

# Topological groups and $C(X)$ spaces with ordered bases

Manuel López Pellicer (IUMPA, UPV)

with J.C. Ferrando and J. Kakol

March 2-4, 2016

XII Encuentro Red Análisis Funcional y Aplicaciones

Project Prometeo I/2013/058



UNIVERSITAT  
POLITÈCNICA  
DE VALÈNCIA



GENERALITAT VALENCIANA  
CONSELLERIA D'EDUCACIÓ, CULTURA I ESPORT

# Outline

- 1  $\Sigma$ -bases in topological groups
- 2 Boundedly complete sets and long  $\Sigma$ -bases
- 3 Existence of proper long  $\Sigma$ -bases on  $C_c([0, \omega_1))$

# Outline

- 1  $\Sigma$ -bases in topological groups
  - $\mathcal{G}$ -bases and quasi- $\mathcal{G}$ -bases
  - $\Sigma$ -bases and  $C_c(X)$  with  $\Sigma$ -base

# $\mathfrak{G}$ -bases

## Definition

A topological group  $G$  is said to have a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base if there is a base  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$  of neighborhoods of the identity  $e$  in  $G$  such that  $U_\beta \subseteq U_\alpha$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$ .

- Metrizable topological group  $\implies$   $\mathfrak{G}$ -base.
- Fréchet-Urysohn topological group with a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base  $\implies$  metrizable (Grabrielyan ..., Fundamenta Math. 2015).

## Definition

A compact resolution on a topological space  $X$  is a compact covering  $\mathcal{K} = \{K_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$  of  $X$  such that  $K_\alpha \subseteq K_\beta$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . If for each compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  there exists  $K_\alpha$  such that  $K \subseteq K_\alpha$ , then  $\mathcal{K}$  is a compact resolution swallowing compact subsets.

# $\mathfrak{G}$ -bases in $C_c(X)$

## Theorem

*A space  $C_c(X)$  has a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$  of (absolutely convex) neighborhoods of the origin if and only if  $X$  has a compact resolution  $\mathcal{K} = \{K_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$  swallowing compact subsets*

$\mathfrak{G}$ -bases in  $C_c(X)$ 

## Proof.

We may suppose that there exists a compact  $K$

$$U_\alpha \subset W(K, [-1, 1]) := \{f \in C_c(X) : f(K) \subset [-1, 1]\}, \quad \text{hence}$$

$$K \subset K_\alpha := \bigcap_{f \in U_\alpha} f^{-1}([-1, 1]) \text{ and } U_\alpha \subset W(K_\alpha, [-1, 1]), \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$$

There exists a compact  $K_{U_\alpha}$  and  $\varepsilon_\alpha > 0$  such that  
 $W(K_{U_\alpha}, (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)) \subset U_\alpha$ . Then

$$W(K_{U_\alpha}, (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)) \subset W(K_\alpha, [-1, 1]) \implies K_\alpha \subset K_{U_\alpha}.$$

$\{K_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$  is a compact resolution swallowing compact sets

The converse follows from

$$W(K_{\alpha=(a_1, \dots)}, [-a_1^{-1}, a_1^{-1}]) \subset W(K, [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]) \text{ if } K \subset K_\alpha, a_1^{-1} < \varepsilon.$$

$\mathfrak{G}$ -bases in non-metrizable  $C_c(X)$ 

## Corollary

*If  $X$  is a Polish space the  $C_c(X)$  has a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base. Whence  $C_c(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}})$  is a non-metrizable locally convex space with a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base.*

## Proof.

Let  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$  be a dense subset of  $X$ ,  $d$  a complete metric compatible and  $B(x_{a_m}, n^{-1})$  the closed ball of center  $x_{a_m}$  and radius  $n^{-1}$ . If  $\alpha := (a_n)_n \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and

$$K_\alpha := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}} [\bigcup_{1 \leq m \leq n} B(x_{a_m}, n^{-1})]$$

we get that  $\{K_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$  is a compact resolution of  $X$  swallowing compact sets.

Finally,  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is Polish but not hemicompact.



