



## On Countable Tightness and the Lindelöf Property in Non-Archimedean Banach Spaces

**Jerzy Kakol**

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, A. Mickiewicz University, 61-614 Poznan, Poland  
[kakol@amu.edu.pl](mailto:kakol@amu.edu.pl)

**Albert Kubzdela**

Institute of Civil Engineering, University of Technology, Ul. Piotrowo 5, 61-138 Poznan, Poland  
[albert.kubzdela@put.poznan.pl](mailto:albert.kubzdela@put.poznan.pl)

**Cristina Perez-Garcia**

Dept. of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Universidad de Cantabria, Avda. de los Castros s/n, 39071 Santander, Spain  
[perezmc@unican.es](mailto:perezmc@unican.es)

[\[Abstract-pdf\]](#)

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a non-archimedean valued field and let  $E$  be a non-archimedean Banach space over  $\mathbb{K}$ . By  $E_w$  we denote the space  $E$  equipped with its weak topology and by  $E^{w^*}$  the dual space  $E^*$  equipped with its weak\* topology. Several results about countable tightness and the Lindelöf property for  $E_w$  and  $E^{w^*}$  are provided. A key point is to prove that for a large class of infinite-dimensional polar Banach spaces  $E$ , countable tightness of  $E_w$  or  $E^{w^*}$  implies separability of  $\mathbb{K}$ . As a consequence we obtain the following two characterizations of the field  $\mathbb{K}$ :  
 (a) A non-archimedean valued field  $\mathbb{K}$  is locally compact if and only if for every Banach space  $E$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  the space  $E_w$  has countable tightness if and only if for every Banach space  $E$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  the space  $E^{w^*}_w$  has the Lindelöf property.  
 (b) A non-archimedean valued separable field  $\mathbb{K}$  is spherically complete if and only if every Banach space  $E$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  for which  $E_w$  has the Lindelöf property must be separable if and only if every Banach space  $E$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  for which  $E^{w^*}_w$  has countable tightness must be separable.  
 Both results show how essentially different are non-archimedean counterparts from the "classical" corresponding theorems for Banach spaces over the real or complex field.

[\[ Fulltext-pdf \(389 KB\) \]](#) for subscribers only.

[Journal Home Page](#)

[Cumulative Index](#)

[List of all Volumes](#)

[Complete Contents of this Volume](#)

[Previous Article](#)

[Next Article](#)

# ON COUNTABLE TIGHTNESS AND THE LINDELÖF PROPERTY IN NON-ARCHIMEDEAN BANACH SPACES

J. KAČOL, A. KUBZDELA, AND C. PEREZ-GARCIA

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a non-archimedean valued field and let  $E$  be a non-archimedean Banach space over  $\mathbb{K}$ . By  $E_w$  we denote the space  $E$  equipped with its weak topology and by  $E_{w^*}^*$  the dual space  $E^*$  equipped with its weak\* topology. Several results about countable tightness and the Lindelöf property for  $E_w$  and  $E_{w^*}^*$  are provided. A key point is to prove that for a large class of infinite-dimensional polar Banach spaces  $E$ , countable tightness of  $E_w$  or  $E_{w^*}^*$  implies separability of  $\mathbb{K}$ . As a consequence we obtain the following two characterizations of the field  $\mathbb{K}$ :

(a) A non-archimedean valued field  $\mathbb{K}$  is locally compact if and only if for every Banach space  $E$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  the space  $E_w$  has countable tightness if and only if for every Banach space  $E$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  the space  $E_{w^*}^*$  has the Lindelöf property.

(b) A non-archimedean valued separable field  $\mathbb{K}$  is spherically complete if and only if every Banach space  $E$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  for which  $E_w$  has the Lindelöf property must be separable if and only if every Banach space  $E$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  for which  $E_{w^*}^*$  has countable tightness must be separable.

Both results show how essentially different are non-archimedean counterparts from the "classical" corresponding theorems for Banach spaces over the real or complex field.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [3] Corson asked if, in the context of real or complex Banach spaces  $E$ , weakly compactly generated Banach spaces are exactly those  $E$  that are weakly Lindelöf, i.e. endowed with the weak topology  $\sigma(E, E^*)$  have the Lindelöf property.

Recall that a Banach space  $E$  is called *weakly compactly generated* if it admits a  $\sigma(E, E^*)$ -compact set whose linear hull is dense in  $E$ . It was proved in [17] that every weakly compactly generated Banach space is weakly Lindelöf, see also [11]. However, there are examples of weakly Lindelöf Banach spaces which are not weakly compactly generated, see [13, Section 3.3]. Notice that there are concrete non-separable weakly compactly generated (hence, weakly Lindelöf) Banach spaces, for example  $c_0(I, \mathbb{R})$  if  $I$  is uncountable, see e.g. [7] also as a good source of references. Although  $E_w$  does not

---

The research was supported for the first named author by Generalitat Valenciana, Conselleria d'Educació i Esport, Spain, Grant PROMETEO/2015/058 and by the GAČR project 16-34860L and RVO: 67985840. The second and the third named authors were supported by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, Grant MTM2013-45643-C2-2-P.

necessarily have the Lindelöf property, it has always the following useful property called countable tightness.

A topological space  $X$  is said to have *countable tightness* if for every  $A \subset X$  and  $x \in X$  with  $x \in \overline{A}$  there is a countable set  $T \subset A$  such that  $x \in \overline{T}$ . Recall also that  $X$  is said to have the *Lindelöf property* if it is regular and every open cover of  $X$  has a countable subcover.

By Kaplansky's theorem (see [5, Theorem 4.49], [6, Theorem 3.54]), for every real or complex Banach space  $E$ , the space  $E_w$  has countable tightness. The proof of this fact essentially uses the compactness of the dual unit ball equipped with the weak\* topology. Indeed, by Arkhangel'ski-Pytkeev's theorem, see [1, II.1.1], the space  $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$  of all real-valued continuous maps on a completely regular space  $X$ , endowed with the pointwise topology, has countable tightness if and only if every finite product  $X^n$  of  $X$  has the Lindelöf property. This result applies for many concrete spaces  $X$ , for example if  $X = E_{w^*}^*$ , the weak\*-dual of a metrizable real or complex locally convex space  $E$ . Then, as  $E_w$  embeds into  $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$  and  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, we obtain Kaplansky's result. In [2] (see also [7, Theorem 12.2]) it was proved that in a large class of locally convex spaces  $E$  (which contains for example all metrizable locally convex spaces,  $(DF)$ -spaces,  $(LF)$ -spaces, etc.), the space  $E_w$  has countable tightness if and only if  $E_{w^*}^*$  has the Lindelöf property. In particular, for every real or complex Banach space  $E$ , its weak\*-dual  $E_{w^*}^*$  has the Lindelöf property.

In this paper we will analyze this line of research when our main object will be now a non-archimedean Banach space  $E$  over a non-archimedean valued field  $\mathbb{K}$ .

Clearly, for every finite-dimensional  $E$ ,  $E_w$  and  $E_{w^*}^*$  have countable tightness since the weak and weak\* topologies coincide with the norm topologies on  $E$  and  $E^*$ , respectively; in this case  $E_w$  (resp.  $E_{w^*}^*$ ) has the Lindelöf property if and only if  $\mathbb{K}$  is separable (see [4, Corollary 4.1.16]). Therefore, we will center our attention on infinite-dimensional Banach spaces.

Kaçkol and Śliwa proved a non-archimedean counterpart of Kaplansky's theorem, which states that if  $\mathbb{K}$  is locally compact then, for every  $E$  over  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $E_w$  has countable tightness ([8, Proposition 2]). Also, we prove here that, for every  $E$  over such  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $E_{w^*}^*$  has the Lindelöf property (Corollary 18). In this context it is natural to ask if these two results are true without the assumption of the local compactness of  $\mathbb{K}$ . Then, the main question arises:

**Problem 1.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach space over  $\mathbb{K}$ . Describe conditions on  $E$  and  $\mathbb{K}$  under which  $E_w$  has countable tightness (resp.  $E_{w^*}^*$  has the Lindelöf property).*

We show that for a polar Banach space  $E$ , countable tightness of  $E_w$  implies separability of  $\mathbb{K}$ , see Proposition 10 (since  $\mathbb{K}$  is homeomorphically embedded in  $E_{w^*}^*$ , the Lindelöf property of this weak\*-dual also implies separability of  $\mathbb{K}$ , by [4, Corollary 4.1.16]). This result covers a large class of Banach spaces over  $\mathbb{K}$  not being necessarily spherically complete. Nevertheless, for non-locally compact  $\mathbb{K}$ , we prove (Theorem 19) that if either  $E$  has a base or  $\mathbb{K}$  is spherically complete, then  $E_w$  has countable tightness if and only if  $E$  is separable if and only if  $E_{w^*}^*$  has the Lindelöf property. A direct application of our Theorem 19 yields the following purely non-archimedean corollary: assume that  $\mathbb{K}$  is not locally compact. Then, the Banach space  $C(X, \mathbb{K})$  of all  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued continuous maps on a zero-dimensional compact space  $X$ , has countable tightness in the weak topology if and only if  $X$  is ultrametrizable and  $\mathbb{K}$  is separable, see Remark 20.5.

