, g \rangle$. Hence, M is a Lindelöf Σ -subspace of $C_p(H)$ which separates the points of H . So we may apply [7, Theorem 3.4] to guarantee that $C_p(H)$ is a Lindelöf Σ -space. This shows that H is a Gul'ko compact space, as stated. \square

If X is a completely regular space, in what follows we shall denote by \mathcal{N} the family of all countable subsets of X and by \mathcal{M} the family of linear subspaces of $L(X)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{M} := \{ \overline{L(N)} : N \in \mathcal{N} \},$$

where $L(N)$ is the linear span of $\{ \delta_x : x \in N \}$ and the closure is in $L_p(X)$. If $f \in \mathbb{R}^X$, the linearization u_f of f on $L(X)$ is the linear functional on $L(X)$ given by

$$\left\langle u_f, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \delta_{x_i} \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f(x_i)$$

for $(a_i, x_i) \in \mathbb{R} \times X$.

Lemma 3 *If X is completely regular, the linear space $F_p(X)$ consisting of all real-valued functions defined on X whose linearization is \mathcal{M} -continuous, equipped with the pointwise topology on X , is realcompact.*

Proof Since $C_p(X) \hookrightarrow F_p(X) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^X$ in a natural way, both spaces $C_p(X)$ and $F_p(X)$ have the same topological dual, which coincides with $L(X)$. Note that $\sigma(L(X), C(X))$

and $\sigma(L(X), F(X))$ coincide on $\overline{L(N)}$, where the closure is in $\sigma(L(X), C(X))$, for each $N \in \mathcal{N}$. Hence

$$\overline{L(N)}^{\sigma(L(X), C(X))} = \overline{L(N)}^{\sigma(L(X), F(X))}$$

and this space is separable when equipped with both relative topologies. Let us write \mathcal{T} instead of $\sigma(L(X), F(X))$.

Let w be a linear functional on $L(X)$ such that $w|_E$ is continuous on every closed and separable linear subspace E of $(L(X), \mathcal{T})$. Then choose $f \in \mathbb{R}^X$ such that $u_f = w$, namely $f := w|_X$, where u_f stands for the linearization of f on $L(X)$. If $N \in \mathcal{N}$, the \mathcal{T} -closure $M = \overline{\langle N \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}}^{\mathcal{T}}$ of the rational span $\langle N \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of N is a closed and separable linear subspace of $(L(X), \mathcal{T})$, hence $w|_M$ is \mathcal{T} -continuous on M . Observe that $\overline{L(N)}^{\sigma(L(X), C(X))} = \overline{L(N)}^{\mathcal{T}} = M$, so that the linear functional w is M -continuous. Since w coincides with the linearization u_f of f , from the definition of the space $F(X)$ it follows that $f \in F(X)$. Therefore w is a continuous linear functional on $(L(X), \mathcal{T})$. According to Corson's criterion of weak realcompactness (see [8, Chapter 1, 8(6) and (7)]), the space $F_p(X)$ is realcompact. \square

3 Simple proof of Orihuela's theorem

Let us provide a purely C_p -theory proof of Orihuela's angelicity theorem from we shall derive Baturov's utility grade theorem.

Theorem 4 (Orihuela) *Let X be a completely regular space. If X is web-compact, then $C_p(X)$ is angelic.*

Proof By Theorem 1 we may assume that X is a Lindelöf Σ -space. So let us suppose that there are a subset Σ of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and a map T from Σ into the family $\mathcal{K}(X)$ of compact sets of X such that $\{T(\alpha) : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$ covers X and if $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ in Σ and $x_n \in T(\alpha_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a cluster point $x \in T(\alpha)$.

Select a relatively countably compact subset H of $C_p(X)$, whose closure \overline{H} in $F_p(X)$ we shall represent by K . Since H is relatively countably compact in $C_p(X)$, clearly H is relatively countably compact in the realcompact (by Lemma 3) space $F_p(X)$. Hence K is a compact subset of $F_p(X)$.