# Strong Pytkeev property and quasi- $\mathcal{G}$ -bases

## Definition (Tsuban and Zdomskyy, 2009)

A topological group  $G$  has the strong Pytkeev property if there exists a sequence  $\mathcal{D}$  of subsets of  $G$  satisfying the property: for each neighborhood  $U$  of the unit  $e$  and each  $A \subseteq G$  with  $e \in \overline{A} \setminus A$ , there is  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $D \subseteq U$  and  $D \cap A$  is infinite.

## Proposition (Gabrielyan, Kąkol and Leiderman, 2014)

*Any topological group  $G$  with the strong Pytkeev property admits a quasi- $\mathcal{G}$ -base  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  of the identity, i.e., an ordered base of neighborhoods  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  of  $e$  over some  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .*



# Strong Pytkeev property and quasi- $\mathfrak{G}$ -bases

## Proposition (Banach, 1951)

*For every separable metrizable space  $X$  the space  $C_c(X)$  has the strong Pytkeev property; therefore such  $C_c(X)$  admits a quasi- $\mathfrak{G}$ -base.*

## Remark

*Let  $X$  be a separable metric space which is not a Polish space. Then  $C_c(X)$  has a quasi- $\mathfrak{G}$ -base but  $C_c(X)$  does not admit a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base.*

# $C_c(X)$ with $\Sigma$ -base

The following is a more practical concept than quasi- $\mathfrak{G}$ -base.

## Definition

If  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is an unbounded (i.e.,  $\sup\{\alpha(k) : \alpha \in \Sigma\} = \infty$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and directed subset of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , a base  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  of neighborhoods of the neutral element of a topological group  $G$  is a  $\Sigma$ -base if  $U_\beta \subseteq U_\alpha$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$  with  $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$ .

**Theorem** (For a completely regular space  $X$  are equivalent:)

- 1 *The locally convex space  $C_c(X)$  has a  $\Sigma$ -base of absolutely convex neighborhoods of the origin.*
- 2 *There is a compact covering  $\{K_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  of  $X$  that swallows the compact sets of  $X$ , with  $\Sigma$  unbounded, directed and such that  $K_\alpha \subseteq K_\beta$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$  in  $\Sigma$ .*

# $C_c(X)$ with $\Sigma$ -base

## Proof.

If  $U_\alpha$  is a neighborhood of the origin in  $C_c(X)$  and  $K$  is a compact subset of  $X$  such that

$$U_\alpha \subset W(K, [-1, 1]) := \{f \in C_c(X) : f(K) \subset [-1, 1]\},$$

then

$$K \subset K_\alpha := \bigcap_{f \in U_\alpha} f^{-1}([-1, 1]) \quad \text{and} \quad U_\alpha \subset W(K_\alpha, [-1, 1]).$$

Let  $K_{U_\alpha}$  be a compact set such that  $W(K_{U_\alpha}, (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)) \subset U_\alpha$ .

Then

$$W(K_{U_\alpha}, (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)) \subset W(K_\alpha, [-1, 1]) \implies K_\alpha \subset K_{U_\alpha}.$$



# $C_c(X)$ with $\Sigma$ -base

continued proof.

If  $C_c(X)$  has a  $\Sigma$ -base there exists a compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  and a  $\Sigma$ -base  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$  such that  $U_\alpha \subset W(K, [-1, 1])$ , for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Whence  $\{K_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  is a compact covering of  $X$ , with  $\Sigma$  unbounded and directed, such that  $K_\alpha \subseteq K_\beta$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$  in  $\Sigma$ , that swallows the compact sets.

To proof the converse we must to take into account that given a compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  and a positive real number  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  such that  $K \subset K_\alpha$  and  $a_n^{-1} < \varepsilon$ , whence

$$W(K_{\alpha=(a_1, \dots)}, [-a_n^{-1}, a_n^{-1}]) \subset W(K, [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]).$$



$\Sigma$ -base  $\not\Rightarrow$   $\mathfrak{G}$ -base

## Theorem

*If  $(X, d)$  is a separable and not Polish, then  $C_c(X)$  admits  $\Sigma$ -base and it does not admit any  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base.*

Proof (only the non trivial part).