On the other hand, we show also that the previous situation differs if  $\mathbb{K}$  is not spherically complete. For this case, we provide an example of a non-separable normpolar Banach space  $E$  such that  $E_w$  has countable tightness and  $E_{w^*}^*$  has the Lindelöf property, see Remark 20.3.

These results together lead us to the following two interesting characterizations of the field  $\mathbb{K}$ .

**Theorem 2.** *A non-archimedean valued field  $\mathbb{K}$  is locally compact if and only if for every Banach space  $E$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  the space  $E_w$  has countable tightness if and only if for every Banach space  $E$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  the space  $E_{w^*}^*$  has the Lindelöf property.*

**Theorem 3.** *A non-archimedean valued separable field  $\mathbb{K}$  is spherically complete if and only if every Banach space  $E$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  for which  $E_w$  has the Lindelöf property must be separable if and only if every Banach space  $E$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  for which  $E_{w^*}^*$  has countable tightness must be separable.*

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $V$  be an ultrametric space, i.e. a metric space  $(V, d)$  where  $d$  satisfies the *strong triangle inequality*  $d(x, y) \leq \max\{d(x, z), d(z, y)\}$  for all  $x, y, z \in V$ . Let  $x \in V$  and  $r > 0$ ; recall that the set  $B_r(x) = \{y \in V : d(y, x) \leq r\}$  is called a *closed ball* in  $V$  and  $B_r^-(x) = \{y \in V : d(y, x) < r\}$  is called an *open ball* in  $V$ , respectively. Note that both balls are clopen (closed and open in the topological sense) and two balls in  $V$  are either disjoint, or one is contained in the other.

By a *non-archimedean valued field* we mean a non-trivially valued field  $\mathbb{K}$  that is complete under the metric induced by its valuation  $|\cdot| : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , which satisfies the *strong triangle inequality*  $|\lambda + \mu| \leq \max\{|\lambda|, |\mu|\}$  for all  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{K}$ .

Recall that  $|\mathbb{K}^*| = \{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}\}$  is the *value group* of  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $\mathbb{k} = B_{\mathbb{K}}/B_{\mathbb{K}}^-$  is the *residue class field* of  $\mathbb{K}$ , where  $B_{\mathbb{K}}$  and  $B_{\mathbb{K}}^-$  are the closed and open unit ball in  $\mathbb{K}$  centered

at zero, respectively.  $\mathbb{K}$  is said to be *discretely valued* if 0 is the only accumulation point of  $|\mathbb{K}^*|$  (then, there exists a *uniformizing element*  $\rho \in \mathbb{K}$  with  $0 < |\rho| < 1$  such that  $|\mathbb{K}^*| = \{|\rho|^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ ); otherwise, we say that  $\mathbb{K}$  is *densely valued* (then,  $|\mathbb{K}^*|$  is a dense subset of  $[0, \infty)$ ).

We say that  $\mathbb{K}$  is *spherically complete* if every shrinking sequence of balls in  $\mathbb{K}$  has a non-empty intersection; otherwise,  $\mathbb{K}$  is *non-spherically complete*. Every locally compact field is discretely valued and separable; every discretely valued field is spherically complete.

For any prime number  $p$  the field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  of  $p$ -adic numbers is non-archimedean and locally compact. On the other hand, the valued field  $\mathbb{C}_p$ , the completion of the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , is separable and non-spherically complete.

By a *non-archimedean Banach space* over  $\mathbb{K}$  we mean a complete normed space  $E$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  whose norm  $\|\cdot\|$  satisfies the *strong triangle inequality*  $\|x + y\| \leq \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$  for all  $x, y \in E$ . For  $A \subset E$ ,  $[A]$  denotes the linear hull of  $A$ .

The topological dual of  $E$  is denoted by  $E^*$ . Also,  $\sigma(E, E^*)$  and  $\sigma(E^*, E)$  are the weak and weak\* topology on  $E$  and  $E^*$ , respectively; and  $E_w := (E, \sigma(E, E^*))$ ,  $E_{w^*} := (E^*, \sigma(E^*, E))$ . For a set  $A \subset E$  (resp.  $A \subset E^*$ ),  $\overline{A}^w$  is the closure of  $A$  in  $E_w$  (resp.  $\overline{A}^{w^*}$  is the closure of  $A$  in  $E_{w^*}$ ).

If  $x^* \in E^*$ , then  $\ker x^* := \{x \in E : x^*(x) = 0\}$  is the kernel of  $x^*$ . If  $D$  is a subspace of  $E$ , by  $x^*|_D$  we mean the restriction of  $x^*$  to  $D$ . Analogously,  $\sigma(E, E^*)|_D$  denotes the restriction to  $D$  of the weak topology on  $E$ ; same procedure to denote the restriction of  $\sigma(E^*, E)$  to a subspace of  $E^*$ .

By  $B_E$  and  $B_{E^*}$  we mean the closed unit ball in  $E$  and  $E^*$  centered at zero, respectively.

We say that  $E$  is *normpolar* (or the norm of  $E$  is *polar*) if, for each  $x \in E$ ,  $\|x\| = \sup\{|x^*(x)| : x^* \in B_{E^*}\}$ .  $E$  is called *polar* if its norm topology is defined by a polar norm. If  $\mathbb{K}$  is spherically complete every Banach space  $E$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  is polar. For non-spherically complete ground fields, the most popular examples of non-archimedean Banach spaces are polar, see [12, Section 2.5].

A continuous linear map  $T : E \rightarrow F$  between two non-archimedean Banach spaces  $E, F$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  is called an *isomorphism* if  $T$  is bijective and its inverse  $T^{-1}$  is also continuous; in this case we say that  $E$  and  $F$  are *isomorphic*. Then, the adjoint of  $T$ ,  $T^* : F^* \rightarrow E^*$ ,  $y^* \mapsto y^* \circ T$  ( $y^* \in F^*$ ), is also an isomorphism with  $(T^*)^{-1} = (T^{-1})^*$ ; if, in addition,  $E, F$  are normpolar, then  $\|T\| = \|T^*\|$ .

Let  $I$  be an infinite set.  $\ell^\infty(I)$  denotes the (normpolar) non-archimedean Banach space over  $\mathbb{K}$  consisting of all bounded maps  $I \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ , equipped with the usual supremum norm given by  $\|(\lambda_i)_{i \in I}\| = \sup_{i \in I} |\lambda_i|$ .  $c_0(I)$  is the closed subspace of  $\ell^\infty(I)$  formed by the  $(\lambda_i)_{i \in I} \in \ell^\infty(I)$  such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a finite  $J \subset I$  for which  $|\lambda_i| < \varepsilon$  for all  $i \in I \setminus J$ . By  $c_{00}(I)$  we denote the linear hull of  $\{e_i : i \in I\}$ , where  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  are the unit vectors of  $c_0(I)$ . In particular,  $\ell^\infty := \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $c_0 := c_0(\mathbb{N})$  and  $c_{00} := c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$ . We

have  $c_0(I)^* = \ell^\infty(I)$ . For each  $y \in \ell^\infty(I)$  we denote by  $y^*$  the element of  $c_0(I)^*$  defined by  $y$ . When  $\mathbb{K}$  is not spherically complete and  $I$  is small,  $c_0(I)$  and  $\ell^\infty(I)$  are reflexive, so  $\ell^\infty(I)^* = c_0(I)$ . Recall that a set  $I$  is called *small* if it has non-measurable cardinality (the sets we meet in daily mathematical life are small; see [14, p. 31-33] for further discussions and references on small sets).