Note that each $\delta_x \in L(X)$ is a $\sigma(C(X), L(X))$ -continuous linear form on $C(X)$. Denote by $\overline{\delta}_x$ the (unique) continuous linear extension of δ_x to $F_p(X)$ and define

$$S_{\alpha} = \{\overline{\delta}_x|_K : x \in T(\alpha)\} \subseteq C(K)$$

for each $\alpha \in \Sigma$. We claim that S_{α} is a compact subset of $C_p(K)$. Let us show in first place that S_{α} is countably compact. If $\{\overline{\delta}_{x_n}|_K : d \in D\}$ is a sequence in S_{α} there are $x \in T(\alpha)$ and a subnet $\{y_d : d \in D\}$ of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $y_d \rightarrow x$ in $T(\alpha)$ under the relative topology of X , so that $f(y_d) \rightarrow f(x)$ or rather $\langle \delta_{y_d}, f \rangle \rightarrow \langle \delta_x, f \rangle$ for all $f \in C(X)$. Using the fact that $y_d \rightarrow x$ happens in \overline{N} with $N = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and that on \overline{N} coincide the original topology of X with the initial topology defined by $F(X)$, we get that $f(y_d) \rightarrow f(x)$ or, written in another form, that $\langle \overline{\delta}_{y_d}|_K, f \rangle \rightarrow \langle \overline{\delta}_x|_K, f \rangle$ for all $f \in F_p(X)$. In particular $\langle \overline{\delta}_{y_d}|_K, h \rangle \rightarrow \langle \overline{\delta}_x|_K, h \rangle$ for each $h \in K$, so that $\overline{\delta}_{y_d}|_K \rightarrow \overline{\delta}_x|_K$ on S_{α} . This shows that S_{α} is a countably compact subspace of $C_p(K)$. But, given that K is compact, $C_p(K)$ is angelic by virtue of the classic Grothendieck theorem [1, III.4.1 Theorem]. So we must conclude that S_{α} is a compact set, as stated.

Set $M := \cup \{S_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\} \subseteq C(K)$ and define the map $S : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(C_p(K))$ by the rule $S(\alpha) = S_\alpha$. If $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ in Σ and $u_n \in S(\alpha_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $u_n = \bar{\delta}_{z_n}|_K$ for some $z_n \in T(\alpha_n)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $z \in T(\alpha)$ be a cluster point of the sequence $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in X , so that δ_z is a cluster point of the sequence $\{\delta_{z_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $L_p(X)$. Setting $u := \bar{\delta}_z|_K \in S(\alpha)$ and taking into account that $N = \{z_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countable set, we conclude as before that u is a cluster point of $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $C_p(K)$.

This shows that M is a Lindelöf Σ -subspace of $C_p(K)$. Let us prove next that M separates the points of K . Indeed, since $\langle L(X), F(X) \rangle$ is a dual pair, choose $g, h \in K$ with $g \neq h$. Given that $g - h \in F(X)$ there exists $\xi \in L(X)$ with $\langle \xi, g - h \rangle \neq 0$. So if $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \delta_{y_i}$ one has

$$\sum_{i=1}^n b_i \langle \bar{\delta}_{y_i}, g - h \rangle \neq 0.$$

This entails the existence of some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\langle \bar{\delta}_{y_j}, g - h \rangle \neq 0$. In other words, we have that $\langle \bar{\delta}_{y_j}|_K, g \rangle \neq \langle \bar{\delta}_{y_j}|_K, h \rangle$.

Since K is a compact set and M is a Lindelöf Σ -subspace of $C_p(K)$ that separates the points of K , we can use [7, Theorem 3.4] to ensure that $C_p(K)$ is a Lindelöf Σ -space. Consequently K is a Gul'ko compact subset of $F_p(X)$. Now we claim that $K \subseteq C(X)$. Indeed, if $f \in K$ then $f \in \bar{H}$, where the closure is in $F_p(X)$. Hence, there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in H such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $F_p(X)$. But since the set H is relatively countably compact in $C_p(X)$, there exists in $C(X)$ a τ_p -cluster point g of $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. So, there is a subnet $\{f_{n_d} : d \in D\}$ such that $f_{n_d} \rightarrow g$, which implies that $f = g \in C(X)$.

The latter argument shows that H is a relatively compact subset of $C_p(X)$. So we must conclude that every relatively countably compact set of $C_p(X)$ is relatively compact. In particular, every relatively sequentially compact set of $C_p(X)$ is relatively compact. Finally, if Q is a compact set of $C_p(X)$ and $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence in Q , there is a weak cluster point g of $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $C(X)$. Since $g \in \{g_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and Q is Fréchet–Urysohn, there exists a subsequence $\{g_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ that converges to g . This shows that Q is sequentially compact. Therefore $C_p(X)$ is angelic. \square

Remark 5 If $f \in F(X)$ then f is continuous on N for each $N \in \mathcal{N}$. Since u_f is continuous on $L(N)$, if v_f is a Hahn–Banach extension of $u_f|_{L(N)}$ to $L_p(X)$, we see that $f_N := v_f|_X \in C(X)$ coincides with f on N . Hence every $f \in F(X)$ is strictly \aleph_0 -continuous in the sense of Arkhangel'skiĭ [1, Chapter II]. So, it follows from [1, II.4.17 Corollary] and Lemma 3 above that $C_p(X)$ is realcompact if and only if $F_p(X) = C_p(X)$.