Let  $D := \{x_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  dense subset in  $X$ ,  $\{y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  dense in  $K$  (compact),  $x_{n(p)} \in D$  with

$$\lim_p x_{np} = y_n \quad \text{and} \quad d(x_{np}, y_n) < n^{-1}, \text{ for each } p \in \mathbb{N},$$

then  $K \subset \overline{\{x_{np} : (n, p) \in \mathbb{N}^2\}}$  (compact). The  $\Sigma$ -base follows from the set  $\Sigma$  of  $\alpha := (a_n)_n \in \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}} \{1, m\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with compact

$$K_\alpha := \overline{\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}, a_n \neq 1\}}$$

# Outline

## 2 Boundedly complete sets and long $\Sigma$ -bases

- Boundedly complete subsets of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$
- Long  $\Sigma$ -bases

## Boundedly complete sets in $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$

In this section we are going to consider a special class of  $\Sigma$ -bases, which we denominate long  $\Sigma$ -bases, and study some properties of them quite close to those of  $\mathcal{G}$ -bases.

### Definition

A subset  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  will be called boundedly complete if each bounded set  $\Delta$  of  $\Sigma$  has a bound at  $\Sigma$ .

- $\Sigma$  boundedly complete  $\implies \Sigma$  is directed.
- If  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  is an infinite base of neighborhoods of a (Hausdorff) locally convex space and  $\Sigma$  is a boundedly complete subset of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  then  $\Sigma$  must be unbounded. (Otherwise  $\sup \{\alpha(k) : \alpha \in \Sigma\} < \infty$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N} \implies$  there exists  $\gamma \in \Sigma$  with  $\alpha \leq \gamma$  for every  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ . Hence

$U_\gamma \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Sigma} U_\alpha$ , a contradiction)

# Compact coverings and strong domination

## Example

Every cofinal subset  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with respect to the partial order ' $\leq$ ' is boundedly complete.

## Proof.

If  $\beta(k) := \sup \{\alpha(k) : \alpha \in \Delta\} < \infty$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\beta := (\beta(k))_k \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , hence there is  $\gamma \in \Sigma$  such that  $\beta \leq \gamma$ .  $\square$

## Proposition

*If  $X$  is a topological space with a compact covering  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  that swallows the compact sets indexed by a boundedly complete subset  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and such that  $A_\alpha \subseteq A_\beta$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$  in  $\Sigma$ , then  $X$  is strongly dominated by a second countable space.*



# Compact coverings and strong domination

Proof.

Let  $T : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$  defined by  $T(\alpha) = A_\alpha$  and let  $K$  be a compact set in  $\Sigma$ .

$$\sup \{ \alpha(k) : \alpha \in K \} < \infty, \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \implies \exists \gamma \in \Sigma, \alpha \leq \gamma, \forall \alpha \in K.$$

$$T(K) = \cup_{\alpha \in K} T(\alpha) \subseteq A_\gamma \implies B_K := \overline{T(K)} \text{ is compact}$$

$\mathcal{B} := \{ B_K : K \in \mathcal{K}(\Sigma) \}$  is an increasing compact covering of  $X$  that swallows the compact sets, because

$$\text{if } P \text{ is compact } \exists \delta \in \Sigma \text{ with } P \subseteq T(\delta) = B_{\{\delta\}}.$$

Hence  $X$  is strongly  $\Sigma$ -dominated ( $\Sigma$  separable metric). □

# Long $\Sigma$ -bases

## Definition

A  $\Sigma$ -base of neighborhoods of the unit element of a topological group  $G$  indexed by a boundedly complete subspace  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  will be referred to as a long  $\Sigma$ -base.