A family  $(x_i)_{i \in I}$  in  $E$  is a *base* of  $E$  if each  $x \in E$  has a unique expansion  $x = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i x_i$ , where  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{K}$  for all  $i \in I$ . The unit vectors of  $c_0(I)$  form a base of this space. Even more, if  $E$  has a base  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ , then  $E$  is isomorphic to  $c_0(I)$ , hence  $E$  is polar. For any infinite set  $I$ ,  $\ell^\infty(I)$  has a base if and only if  $\mathbb{K}$  is discretely valued.

Let  $t \in (0, 1]$ . A countable set  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\} \subset E \setminus \{0\}$  is called *t-orthogonal* if for each finite subset  $J$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  and all  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in J} \subset \mathbb{K}$  we have  $\|\sum_{i \in J} \lambda_i x_i\| \geq t \cdot \max_{i \in J} \|\lambda_i x_i\|$ .

$E$  is of *countable type* if it contains a countable set whose linear hull is dense in  $E$ . If  $\mathbb{K}$  is separable, then a Banach space is of countable type if and only if it is separable. If  $E$  is of countable type it has, for each  $t \in (0, 1)$ , a *t-orthogonal base*, i.e. a *t-orthogonal set*  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\} \subset E$  that is a base of  $E$ ; hence, if  $E$  is infinite-dimensional, it is isomorphic to  $c_0$ . For any infinite set  $I$ ,  $\ell^\infty(I)$  is not of countable type.

Throughout this paper  $\mathbb{K}$  will be a non-archimedean valued field. All the Banach spaces over  $\mathbb{K}$ , denoted by  $E, F, \dots$ , considered in the sequel are assumed to be non-archimedean and infinite-dimensional.

For more background on normed spaces over non-archimedean valued fields we refer the reader to [12] and [14].

The following two basic Lemmas will be used along the paper.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $E$  be normpolar. Then, for each  $t \in (0, 1)$  there exist *t-orthogonal sequences*  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  in  $E$  and  $x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots$  in  $E^*$  such that*

$$t \leq \|x_n\| \leq 1 \leq \|x_n^*\| \leq \frac{1}{t} \quad \text{and} \quad x_n^*(x_m) = \delta_{nm} \quad \text{for all } n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $t_1, t_2, \dots \in (t, 1)$  with  $t_1^2 \cdot t_2^2 \cdots > t$ . We are done as soon as we construct  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  in  $E$  and  $x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots$  in  $E^*$  such that

- (a)  $t_n \leq \|x_n\| \leq 1 \leq \|x_n^*\| \leq \frac{1}{t_1^2 \cdots t_n^2}$  and  $x_n^*(x_m) = \delta_{nm}$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (b) For each  $n \geq 2$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and  $x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*$  are  $(t_1^2 \cdots t_{n-1}^2)$ -orthogonal in  $E$  and  $E^*$ , respectively.

Let us proceed inductively for this construction. For  $n = 1$ , choose  $x_1 \in E$  with  $t_1 \leq \|x_1\| \leq 1$ . Let  $y_1^*$  be a linear functional defined on  $[x_1]$ , given by  $y_1^*(x_1) = 1$ . Then,  $1 \leq \|y_1^*\| = \frac{1}{\|x_1\|} \leq \frac{1}{t_1}$ . By normpolarity and [12, Theorem 4.4.5], we can extend  $y_1^*$  to  $x_1^* \in E^*$  with  $1 \leq \|x_1^*\| \leq \frac{1}{t_1^2}$ .

For the step  $n \rightarrow n + 1$ , suppose that we have constructed  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  in  $E$  and  $x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*$  in  $E^*$  satisfying (a) and (b). Choose  $x_{n+1} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker x_i^*$  with  $t_{n+1} \leq \|x_{n+1}\| \leq 1$ . Let us see that  $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$  is a  $(t_1^2 \cdot \dots \cdot t_n^2)$ -orthogonal set in  $E$ . For that, let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1} \in \mathbb{K}$ . For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we have

$$|\lambda_i| = |x_i^*(\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n+1} x_{n+1})| \leq \|x_i^*\| \|\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n+1} x_{n+1}\|,$$

from which

$$\|\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n+1} x_{n+1}\| \geq (t_1^2 \cdot \dots \cdot t_n^2) \|\lambda_i x_i\| \geq (t_1^2 \cdot \dots \cdot t_n^2) \|\lambda_i x_i\|,$$

and by [14, Lemma 3.2], we are done.

Now, let  $y_{n+1}^*$  be a linear functional defined on  $[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$  by  $y_{n+1}^*(\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n+1} x_{n+1}) = \lambda_{n+1}$  ( $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1} \in \mathbb{K}$ ). It is easily seen that  $1 \leq \|y_{n+1}^*\| \leq \frac{1}{t_1^2 \cdot \dots \cdot t_n^2 \cdot t_{n+1}}$ . Applying normpolarity and [12, Theorem 4.4.5] again, we can extend  $y_{n+1}^*$  to  $x_{n+1}^* \in E^*$  with  $1 \leq \|x_{n+1}^*\| \leq \frac{1}{t_1^2 \cdot \dots \cdot t_{n+1}^2}$ .

Finally, proceeding similarly as above for  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}$ , it can be proved that  $x_1^*, \dots, x_{n+1}^*$  are  $(t_1^2 \cdot \dots \cdot t_n^2)$ -orthogonal in  $E^*$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *Suppose either  $E$  has a base or  $\mathbb{K}$  is spherically complete and separable. Then  $E$  is isomorphic to  $c_0(I)$  for some  $I$ .*

*Proof.* When  $E$  has a base the conclusion follows from [14, Corollary 3.8]. Now, let  $\mathbb{K}$  be spherically complete and separable. By [15, Theorem 20.5],  $\mathbb{K}$  is discretely valued and by [12, Theorems 2.1.9 and 2.5.4]  $E$  is isomorphic to  $c_0(I)$  for some  $I$ .  $\square$

### 3. COUNTABLE TIGHTNESS.

The main results about countable tightness of  $E_w$  for the case when  $\mathbb{K}$  may not be locally compact (see Problem 1) are provided by Theorems 12 and 16. To prove them we need a few preparing lemmas.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $(V, d)$  be an ultrametric space. Then, for every  $r > 0$  there exists a partition of  $V$  consisting of closed (open) balls with radius equal to  $r$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the result for closed balls. Similarly can be done for open balls. Let  $r > 0$ . The formula  $x \sim y$  if  $|x - y| \leq r$ , defines an equivalence relation on  $V$ . Its equivalence classes form a partition of  $V$  consisting of closed balls with radius equal to  $r$ .  $\square$

Recall that if  $\mathbb{K}$  is separable, then  $\mathbb{k}$  and  $|\mathbb{K}^*|$  are both countable, but the converse is not true (see [15, Exercise 19.B]). We also get the following.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be non-separable. If the residue class field  $\mathbb{k}$  and the value group  $|\mathbb{K}^*|$  of  $\mathbb{K}$  are both countable, then we have the following.*

- (1)  $\mathbb{K}$  is densely valued.
- (2) For every  $r \in (0, 1) \cap |\mathbb{K}^*|$  there exists a partition of  $B_{\mathbb{K}} \setminus B_{\mathbb{K}}^-$ , consisting of uncountable many closed balls with radius equal to  $r$ .

*Proof.* (1): Assume that  $\mathbb{K}$  is discretely valued; we will arrive at a contradiction. If  $\rho \in \mathbb{K}$  is an uniformizing element, then  $B_{\mathbb{K}}^- = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| \leq |\rho|\}$ . Since, by assumption,  $\mathbb{k}$  is countable,  $B_{\mathbb{K}}$  has a countable partition formed by closed balls with radius equal to  $|\rho|$ . Hence, setting  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we imply that every closed ball contained in  $B_{\mathbb{K}}$  with radius equal to  $|\rho^n|$  has a countable partition consisting of closed balls with radius equal to  $|\rho^{n+1}|$ . Thus, we conclude that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $B_{\mathbb{K}}$  has a countable partition composed of closed balls with radius equal to  $|\rho^n|$ . This implies that  $B_{\mathbb{K}}$ , hence  $\mathbb{K}$ , is separable, a contradiction.

(2): Denote  $V = B_{\mathbb{K}} \setminus B_{\mathbb{K}}^- (= \{x \in \mathbb{K} : |x| = 1\})$ . Since  $|\mathbb{K}^*|$  is countable and  $\mathbb{K}$  is non-separable,  $V$  is also non-separable. This, together with Lemma 6, implies that the set

$$\mathcal{R} := \{r \in (0, 1) \cap |\mathbb{K}^*| : V \text{ has an uncountable partition consisting of closed balls with radius equal to } r\}$$

is non-empty.