Corollary 6 (Baturov) *Let X be a completely regular space. If X contains a Lindelöf Σ -subspace that separates the functions of $C(X)$, every compact set of $C_p(X)$ is a Gul'ko compact space and $C_p(X)$ is angelic. Consequently, every countably compact set of $C_p(X)$ is a monolithic Fréchet–Urysohn compact.*

Proof The first statement follows from Lemma 2. Since, by Theorem 4, $C_p(X)$ is angelic, each countably compact set of $C_p(X)$ is a monolithic Fréchet–Urysohn compact.

4 Approach by locally convex space techniques

In this complementary section we are going to see that usual locally convex machinery is capable to get at least the weak angelicity of $C_c(X)$. We shall only consider the case when X is a Lindelöf Σ -space.

Theorem 7 *Let X be a Lindelöf Σ -space. Usual locally convex techniques suffice to show that $C_c(X)$ is weakly angelic.*

Proof Let us suppose that there are a subset Σ of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and a map T from Σ into the family $\mathcal{K}(X)$ of compact sets of X such that $\{T(\alpha) : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$ covers X and if $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ in Σ and $x_n \in T(\alpha_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a cluster point $x \in T(\alpha)$. Let F denote the completion of $(C(X), \rho(C(X), L(X)))$, where $\rho(C(X), L(X))$ represents the topology on $C(X)$ of uniform convergence on the compact sets of $L_p(X)$. Since X is a μ -space, according to the Nachbin–Shirota theorem $C_c(X)$ is barrelled, so if E stands for the topological dual of $C_c(X)$ then τ_c coincides with $\beta(C(X), E)$. Since $\tau_c \leq \rho(C(X), L(X))$ and $\rho(C(X), L(X)) \leq \beta(C(X), L(X)) \leq \beta(C(X), E)$, it follows that $\rho(C(X), L(X)) = \tau_c$ on $C(X)$. Furthermore, the barrelledness of $C_c(X)$ also implies that $\rho(C(X), L(X)) = \mu(F, E)$ (this follows from [4, 21.4(4)]). Let us point out that, due to the fact that F is the completion of $(C(X), \rho(C(X), L(X)))$, the system $(F, L(X))$ is a dual pair. We follow as close as possible the proof of Theorem 6.

Select a relatively countably compact subset H of $(C(X), \sigma(C(X), E))$, whose closure \bar{H} under the weak topology $\sigma(F, E)$ we shall represent by K . Since H is relatively countably compact in $(C(X), \sigma(C(X), E))$, clearly H is relatively countably compact in $(F, \sigma(F, E))$. So, given that $(F, \mu(F, E))$ is complete, Eberlein’s theorem for locally convex spaces (see [6, Chapter 6, Theorem 4]) assures that K is a $\sigma(F, E)$ -compact set.

Denote by $\widehat{\delta}_x$ the (linear and) $\sigma(F, L(X))$ -continuous extension of δ_x to F and set

$$S_\alpha = \{\widehat{\delta}_x|_K : x \in T(\alpha)\} \subseteq C(K)$$

for each $\alpha \in \Sigma$. Note that S_α is a compact subset of $C_p(K)$, for if $\{\widehat{\delta}_{x_d}|_K : d \in D\}$ is a net in S_α there is $x \in T(\alpha)$ such that $x_d \rightarrow x$ in $T(\alpha)$ as a subspace of X , so that $\delta_{x_d} \rightarrow \delta_x$ in $L_p(X)$, i.e. under the weak topology $\sigma(L(X), C(X))$. But since $\rho(F, L(X))$ is the topology of uniform convergence on the compact sets of $L_p(X)$, that is, on the $\sigma(L(X), C(X))$ -compact subsets of $L(X)$, both topologies $\sigma(L(X), C(X))$ and $\sigma(L(X), F)$ coincide on S_α (see [6, Chapter VI, Corollary 3]). This guarantees that $\widehat{\delta}_{x_d} \rightarrow \widehat{\delta}_x$ in S_α under $\sigma(L(X), F)$, which implies that $\langle \widehat{\delta}_{x_d}|_K, h \rangle \rightarrow \langle \widehat{\delta}_x|_K, h \rangle$ for every $h \in K$. This shows that S_α is a compact set in $C_p(K)$, as stated.