Of course, every  $\mathcal{O}$ -base of neighborhoods of the origin of a locally convex space  $E$  is a long  $\Sigma$ -base, with  $\Sigma = \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . The proof of the next theorem uses the following

## Proposition (Cascales, Orihuela, Tkachuk, 2011)

*A compact topological space  $K$  is metrizable if and only if the space  $(K \times K) \setminus \Delta$  is strongly dominated by a second countable space, where here  $\Delta := \{(x, x) : x \in K\}$ .*

# Long $\Sigma$ -bases and metrizability

## Theorem

*If a topological group  $G$  has a long  $\Sigma$ -base  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  then every compact subset  $K$  in  $G$  is metrizable. Consequently,  $G$  is strictly angelic.*

## Proof.

It is enough to show that  $W := (K \times K) \setminus \Delta$  has a compact covering  $\mathcal{W} := \{W_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  that swallows the compact sets indexed by a boundedly complete subset  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and such that  $W_\alpha \subseteq W_\beta$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$  in  $\Sigma$ . We may assume that all sets  $U_\alpha$  are symmetric and open. Then □

## Long $\Sigma$ -bases and metrizability

continued proof.

$$W_\alpha := \{(x, y) \in W : xy^{-1} \notin U_\alpha\}$$

- is closed in  $K \times K$ , hence  $W_\alpha$  compact. If  $Q \subseteq W$  is a compact set. Then

$$e \notin T(Q) := \{xy^{-1} : (x, y) \in Q\} \text{ (compact),}$$

implies there exists  $U_\alpha$  such that

$$U_\alpha \cap T(Q) = \emptyset \implies Q \subseteq W_\alpha.$$

- $\mathcal{W} := \{W_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  verifies the conditions.



## Angelicity $C_c(X)$

### Corollary

*If there exists a family  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  made up of compact sets, indexed by a boundedly complete set  $\Sigma$  such that  $A_\alpha \subseteq A_\beta$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$  and satisfying that  $\overline{\cup \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}} = X$ , then  $C_c(X)$  is strictly angelic.*

### Proof.

$X$  is web-compact, so  $C_p(X)$  is angelic (Orihuela 1987), whence  $C_c(X)$  is angelic (by angelic lemma). To prove "strict" let  $Y = \cup \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  and  $\tau_p$  and  $\tau_c$  pointwise and the compact-open topology on  $C(Y)$ . □

## Angelicity $C_c(X)$

continued proof.

( $\Sigma$  boundedly complete  $\implies$  unbounded in  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \implies$ ) there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sup \{\alpha(k) : \alpha \in \Sigma\} = \infty$ . Then

$\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$ , with  $U_\alpha := \{f \in C(Y) : \sup_{y \in A_\alpha} |f(y)| \leq \alpha(k)^{-1}\}$

is a long  $\Sigma$ -base of a lc topology  $\tau$  on  $C(Y)$  such that  $\tau_p \leq \tau \leq \tau_c$ . By preceding Theorem every  $\tau$ -compact set in  $C(Y)$  is metrizable. Whence each compact subset  $K$  of  $C_c(X)$  is metrizable since the restriction map  $S : C_c(X) \rightarrow (C(Y), \tau)$  is continuous and  $S$  restricts itself to an homeomorphism on each compact subset  $K$  of  $C_c(X)$ .  $\square$

## $C_c(X)$ with a long $\Sigma$ -base

### Theorem

*If  $C_c(X)$  has a long  $\Sigma$ -base of neighborhoods of the origin, then  $X$  is a  $C$ -Suslin space. Consequently  $C_c(X)$  is angelic.*

### Proof.

$X$  has a compact covering  $\{K_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  swallowing compacts such that  $K_\alpha \subseteq K_\beta$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$ .

Let  $T : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$  defined by  $T(\alpha) = A_\alpha$ .

If  $\alpha_n \in \Sigma$  and  $\lim_n \alpha_n = \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , then there is  $\gamma \in \Sigma$  with  $\alpha_n \leq \gamma$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Consequently,  $\{T(\alpha_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset A_\gamma$ . Hence  $x_n \in T(\alpha_n)$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\implies \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  has a cluster point  $x$  in  $X$  (contained in  $A_\gamma$ ).