Let  $p = \sup \mathcal{R}$ . Assume  $p < 1$ ; we will arrive at a contradiction. As we proved in (1),  $\mathbb{K}$  is densely valued, thus, we can find  $r_1 \in (p, 1) \cap |\mathbb{K}^*|$ . Also, there exists  $r_2 \in (r_1 p, p) \cap \mathcal{R}$ . Since  $r_1 > p$ , by Lemma 6 there exists a countable partition of  $V$ ,  $\{B_{r_1}(x_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Furthermore, since  $r_2 \in \mathcal{R}$  there is a partition  $\{B_{r_2}(y_i) : i \in I\}$  of  $V$  for some uncountable  $I$ . Hence, there are  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and uncountable  $J \subset I$  such that  $B_{r_1}(x_m) = \bigcup_{i \in J} B_{r_2}(y_i)$ .

Since  $r_1 \in |\mathbb{K}^*|$  there is  $\mu_1 \in \mathbb{K}$  with  $|\mu_1| = r_1$ . Define the map  $T : B_{r_1}(x_m) \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{K}}$  setting  $T(z) := \frac{1}{\mu_1}(z - x_m)$ . Hence,  $B_{\mathbb{K}}$  has an uncountable partition

$$\{B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}}(T(y_i))\}_{i \in J}.$$

Let

$$J_v = \{i \in J : B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}}(T(y_i)) \subset V\}.$$

We show that  $J_v$  is uncountable. Assume for a contradiction that  $J_v$  is countable. Then, for every  $\lambda \in B_{\mathbb{K}}$

$$\{B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}|\lambda|}(\lambda T(y_i))\}_{i \in J_v}$$

is a countable partition of the set  $V_\lambda = \{x \in B_{\mathbb{K}} : |x| = |\lambda|\}$ . Fix  $\lambda \in B_{\mathbb{K}}$  such that  $|\lambda| > \frac{r_2}{r_1}$  and consider the family  $\{B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}}(\lambda T(y_i))\}_{i \in J_v}$ . Then,

$$\bigcup_{i \in J_v} B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}}(\lambda T(y_i)) = V_\lambda.$$



Indeed, if  $x \in V_\lambda$  then there is  $i \in J_v$  such that  $x \in B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}|\lambda|}(\lambda T(y_i))$ ; thus,  $x \in B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}}(\lambda T(y_i))$ . On the other hand, assume that  $x \in B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}}(\lambda T(y_i))$  for some  $i \in J_v$ . Clearly  $\lambda T(y_i) \in V_\lambda$  and  $|x| = |x - \lambda T(y_i) + \lambda T(y_i)| = |\lambda T(y_i)|$  since  $|x - \lambda T(y_i)| < \frac{r_2}{r_1} < |\lambda| = |\lambda T(y_i)|$ . Thus,  $x \in V_\lambda$ .

Note that if  $|\lambda T(y_i) - \lambda T(y_j)| \leq \frac{r_2}{r_1}$  then  $B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}}(\lambda T(y_i)) = B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}}(\lambda T(y_j))$ . Hence, from  $J_v$  we can select a subset  $J'_v$  such that  $\{B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}}(\lambda T(y_i))\}_{i \in J'_v}$  is a partition (obviously countable) of  $V_\lambda$ .

By assumption,  $|\mathbb{K}^*|$  is countable; thus, we can find a countable subset  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots\} \subset B_{\mathbb{K}}$ ,  $|\lambda_n| \neq |\lambda_m|$  if  $n \neq m$ , such that  $|\mathbb{K}^*| \cap (\frac{r_2}{r_1}, 1] = \{|\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2|, \dots\}$ . Then,

$$B_{\mathbb{K}} = B_{\frac{r_2}{r_1}}(0) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{\lambda_n}.$$

As we proved above,  $V_{\lambda_n}$  has a countable partition consisting of closed balls with radius equal to  $\frac{r_2}{r_1}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $V_{\lambda_n} \cap V_{\lambda_m} = \emptyset$  if  $n \neq m$ , we imply that  $B_{\mathbb{K}}$  has a countable partition consisting of closed balls with radius equal to  $\frac{r_2}{r_1}$ , either. Thus,  $\frac{r_2}{r_1} \in \mathcal{R}$ . However,  $\frac{r_2}{r_1} > \frac{r_1 p}{r_1} = p$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $\sup \mathcal{R} = 1$ , from which (2) follows easily.  $\square$

Next two lemmas, which will be used in the sequel, show that if  $\mathbb{K}$  is not separable,  $c_0$  contains subsets which do not have countable tightness with respect to the restricted weak topology and weak\* topology, respectively.

**Lemma 8.** *Assume that  $\mathbb{K}$  is not separable. Let  $E = c_0$  and  $S_0 = \{x \in c_{00} : \|x\| = 1\}$ . Then,  $0 \in \overline{S_0}^w$  but there is no countable set  $T \subset S_0$  such that  $0 \in \overline{T}^w$ .*

*Proof.* First, we prove that  $0 \in \overline{S_0}^w$  (also true in the real case, see [5, Exercise 3.8]). Take a weak zero-neighborhood

$$W = \{x \in E : |x_i^*(x)| < \varepsilon, i = 1, \dots, n\},$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $x_1^*, \dots, x_n^* \in E^*$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, the map  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$ ,  $x \mapsto (x_1^*(x), \dots, x_n^*(x))$ , is linear and, by infinite-dimensionality of  $c_{00}$ , there is a non-zero  $x \in c_{00}$  in  $\ker f = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker x_i^*$ . Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  with  $|\lambda| = \|x\|$ . Then,  $\frac{x}{\lambda} \in S_0 \cap \ker f$  and so  $\frac{x}{\lambda} \in S_0 \cap W$ .

Now, assume that there is a countable set  $T \subset S_0$  such that  $0 \in \overline{T}^w$ ; we will arrive at a contradiction.

Write  $T = \{u_1, u_2, \dots\}$ , where  $u_k = (u_k^1, u_k^2, \dots) \in S_0$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Clearly, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set  $M_k := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |u_k^n| = 1\}$  is non-empty. Let  $M = M_1 \cup M_2 \cup \dots$ .

First, suppose that  $M$  is finite, say  $M = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_p\}$ . Let  $W = \{x \in E : |e_{m_i}^*(x)| < 1, i = 1, \dots, p\}$ . Then, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  $n \in \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_p\}$  such that  $|u_k^n| = 1$ , i.e.  $|e_n^*(u_k)| = 1$ . Thus,  $T \cap W = \emptyset$ , a contradiction.

Next, assume that  $M$  is infinite. We will construct inductively a bounded sequence  $v_1, v_2, \dots$  in  $\mathbb{K}$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_i u_k^i \right| > \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for every } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Once this sequence is constructed the proof is finished. Indeed, the formula

$$v^*(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_i x_i \quad (x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in E)$$

defines an element of  $E^*$ . Then, setting the weak zero-neighborhood  $W := \{x \in E : |v^*(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$  and applying (3.1), we obtain that  $T \cap W = \emptyset$ ; again a contradiction.

For the construction of  $v_1, v_2, \dots$  we distinguish three cases.

**1.  $\mathbb{k}$  is uncountable.** Define, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$L_n := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |u_k^n| = 1 \text{ and } |u_k^i| < 1 \text{ if } i > n\}$$

Then,  $\{L_1, L_2, \dots\}$  is a partition of  $\mathbb{N}$  and, since  $M$  is infinite, the set  $\mathcal{L} := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : L_n \neq \emptyset\}$  is also infinite. To simplify notations we assume  $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{N}$  (otherwise, take  $v_n = 0$  if  $L_n = \emptyset$ ).

In this case we construct  $(v_n)_n$  in  $B_{\mathbb{K}} \setminus B_{\mathbb{K}}^-$  such that

$$(3.2) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^n v_i u_k^i \right| = 1 \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and each } k \in L_n.$$

Set  $v_1 := 1$ . For the step  $n-1 \rightarrow n$ , assume  $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$  are already constructed. For each  $k \in L_n$ , we set

$$z_k := -\frac{1}{u_k^n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_i u_k^i.$$

Each  $z_k$  belongs to  $B_{\mathbb{K}}$  and by assumption  $\mathbb{k}$  is uncountable, so we can select  $v_n \in B_{\mathbb{K}} \setminus B_{\mathbb{K}}^-$  such that  $|v_n - z_k| = 1$  for all  $k \in L_n$ .