Set $M := \cup \{S_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$ and define $S : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(C_p(K))$ by the rule $S(\alpha) = S_\alpha$. If $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ in Σ and $\varphi_n \in S(\alpha_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\varphi_n = \widehat{\delta}_{y_n}|_K$ for some $y_n \in T(\alpha_n)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $y \in T(\alpha)$ be a cluster point of the sequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ under the topology of X , so that δ_y is a cluster point of the sequence $\{\delta_{x_n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $L_p(X)$. Setting $\varphi := \widehat{\delta}_y|_K \in S(\alpha)$, we claim that φ is a cluster point of $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $C_p(K)$. In fact, observe that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T(\alpha_n)$ is a countably compact subset of X . So, setting $U_\alpha := \{\delta_x : x \in T(\alpha)\} \subseteq C(X)$ for each $\alpha \in \Sigma$, the set $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_{\alpha_n}$ is countably compact in $L_p(X)$. But since X is a Lindelöf Σ -space, it turns out that $L_p(X)$ is a Lindelöf space too, so that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_{\alpha_n}$ is actually a compact subset of $L_p(X)$. Thus both topologies $\sigma(L(X), C(X))$ and $\sigma(L(X), F)$ coincide on $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_{\alpha_n}$, or rather on $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{U}_{\alpha_n}$, where $\widehat{U}_{\alpha_n} := \{\delta_x : x \in T(\alpha_n)\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore δ_y is a $\sigma(L(X), F)$ -cluster point of the sequence $\{\widehat{\delta}_{y_n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, which implies that φ is a cluster point of $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $C_p(K)$. Hence M is a Lindelöf Σ -subspace of $C_p(K)$.

Let us prove next that M separates the points of K . So, choose $u, v \in K$ with $u \neq v$. Since $u - v \in F$ and $(L(X), F)$ is a dual pair, there exists $\xi \in L(X)$ with $\langle \xi, u - v \rangle \neq 0$. But $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \delta_{x_i}$ for $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$. Thus $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \langle \widehat{\delta}_{x_i}, u - v \rangle \neq 0$ and there is $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $\langle \widehat{\delta}_{x_j}|_K, u \rangle \neq \langle \widehat{\delta}_{x_j}|_K, v \rangle$.

Since K is a $\sigma(F, E)$ -compact set and M is a Lindelöf Σ -subspace of $C_p(K)$ that separates the points of K , we have that $C_p(K)$ is a Lindelöf Σ -space. Consequently K is a

Fréchet–Urysohn space. Let us see that $K \subseteq C(X)$. Indeed, if $u \in K$ then $u \in \overline{H}$, where the closure is in $\sigma(F, E)$. Hence, there is a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in H such that $f_n \rightarrow u$ under $\sigma(F, E)$. But since H is relatively countably compact in $(C(X), \sigma(C(X), E))$, there exists in $C(X)$ a $\sigma(C(X), E)$ -cluster point f of $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Hence $u = f \in C(X)$.

Thus every weakly relatively countably compact set of $C_c(X)$ is weakly relatively compact. Finally the same argument that was used in the final part of the proof of Theorem 6 shows that every weakly (relatively) sequentially compact set of $C_c(X)$ is (relatively) compact. So $(C(X), \sigma(C(X), E))$ is angelic. \square

References

1. Arkhangel'skiĭ, A.V.: Topological Function Spaces. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (1992)
2. Cascales, B., Orihuela, J.: On pointwise and weak compactness in spaces of continuous functions. Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Ser. B **40**, 331–352 (1988)
3. Kąkol, J., Kubiś, W., López-Pellicer, M.: Descriptive topology in selected topics of functional analysis. In: Developments in Mathematics, vol. 24. Springer, New York (2011)
4. Köthe, G.: Topological Vector Spaces I. Springer, Berlin (1983)
5. Orihuela, J.: Pointwise compactness in spaces of continuous functions. J. Lond. Math. Soc. **36**, 143–152 (1987)
6. Robertson, A.P., Robertson, W.: Topological Vector Spaces. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1973)
7. Talagrand, M.: Espaces de Banach faiblement K -analytiques. Ann. Math. **110**, 407–438 (1979)
8. Valdivia, M.: Notas de Matemática, vol. 67. Topics in locally convex spaces. North Holland, Amsterdam (1982)