Therefore  $X$  is web-compact. □

# A limit property in Fréchet-Urysohn topological groups

Let  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  be a long  $\Sigma$ -base in a topological group  $G$ .  
For every  $\alpha = (a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Sigma$  and each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , set

$$\alpha(k) := (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$$

$$D_k(\alpha) := \cap \{U_\beta : \beta \in \Sigma, \beta(k) = \alpha(k)\}.$$

Clearly,  $\{D_k(\alpha)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an increasing and  $e \in D_k(\alpha)$ .

**Proposition (Chasco, Martín-Peinador and Tarieladze, 2007)**

*Let  $\{x_{n,k} : (n,k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}\}$  a subset of a Fréchet-Urysohn topological group  $G$  such that  $\lim_n x_{n,k} = x \in G$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ .  
There exists two increasing sequences of natural numbers  $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(k_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , such that  $\lim_i x_{n_i, k_i} = x$ .*



# Metrizability in Fréchet-Urysohn topological groups

## Theorem

*Each Fréchet-Urysohn topological group  $G$  with a long  $\Sigma$ -base  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  is metrizable.*

## Proof.

Assume  $\exists \alpha \in \Sigma$  such that  $D_k(\alpha)$  is not a neighborhood of the unit  $e$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$e \in \overline{G \setminus D_k(\alpha)} \implies \exists \{x_{n,k}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $G \setminus D_k(\alpha)$  converging to  $e$ .

Hence exists  $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \uparrow$  and  $(k_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \uparrow$  such that  $\lim_i x_{n_i, k_i} = e$ .

$x_{n_i, k_i} \notin D_{k_i}(\alpha) \implies \exists \beta_{k_i} \in \Sigma$ ,  $\beta_{k_i}(k_i) = \alpha(k_i)$ ,  $x_{n_i, k_i} \notin U_{\beta_{k_i}}$ .

$x_{n_i, k_i} \notin U_\gamma$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $\beta_{k_i} \leq \gamma$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Contradiction.

For every  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  choose the minimal  $k_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D_{k_\alpha}(\alpha)$  is a neighborhood of  $e$ .

$\{\text{int}(D_{k_\alpha}(\alpha))\}_{\alpha \in \Sigma}$  is base of neigh. of  $e$ , so  $G$  is metrizable.  $\square$

# Long $\Sigma$ -bases in products

## Corollary

*Let  $\{G_t\}_{t \in T}$  be a family of metrizable topological groups. Then the product  $G := \prod_{t \in T} G_t$  has a long  $\Sigma$ -base if and only if  $T$  is countable, i.e., when  $G$  is metrizable.*

## Proof.

Let  $e_t$  be the unit vector in  $G_t$  for  $t \in T$ .

The  $\Sigma$ -product  $G_0 := \{x = (x_t) \in G : |\{t \in T : x_t \neq e_t\}| \leq \aleph_0\}$  is a dense Fréchet-Urysohn subgroup of  $G$  (Noble, 1970).

If  $G$  has a long  $\Sigma$ -base, then  $G_0$  enjoys also this property.

Whence  $G_0$  is metrizable, so  $G$  is metrizable, too. The converse is clear. □

# Long $\Sigma$ -bases in $C_p(X)$

## Corollary

*The space  $C_p(X)$  has a long  $\Sigma$ -base if and only if  $X$  is countable.*

## Proof.

Apply preceding Corollary to  $\mathbb{R}^X = \overline{C_p(X)}$  □

# Outline

## 3 Existence of proper long $\Sigma$ -bases on $C_c([0, \omega_1))$

# The dominating cardinal

In  $(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}, \leq^*)$

- $\alpha \leq^* \beta$  stands for the *eventual dominance preorder* defined so that  $\alpha(n) \leq \beta(n)$  for almost all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e., for all but finitely many values of  $n$ .
- $\alpha <^* \beta$  means that there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\alpha(n) < \beta(n)$  for every  $n \geq m$ .

$\omega_1$  is the first ordinal of uncountable cardinal, whose cardinality we denote by  $\aleph_1$ .