Thus,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n v_i u_k^i \right| = |u_k^n| \cdot \left| \frac{1}{u_k^n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_i u_k^i + v_n \right| = |v_n - z_k| = 1,$$

and so (3.2) holds.

Next we will get (3.1). Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . There exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k \in L_n$ . Then  $|u_k^i| < 1$  if  $i > n$ , so that  $|\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} v_i u_k^i| < 1$ . Hence, by (3.2) we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_i u_k^i \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n v_i u_k^i \right| = 1 > \frac{1}{2}.$$

**2.  $|\mathbb{K}^*|$  is uncountable.** Choose  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  with  $|\lambda| > 1$ . Let  $\Gamma_0 = [1, |\lambda|) \cap |\mathbb{K}^*|$ . Observe that  $\Gamma_0$  is uncountable; otherwise  $|\mathbb{K}^*| = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda|^m \Gamma_0$  would be countable, which contradicts the assumption.

In this case we construct  $(v_n)_n$  in  $\mathbb{K}$  with  $|v_n| \in \Gamma_0$  and such that

$$(3.3) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^n v_i u_k^i \right| = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} |v_i u_k^i| \quad \text{for each } n, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Set  $v_1 := 1$ . For the step  $n-1 \rightarrow n$ , assume  $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$  are already constructed. For the  $k$  with  $u_k^n = 0$  it is obvious that (3.3) holds for each  $v_n \in \mathbb{K}$ . So, we also can assume that  $u_k^n \neq 0$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $z_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_i u_k^i$ . Since  $\Gamma_0$  is uncountable we can find  $v_n \in \mathbb{K}$  with  $|v_n| \in \Gamma_0$  such that  $|v_n u_k^n| \neq |z_k|$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n v_i u_k^i \right| = |z_k + v_n u_k^n| = \max\{|z_k|, |v_n u_k^n|\} = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} |v_i u_k^i|,$$

and so (3.3) holds.

Next we will get (3.1). Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $u_k \in S_0 \subset c_{00}$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $u_k^i = 0$  if  $i > n$ . Hence, by (3.3) we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_i u_k^i \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n v_i u_k^i \right| = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} |v_i u_k^i| \geq 1 > \frac{1}{2}.$$

**3.  $\mathbb{k}$  and  $|\mathbb{K}^*|$  are both countable.** By Lemma 7,  $\mathbb{K}$  is densely valued. Choose a sequence  $(\lambda_n)_n$  in  $\mathbb{K}$  such that  $1 > |\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| > \dots > \frac{1}{2}$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  define  $r_n := |\lambda_n|$  and

$$J_n = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |u_k^n| \geq r_n\}.$$

Then  $J_1 \cup J_2 \cup \dots = \mathbb{N}$ . As in the first case we may assume that  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : J_n \neq \emptyset\} = \mathbb{N}$ .

In this case we construct  $(v_n)_n$  in  $B_{\mathbb{K}} \setminus B_{\mathbb{K}}^-$  such that

$$(3.4) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^n v_i u_k^i \right| > r_{n+1} \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and each } k \in J_n.$$

Set  $v_1 := 1$ . For the step  $n-1 \rightarrow n$ , assume  $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$  are already constructed. For each  $k \in J_n$ , we set

$$z_k := -\frac{1}{u_k^n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_i u_k^i.$$

By Lemma 7, there exists a partition of  $B_{\mathbb{K}} \setminus B_{\mathbb{K}}^-$  consisting of uncountable many closed balls with radius equal to  $\frac{r_{n+1}}{r_n}$ . So, we can select  $v_n \in \mathbb{K}$  with  $|v_n| = 1$ , such that  $|v_n - z_k| > \frac{r_{n+1}}{r_n}$  for all  $k \in J_n$ .

Thus,

$$(3.5) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^n v_i u_k^i \right| = |u_k^n| \cdot \left| \frac{1}{u_k^n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_i u_k^i + v_n \right| = |u_k^n| \cdot |v_n - z_k| > r_n \cdot \frac{r_{n+1}}{r_n} = r_{n+1},$$

and so (3.4) holds.

Next we will get (3.1). Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . There exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k \in J_n$ . Let  $n_0 = \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} : k \in J_n\}$ . Then,  $|v_i u_k^i| = |u_k^i| < r_{n_0+1}$  if  $i > n_0$ . Hence, by (3.4) we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_i u_k^i \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} v_i u_k^i \right| > r_{n_0+1} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

□

Since  $\ell^\infty = c_0^*$ ,  $\sigma(\ell^\infty, c_0)$  is the weak\* topology on  $\ell^\infty$ . Considering  $c_0$  as a subspace of  $\ell^\infty$ , by  $w_0$  we will denote the restricted weak\* topology  $\sigma(\ell^\infty, c_0)|_{c_0}$  on  $c_0$ .

Next lemma shows that  $c_0$  contains unbounded sets which do not have countable tightness with respect to the topology  $w_0$ . Also, it is worth mentioning that, by [16, Proposition 6.1], all bounded subsets of  $c_0$  are metrizable in the topology  $w_0$ ; thus, they have countable tightness.

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be non-separable and let  $E = c_0$ . Then, there exists a set  $G \subset c_{00}$  for which  $0 \in \overline{G}^{w_0}$  and there is no countable set  $T_0 \subset G$  such that  $0 \in \overline{T_0}^{w_0}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S_0 = \{x \in c_{00} : \|x\| = 1\}$ . Fix  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  with  $|\lambda| > 1$ . Define

$$G := \left\{ (y_1, y_2, \dots) \in c_{00} : \left( \frac{y_1}{\lambda}, \frac{y_2}{\lambda^2}, \dots \right) \in S_0 \right\}.$$

Then,  $0 \in \overline{G}^{w_0}$ . Indeed, let  $V = \{x \in E : |x_i^*(x)| < \varepsilon, i = 1, \dots, n\}$  be a weak zero-neighborhood in  $E$ , where  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x_1^*, \dots, x_n^* \in \ell^\infty (= E^*)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Applying the argumentation contained at the beginning of the proof of Lemma 8, we imply that there exists  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in c_{00} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker x_i^*$ .

Choose  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$  with  $|\alpha| = \max_n |\lambda^{-n} x_n|$ . Clearly  $\alpha^{-1} x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker x_i^*$ . Also, it is easily seen that  $\alpha^{-1} x \in G$ . Thus,  $V \cap G \neq \emptyset$ , and we are done.

Now, suppose that there is a countable subset  $T_0 \subset G$  such that  $0 \in \overline{T_0}^{w_0}$ ; we will arrive at a contradiction. The map  $c_0 \rightarrow c_0$ ,  $(x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (\lambda^{-1} x_1, \lambda^{-2} x_2, \dots)$  is a continuous linear injection  $(c_0, w_0) \rightarrow (c_0, \sigma(c_0, \ell^\infty))$  and  $f(G) = S_0$ . So,  $f(T_0)$  is a countable subset of  $S_0$ . By Lemma 8, we can select  $W_0$ , a weak zero-neighborhood in  $c_0$ , such that  $W_0 \cap f(T_0) = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $f^{-1}(W_0)$  is a  $w_0$ -neighborhood of zero in  $c_0$  with  $f^{-1}(W_0) \cap T_0 = \emptyset$ , a contradiction. □

The next result shows that in most cases the countable tightness of  $E_w$  implies separability of  $\mathbb{K}$ .

**Proposition 10.** *Let  $E$  be polar. If  $E_w$  has countable tightness then  $\mathbb{K}$  is separable.*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the result when  $E$  is normpolar. Assume that  $\mathbb{K}$  is not separable and let us see that  $E_w$  does not have countable tightness.

Let  $t \in (0, 1)$  and let  $x_1, x_2, \dots \in E$  and  $x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots \in E^*$  be the  $t$ -orthogonal sequences in  $E$  and  $E^*$ , respectively, considered in Lemma 4. Clearly,  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  is a  $t$ -orthogonal base of  $D := \overline{[x_1, x_2, \dots]}$ . Then,  $T : D \rightarrow c_0$ ,  $x_n \mapsto e_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is an isomorphism for which  $\|T\| \leq \frac{1}{t^2}$  and  $\|T^{-1}\| \leq 1$ . The adjoint  $T^* : c_0^* \rightarrow D^*$  is also an isomorphism with  $T^*(e_n^*) = x_n^*|D$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By normpolarity of  $c_0$  and  $D$ ,  $\|T^*\| = \|T\|$  and  $\|(T^*)^{-1}\| = \|T^{-1}\|$ . Thus,  $x_1^*|D, x_2^*|D, \dots$  is a  $t$ -orthogonal sequence in  $D^*$  (hence, a  $t$ -orthogonal base of its closed linear hull in  $D^*$ ), with  $1 \leq \|x_n^*|D\| \leq \|x_n^*\| \leq \frac{1}{t}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $w_0$  be the topology on  $c_0$  considered in Lemma 9 and let  $\tau$  be the topology on  $D$  inherited by  $w_0$  through  $T^{-1}$ . From the above facts we get that

$$\tau \leq \sigma(E, E^*)|D \leq \sigma(D, D^*).$$

Since  $\mathbb{K}$  is not separable, by Lemma 9 there exists  $G \subset D$  with  $0 \in \overline{G}^{\sigma(D, D^*)}$ , so  $0 \in \overline{G}^{\sigma(E, E^*)|D}$ , and such that for each countable set  $T_0 \subset G$ ,  $0 \notin \overline{T_0}^\tau$ , so  $0 \notin \overline{G}^{\sigma(E, E^*)|D}$ . Therefore, we conclude that  $(D, \sigma(E, E^*)|D)$ , hence  $E_w$ , does not have countable tightness.  $\square$

As a last step before giving Theorem 12, let us recall the following result.

**Proposition 11.** ([8, Proposition 2]) *If  $\mathbb{K}$  is locally compact then, for every Banach space  $E$  over  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $E_w$  has countable tightness.*

Now, we are ready to give the first main theorem of this section.

**Theorem 12.** *Suppose either  $E$  has a base or  $\mathbb{K}$  is spherically complete. Then,  $E_w$  has countable tightness if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied.*

- (1)  $\mathbb{K}$  is locally compact.
- (2)  $E$  is separable, i.e.  $E$  is of countable type and  $\mathbb{K}$  is separable.

*Proof.* If (1) holds then  $E_w$  has countable tightness by Proposition 11.

If (2) holds then every set  $A \subset E$  is separable. Thus, there exists a countable set  $T \subset A$  with  $\overline{T} = \overline{A}$ , from which we have that  $\overline{T}^w = \overline{A}^w$ . Hence,  $E_w$  has countable tightness.

Next, let  $\mathbb{K}$  be non-locally compact and assume that  $E_w$  has countable tightness; let us get (2). Firstly, since  $E$  is polar, it follows from Proposition 10 that  $\mathbb{K}$  is separable.

Secondly, assume that  $E$  is not of countable type; we will arrive at a contradiction. By Lemma 5 we can take  $E = c_0(I)$  with  $I$  uncountable. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the collection of all two-point subsets of  $I$ . For every  $J \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $J = \{i_1, i_2\}$ , define  $x_J := e_{i_1} - e_{i_2}$ .

Let  $M = \{x_J : J \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . Then,  $0 \in \overline{M}^w$ . Indeed, let

$$W = \{x \in E : |z_k^*(x)| < \varepsilon, k = 1, \dots, m\}$$

be a weak zero-neighborhood in  $E$ , where  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ ,  $z_1^*, \dots, z_m^* \in B_{E^*}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $E^* = \ell^\infty(I)$ , for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , we can write  $z_k^* = (z_k^i)_{i \in I}$ ,  $z_k^i \in B_{\mathbb{K}}$  ( $i \in I$ ).

By non-local compactness of  $\mathbb{K}$  and [12, Lemma 3.7.52] there is a partition  $U_1, U_2, \dots$  of  $B_{\mathbb{K}}$  consisting of non-empty clopen sets. Also, as  $\mathbb{K}$  is separable then so is each  $U_n$  and, by [15, Theorem 19.3] and Lemma 6, we obtain that  $B_{\mathbb{K}}$  has a partition  $V_1, V_2, \dots$  consisting of open balls with radius equal to  $\varepsilon$ .

Since  $I$  is uncountable, we can choose an uncountable subset  $I_0$  of  $I$  such that for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  there exists  $j_k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $z_k^i \in V_{j_k}$  if  $i \in I_0$ . Take any  $J \in \mathcal{F}$ , say  $J = \{i_1, i_2\}$  such that  $J \subset I_0$ . Then, for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , we obtain  $|z_k^*(x_J)| = |z_k^{i_1} - z_k^{i_2}| < \varepsilon$ . Hence,  $x_J \in W \cap M$ .

By countable tightness of  $E_w$ , there exists a countable set  $M_0 \subset M$ , say  $M_0 = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ ,  $x_k = (x_k^i)_{i \in I}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $0 \in \overline{M_0}^w$ .

Let  $J_k = \{i \in I : x_k^i \neq 0\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $J_0 = \bigcup_k J_k$ . Clearly  $J_0$  is countable, say  $J_0 = \{i_1, i_2, \dots\}$ . Select a sequence  $(\lambda_j)_j$  in  $B_{\mathbb{K}}$  such that  $\lambda_j \in V_j$  ( $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and define  $z^* := (z^i)_{i \in I} \in \ell^\infty(I)$ , setting  $z^{i_k} := \lambda_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $z^i := 0$  if  $i \in I \setminus J_0$ . Then,  $W_0 = \{x \in E : |z^*(x)| < \varepsilon\}$  is a weak zero-neighborhood in  $E$ . Also, if  $x = (x^i)_{i \in I} \in M_0$ , then there are  $i_{j_1}, i_{j_2} \in J_0$  such that  $x = e_{i_{j_1}} - e_{i_{j_2}}$ . As  $V_{j_1} \cap V_{j_2} = \emptyset$ ,

$$|z^*(x)| = |z^{i_{j_1}} - z^{i_{j_2}}| = |\lambda_{j_1} - \lambda_{j_2}| \geq \varepsilon,$$

so  $x \notin W_0$ , and we derive that  $W_0 \cap M_0 = \emptyset$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

The infinite-dimensional Banach spaces  $\ell^\infty(I)$  are some of the most popular examples of Banach spaces without a base when  $\mathbb{K}$  is not discretely valued. Theorem 16, preceded by a few preliminary results, provides the answer to Problem 1 for these spaces.

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $B_{\ell^\infty(I)}$  be equipped with the restricted topology  $\sigma(\ell^\infty(I), c_0(I))|_{B_{\ell^\infty(I)}}$ . Then the map  $B_{\ell^\infty(I)} \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{K}}^I$ ,  $f \mapsto (f(e_i))_{i \in I}$ , is a bijective homeomorphism.*

*Proof.* Proceed as in  $(\alpha) \implies (\beta)$  of [16, Theorem 8.1].  $\square$

The following gives the weak\* version of Proposition 10.

**Proposition 14.** *Let  $E$  be polar. If  $E_{w^*}^*$  has countable tightness then  $\mathbb{K}$  is separable.*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the result when  $E$  is normpolar. Assume that  $\mathbb{K}$  is not separable and let us see that  $E_{w^*}^*$  does not have countable tightness. Let  $t \in (0, 1)$ ,  $x_1, x_2, \dots \in E$ ,  $x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots \in E^*$  and  $D$  be as in the proof of Proposition 10. Looking at the second paragraph of that proof we see that  $T : D \rightarrow c_0$ ,  $x_n \mapsto e_n$ , as well as its adjoint  $T^* :$

$\ell^\infty(=c_0^*) \rightarrow D^*$ , are norm-isomorphisms with  $T^*(e_n^*) = x_n^*|D$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; and also that  $x_1^*|D, x_2^*|D, \dots$  and  $x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots$  are  $t$ -orthogonal bases of

$$\mathcal{D}_D := \overline{[x_1^*|D, x_2^*|D, \dots]} \subset D^* \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D} := \overline{[x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots]} \subset E^*,$$

respectively, with  $1 \leq \|x_n^*|D\| \leq \|x_n^*\| \leq \frac{1}{t}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The last implies that the map  $S : \mathcal{D}_D \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ ,  $x_n^*|D \mapsto x_n^*$ , is again a norm-isomorphism.