ZFC model means Zermelo–Fraenkel model + axiom of choice.

## Definition

The *dominating cardinal*  $\mathfrak{d}$  is the least cardinality for cofinal subsets of the preordered space  $(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}, \leq^*)$ .

One has  $\aleph_1 \leq \mathfrak{d} \leq \mathfrak{c}$ .

# The main lemma

## Lemma

If  $\aleph_1 = \mathfrak{d}$  there exists a cofinal  $\omega_1$ -sequence  $\Gamma := \{\beta_\kappa : \kappa < \omega_1\}$  in  $(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}, \leq^*)$  such that

- 1  $\kappa_1 < \kappa_2$  implies that  $\beta_{\kappa_1} <^* \beta_{\kappa_2}$ ,
- 2 for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  the subset

$$\Delta_\alpha := \{\kappa < \omega_1 : \beta_\kappa \leq^* \alpha\}$$

of  $[0, \omega_1)$  is countable,

- 3 if  $\alpha \leq^* \gamma$  then  $\Delta_\alpha \subseteq \Delta_\gamma$ , and
- 4 every countable subset of  $[0, \omega_1)$  is contained in some  $\Delta_\gamma$ ; in particular,  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}} \Delta_\alpha = [0, \omega_1)$ .

## Example

### Example

In any ZFC model for which  $\aleph_1 = \mathfrak{d} < \mathfrak{c}$  there exists a completely regular space  $X$  and a compact covering  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  of  $X$ , with  $A_\alpha \subseteq A_\beta$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$  and indexed by an unbounded, directed and boundedly complete proper subset  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  that swallows the compact sets of  $X$ .

### Corollary

*In any ZFC model for which  $\aleph_1 = \mathfrak{d} < \mathfrak{c}$  there exists a long  $\Sigma$ -base of absolutely convex neighborhoods of the origin of the space  $C_c([0, \omega_1])$  which is not a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base.*





## Open question

### Problem




*Let  $X$  be a separable metric space admitting a compact ordered covering of  $X$  indexed by an unbounded and boundedly complete proper subset of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  that swallows the compact sets of  $X$ . Is then  $X$  a Polish space?*







## References I

-  Banakh, T.,  $\aleph_0$ -spaces, *Topology Appl.* **195** (2015), 151-173.
-  Cascales, B., Orihuela, J. and Tkachuk, V., *Domination by second countable spaces and Lindelöf  $\Sigma$ -property*, *Topology Appl.* **158** (2011), 204-214.
-  Chasco, M. J., Martin-Peinador, E. and Tarieladze, V., *A class of angelic sequential non-Fréchet-Urysohn topological groups*, *Topology Appl.* **154** (2007), 741–748.
-  Ferrando, J. C., Kąkol, J., López-Pellicer, M. and Saxon, S., *Tightness and distinguished Fréchet spaces*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **324** (2006), 862-881.

## References II

-  Ferrando, J. C. and López-Pellicer, M. (Eds.), *Descriptive Topology and Functional Analysis*, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics **80**, Springer, Heidelberg New York, 2014.
-  Gabrielyan, S., Kąkol, J. and Leiderman, A., *The strong Pytkeev property for topological groups and topological vector spaces*, Monatsch. Math. **175** (2014), 519–542.
-  Gabrielyan, S., Kąkol, J. and Leiderman, A., *On topological groups with a small base and metrizability*, Fundamenta Math. **229** (2015), 129-158.

## References III

-  Kąkol, J., Kubiś, W. and López-Pellicer, M., *Descriptive Topology in Selected Topics of Functional Analysis*, Springer, Developments in Math. **24**, New York Dordrecht Heidelberg, 2011.
-  Noble, N., *The continuity of functions on Cartesian products*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (1970), 187–198.
-  Orihuela, J., *Pointwise compactness in spaces of continuous functions*, J. London Math. Soc. **36** (1987), 143-152.
-  Tsaban, B. and Zdomskyy, L., *On the Pytkeev property in spaces of continuous functions (II)*, Houston J. of Math. **35** (2009), 563–571.