Let  $w_0^D$  be the topology on  $\mathcal{D}_D$  that is image by  $T^*$  of the topology  $w_0$  on  $\overline{[e_1^*, e_2^*, \dots]}$  ( $=c_0$ ) considered in Lemma 9 and let  $\tau_0^D$  be the topology on  $\mathcal{D}$  that is image by  $S$  of the topology  $w_0^D$  on  $\mathcal{D}_D$ . Then,

$$\tau_0^D \leq \sigma(E^*, E)|\mathcal{D} \leq \sigma(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^*).$$

Since  $\mathbb{K}$  is not separable, by Lemma 9 there exists  $G \subset \mathcal{D}$  with  $0 \in \overline{G}^{\sigma(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^*)}$ , so  $0 \in \overline{G}^{\sigma(E^*, E)|\mathcal{D}}$ , and such that for each countable set  $T_0 \subset G$ ,  $0 \notin \overline{T_0}^{\tau_0^D}$ , so  $0 \notin \overline{T_0}^{\sigma(E^*, E)|\mathcal{D}}$ . Therefore, we conclude that  $(\mathcal{D}, \sigma(E^*, E)|\mathcal{D})$ , hence  $E_{w^*}^*$ , does not have countable tightness.  $\square$

**Proposition 15.** *Let  $F = \ell^\infty(I)$  and let  $F_{w^*}$  denote the space  $\ell^\infty(I)$  equipped with its weak\* topology  $\sigma(\ell^\infty(I), c_0(I))$ . Then,  $F_{w^*}$  has countable tightness if and only if  $I$  is countable and  $\mathbb{K}$  is separable.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $I$  is countable and  $\mathbb{K}$  is separable. By Lemma 13,  $B_F$ , equipped with the restricted topology  $\sigma(\ell^\infty(I), c_0(I))|_{B_F}$ , is metrizable and separable. Now, let  $A$  be a non-empty subset of  $F$  and let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{K}$  with  $\lim_n |\lambda_n| = \infty$ . Since  $A = \bigcup_n (\lambda_n B_F \cap A)$ , we derive that  $A$  is separable in  $F_{w^*}$ . Hence,  $F_{w^*}$  has countable tightness.

Conversely, let  $F_{w^*}$  have countable tightness. Since  $F$  is polar, from Proposition 14 we deduce that  $\mathbb{K}$  is separable.

Now, assume that  $I$  is uncountable; we will arrive at a contradiction.

Let  $y^* = (y^i)_{i \in I} \in \ell^\infty(I)$ , where  $y^i = 1$  for all  $i \in I$ . Let  $S_0(I) = \{x^* \in c_{00}(I) : \|x^*\| = 1\} \subset \ell^\infty(I)$ . First we prove that  $y^* \in \overline{S_0(I)}^{w^*}$ . For that, let  $V$  be a zero-neighborhood in  $F_{w^*}$  of the form

$$V = \{z^* \in F : |z^*(x_j)| < \varepsilon, j = 1, \dots, n\},$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in c_0(I)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For each  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  we have  $y^*(x_j) = \sum_{i \in I} x_j^i$ , where  $x_j = (x_j^i)_{i \in I}$ . So, there is a finite set  $J_j \subset I$  such that

$$(3.6) \quad |y^*(x_j) - \sum_{i \in K} e_i^*(x_j)| = |y^*(x_j) - \sum_{i \in K} x_j^i| < \varepsilon$$

for every finite subset  $K$  of  $I$  that contains  $J_j$ . Thus, setting  $K := J_1 \cup \dots \cup J_n$ , (3.6) holds for this finite set  $K$  and all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then,  $y^* - \sum_{i \in K} e_i^* \in V$ , so that  $\sum_{i \in K} e_i^* \in S_0(I) \cap (y^* - V)$ , and we are done.

By assumption, there exists a countable set  $T \subset S_0(I)$  such that  $y^* \in \overline{T}^{w^*}$ ; say  $T = \{u_1^*, u_2^*, \dots\}$  where  $u_n^* = (u_n^i)_{i \in I}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, we can find a countable set  $J \subset I$  such that  $u_n^i = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i \in I \setminus J$ . Choosing  $i \in I \setminus J$ , we derive that

$$|(u_n^* - y^*)(e_i)| = |u_n^i - y^i| = 1$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, setting  $\delta < 1$ , we obtain that

$$T \cap \{z^* \in F : |(z^* - y^*)(e_i)| < \delta\} = \emptyset,$$

a contradiction. □

Finally, we have the machinery to prove the second main theorem of this section.

**Theorem 16.** *Let  $E = \ell^\infty(I)$ , where  $I$  is a small set. Then,  $E_w$  has countable tightness if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied.*

- (1)  $\mathbb{K}$  is locally compact.
- (2)  $I$  is countable and  $\mathbb{K}$  is separable and non-spherically complete.

*Proof.* If (1) holds then  $E_w$  has countable tightness by Proposition 11. If (2) holds then  $E$  is reflexive, by [12, Theorem 7.4.3], and the conclusion follows from Proposition 15.

Next, assume that  $E_w$  has countable tightness and  $\mathbb{K}$  is not locally compact. As  $E$  is not of countable type,  $\mathbb{K}$  is non-spherically complete, by Theorem 12. Hence,  $E$  is reflexive and (2) follows from Proposition 15. □

#### 4. COUNTABLE TIGHTNESS AND THE LINDELÖF PROPERTY

The main result of this section, Theorem 19, extends [8, Theorem 7] and [10, Theorem 3] and completes the two main theorems of Section 3. This result characterizes when  $E_w$  has countable tightness or the Lindelöf property in terms of the weak\*-dual of  $E$  and some separability properties.

For the basic facts on topological spaces having the Lindelöf property, some of which will be used in this section, we refer to [4, Section 3.8]. Also, recall the well-known fact that a metric space has the Lindelöf property if and only if it is separable, see [4, Corollary 4.1.16].

**Proposition 17.** *Let  $F$  and  $F_{w^*}$  be as in Proposition 15. Then,  $F_{w^*}$  has the Lindelöf property if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied.*

- (1)  $\mathbb{K}$  is locally compact.
- (2)  $I$  is countable and  $\mathbb{K}$  is separable.



*Proof.* Through this proof we consider  $B_F$  equipped with the restricted weak\* topology.

First assume that (1) holds, i.e.  $B_{\mathbb{K}}$  is compact. From the Tychonoff Theorem (see [4, Theorem 3.2.4]) and Lemma 13 we obtain that  $B_F$  is also compact, so it has the Lindelöf property. Now, let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{K}$  with  $\lim_n |\lambda_n| = \infty$ . Then  $F = \bigcup_n \lambda_n B_F$ , so  $F_{w^*}$  has the Lindelöf property.

Next, assume that (2) holds. Again by Lemma 13,  $B_F$  is metrizable and separable, so it has the Lindelöf property. Proceeding as above we conclude that  $F_{w^*}$  also has this property.

Finally, suppose that  $\mathbb{K}$  is not locally compact and  $F_{w^*}$  has the Lindelöf property. Then,  $B_F$ , so  $B_{\mathbb{K}}^I$  by Lemma 13, and thus  $B_{\mathbb{K}}$ , also have this property. So,  $B_{\mathbb{K}}$ , hence  $\mathbb{K}$ , is separable. To have  $B_{\mathbb{K}}^I$  the Lindelöf property also implies that it is normal. From the Stone Theorem (see [4, Problem 5.5.6]) and non-compactness of  $B_{\mathbb{K}}$ , it follows that  $I$  is countable, and we get (2).  $\square$

Since every locally compact  $\mathbb{K}$  is spherically complete and separable, as a direct consequence of Lemma 5 and Proposition 17, we derive the following.

**Corollary 18.** *If  $\mathbb{K}$  is locally compact then, for every Banach space  $E$  over  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $E_{w^*}^*$  has the Lindelöf property.*

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem of this section. Recall that a topological space  $X$  is called *hereditary separable* if every subset of  $X$  is separable.

**Theorem 19.** *Suppose either  $E$  has a base or  $\mathbb{K}$  is spherically complete. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $E$  is separable, i.e.  $E$  is of countable type and  $\mathbb{K}$  is separable.
- (2)  $E_w$  is separable.
- (3)  $E_w$  is hereditary separable.
- (4)  $E_w$  has the Lindelöf property.
- (5)  $E_{w^*}^*$  is hereditary separable.
- (6)  $E_{w^*}^*$  has countable tightness.

*If, in addition,  $\mathbb{K}$  is not locally compact then (1) – (6) are equivalent to*

- (7)  $E_w$  has countable tightness.
- (8)  $E_{w^*}^*$  has the Lindelöf property.

*Proof.* (1)  $\iff$  (2)  $\iff$  (4)  $\iff$  (5): Any of the properties involved in these equivalences implies that  $\mathbb{K}$  is separable. Indeed, for (1), (4) and (5), just note that  $\mathbb{K}$  is isomorphic to every one-dimensional subspace of  $E$ ,  $E_w$  and  $E_{w^*}^*$ , respectively. For (2), separability of  $\mathbb{K}$  follows from the fact that, as  $E^* \neq \{0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{K}$  is the image of  $E$  under a continuous map  $E_w \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ . By Lemma 5,  $E$  is isomorphic to  $c_0(I)$  for some  $I$ . Now, the equivalences follow [10, Theorem 3].

For (1)  $\implies$  (3) proceed as in the second paragraph of the proof of Theorem 12. Also, (3)  $\implies$  (2) and (5)  $\implies$  (6) are obvious.

(6)  $\implies$  (1): Since  $E$  is polar then, by Proposition 14,  $\mathbb{K}$  is separable. Then (1) follows from Lemma 5 and Proposition 15.

Finally, if  $\mathbb{K}$  is not locally compact, then (1)  $\iff$  (7) follows from Theorem 12. Also, (8) implies that  $\mathbb{K}$  is separable, so (1)  $\iff$  (8) follows from Lemma 5 and Proposition 17.  $\square$

**Remark 20.**

1. Item (5) in Theorem 19 cannot be replaced only by separability of  $E_{w^*}^*$ . Indeed, let  $\mathbb{K}$  be separable and let  $E = c_0(I)$ , where  $I$  is an uncountable set with cardinality equals to  $2^{\aleph_0}$ . By Lemma 13,  $B_{E^*}$ , equipped with the restricted weak\* topology, is homeomorphic to  $B_{\mathbb{K}}^I$ , hence  $B_{E^*}$  is separable, by [4, Theorem 2.3.15]. Thus,  $E_{w^*}^*$  is also separable. However,  $E_{w^*}^*$  does not have countable tightness by Theorem 19.

2. Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be locally compact. Then, the equivalence of (1) – (6) and (7), (8) in Theorem 19 fails. For an example, let  $E = c_0(I)$ , where  $I$  is uncountable. Then  $E$  is a non-separable space such that, by Proposition 11 and Corollary 18,  $E_w$  has countable tightness and  $E_{w^*}^*$  has the Lindelöf property, respectively.

3. If the assumptions in Theorem 19 are dropped then the conclusions of this result fail. Indeed, let  $F = \ell^\infty$  over a non-spherically complete separable  $K$  (e.g.  $K = C_p$ ).  $\ell^\infty$  is a non-separable space, it does not even have a base, so that (1) of Theorem 19 fails for  $\ell^\infty$ . However, as  $F_w = E_{w^*}^*$  and  $F_{w^*}^* = E_w$  with  $E = c_0$ , applying Theorem 19 for  $E = c_0$  we deduce that (2) – (8) of Theorem 19 hold for  $\ell^\infty$ .

4. Also, for every non-spherically complete  $\mathbb{K}$  there exists a non-archimedean Banach space  $E$  such that  $E^* = \{0\}$  (e.g.  $E = \ell^\infty/c_0$ ). Trivially, the conclusions of Theorem 19 and Proposition 14 fail for such spaces.

5. Let  $X$  be a zero-dimensional and compact topological space. By [12, Theorem 2.5.22], the Banach space  $C(X, \mathbb{K})$  (of all  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued continuous maps on  $X$ , equipped with the canonical maximum norm) has a base. Hence, by Theorem 19 and [12, Theorem 2.5.24], if  $\mathbb{K}$  is not locally compact,  $C(X, \mathbb{K})$  equipped with the weak topology has countable tightness if and only if  $X$  is ultrametrizable and  $\mathbb{K}$  is separable. In particular, let  $X = [0, \omega_1]$ . Then,  $C(X, \mathbb{K})$  with respect to the weak topology has countable tightness only if  $\mathbb{K}$  is locally compact. However,  $C_p(X, \mathbb{K})$ , the locally convex space  $C(X, \mathbb{K})$  endowed with the pointwise topology, has countable tightness (even Fréchet-Uryhson property) for any  $\mathbb{K}$ , see [9, Theorem 16].

Now, we are ready to prove Theorems 2 and 3.

of *Theorem 2*. If  $\mathbb{K}$  is locally compact then, for every Banach space  $E$  over  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $E_w$  has countable tightness, by Proposition 11, and  $E_{w^*}$  has the Lindelöf property, by Corollary 18.

Conversely, assume that  $\mathbb{K}$  is not locally compact. For any uncountable set  $I$ ,  $E := c_0(I)$  is not of countable type. From Theorem 19 we obtain that  $E_w$  does not have countable tightness and  $E_{w^*}$  does not have the Lindelöf property.  $\square$

of *Theorem 3*. If  $\mathbb{K}$  is spherically complete, the conclusion follows from Theorem 19. Assume now that  $\mathbb{K}$  is non-spherically complete and separable. Let  $E = \ell^\infty$ . Then,  $E_w$  has the Lindelöf property and  $E_{w^*}$  has countable tightness, but  $E$  is not separable, see Remark 20.3.  $\square$

We finish the paper with an open problem, which raises naturally after looking at Theorem 19 and Remarks 20.3, 20.4.

**Problem 21.** *Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be non-spherically complete and separable. Let  $E$  be a polar Banach space over  $\mathbb{K}$  without a base. Suppose that  $E_w$  (resp.  $E_{w^*}$ ) has countable tightness or (and) the Lindelöf property. Does it imply that  $E_w$  (resp.  $E_{w^*}$ ) is separable, even hereditary separable?*

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. V. Arkhangel'skii, *Topological Function Spaces*. Math. and its Applications. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992.
- [2] B. Cascales, J. Kąkol, S. A. Saxon, *Weight of precompact subsets and tightness*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **269** (2002), 500–518.
- [3] H. H. Corson, *The weak topology of a Banach space*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1961), 1–15.
- [4] R. Engelking, *General Topology*. Heidermann, Berlin, 1989.
- [5] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos Santalucía, J. Pelant, J. and V. Zizler, *Functional Analysis and Infinite-Dimensional Geometry*. CMS Books in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [6] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos Santalucía and V. Zizler, *Banach Space Theory. The Basis for Linear and Nonlinear Analysis*. CMS Books in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2011.
- [7] J. Kąkol, J., W. Kubiś, M. Lopez-Pellicer, *Descriptive Topology in Selected Topics of Functional Analysis*. Developments in Mathematics, Springer, 2011.
- [8] J. Kąkol, W. Śliwa, *On the weak topology of Banach spaces over non-archimedean fields*. Topology Appl. **158** (2011), 1131–1135.
- [9] J. Kąkol, W. Śliwa, *Descriptive topology in non-archimedean function spaces  $C_p(X, \mathbb{K})$ . Part I*. Bull. London Math. Soc. **44** (2012), 899–912.
- [10] J. Kąkol, C. Perez-Garcia, W. Śliwa, *Non-archimedean function spaces and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem*. Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **19** (2012), 173–184.
- [11] J. Orihuela, *On weakly Lindelöf Banach spaces*, in: Progress in Functional Analysis, K. D. Bierstedt et. al. (edts), North-Holland Math. Studies **170** (1992), 279–291.

- [12] C. Perez-Garcia, W. H. Schikhof, *Locally Convex Spaces over Non-archimedean Valued Fields*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [13] R. Pol, *On a question of H. H. Corson and some related problems*. *Fund. Math.* **109** (1980), 143-154.
- [14] A. C. M. van Rooij, *Non-archimedean Functional Analysis*. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1978.
- [15] W. H. Schikhof, *Ultrametric Calculus. An Introduction to  $p$ -adic Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984.
- [16] W. H. Schikhof, *A perfect duality between  $p$ -adic Banach spaces and compactoids*. *Indag. Math., New Ser.* **6** (1995), 325-339.
- [17] M. Talagrand, *Espaces de Banach faiblement  $\mathcal{K}$ -analytiques*. *Ann. of Math.* **110** (1979), 407-438.

*E-mail address:* kakol@amu.edu.pl

*E-mail address:* albert.kubzdela@put.poznan.pl

*E-mail address:* perezmc@unican.es

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS A. MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY, 61 – 614 POZNAŃ,  
POLAND AND INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, PRAHA, CZECH REPUBLIC

INSTITUTE OF CIVIL ENGINEERING, POZNAŃ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, UL. PIOTROWO 5,  
61-138 POZNAŃ, POLAND

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE CANTABRIA, AVDA.  
DE LOS CASTROS S/N, 39071, SANTANDER, SPAIN