

Spaces  $C(X)$  with ordered bases  $\star$ J.C. Ferrando <sup>a,\*</sup>, J. Kąkol <sup>b,c</sup>, M. López-Pellicer <sup>d</sup><sup>a</sup> Centro de Investigación Operativa, Universidad Miguel Hernández, 03202 Elche, Spain<sup>b</sup> Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, A. Mickiewicz University, 61-614 Poznań, Poland<sup>c</sup> Institute of Mathematics, Czech Academy of Sciences, Praha, Czech Republic<sup>d</sup> Depto. de Matemática Aplicada and IUMPA, Universitat Politècnica de València, E-46022 Valencia, Spain

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 27 September 2015

Received in revised form 17 March 2016

Accepted 8 May 2016

Available online xxxx

## MSC:

54D70

46E10

22A05

## Keywords:

 $\mathfrak{G}$ -base $C$ -Suslin space

Web-compact space

Strict angelicity

## ABSTRACT

The concept of  $\Sigma$ -base of neighborhoods of the identity of a topological group  $G$  is introduced. If the index set  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is unbounded and directed (and if additionally each subset of  $\Sigma$  which is bounded in  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  has a bound at  $\Sigma$ ) a base  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  of neighborhoods of the identity of a topological group  $G$  with  $U_\beta \subseteq U_\alpha$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$  with  $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$  is called a  $\Sigma$ -base (a  $\Sigma_2$ -base). The case when  $\Sigma = \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  has been noticed for topological vector spaces (under the name of  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base) at [2]. If  $X$  is a separable and metrizable space which is not Polish, the space  $C_c(X)$  has a  $\Sigma$ -base but does not admit any  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base. A topological group which is Fréchet–Urysohn is metrizable iff it has a  $\Sigma_2$ -base of the identity. Under an appropriate ZFC model the space  $C_c(\omega_1)$  has a  $\Sigma_2$ -base which is not a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base. We also prove that (i) every compact set in a topological group with a  $\Sigma_2$ -base of neighborhoods of the identity is metrizable, (ii) a  $C_p(X)$  space has a  $\Sigma_2$ -base iff  $X$  is countable, and (iii) if a space  $C_c(X)$  has a  $\Sigma_2$ -base then  $X$  is a  $C$ -Suslin space, hence  $C_c(X)$  is angelic.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Preliminaries

In what follows  $\mathbb{N}$  will design the set of positive integers equipped with the discrete topology. The product space  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is supposed to be provided with the pointwise partial order, i.e., such that  $\alpha \leq \beta$  whenever  $\alpha(i) \leq \beta(i)$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . In the sequel  $\Sigma$  will always design a topological subspace of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . We shall say that a subset  $\Delta$  of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is (pointwise) *bounded* if  $\sup\{\alpha(k) : \alpha \in \Delta\} < \infty$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , otherwise will be called *unbounded*. A covering  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  of a topological space  $X$  is said to *swallow* the compact sets if for each compact set  $Q$  in  $X$  there is  $\gamma \in I$  such that  $Q \subseteq A_\gamma$ . If the covering  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  consists of compact sets, we shall speak of a *compact covering*.

<sup>\*</sup> Supported by Grant PROMETEO/2013/058 of the Conselleria de Educació, Investigació, Cultura y Deportes of Generalitat Valenciana. The second author also supported by the GACR Project 16-34860L and RVO: 67985840.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

*E-mail addresses:* [jc.ferrando@umh.es](mailto:jc.ferrando@umh.es) (J.C. Ferrando), [kakol@amu.edu.pl](mailto:kakol@amu.edu.pl) (J. Kąkol), [mlopezpe@mat.upv.es](mailto:mlopezpe@mat.upv.es) (M. López-Pellicer).

Unless otherwise stated  $X$  will be a (Hausdorff) completely regular space and  $C(X)$  will denote the linear space of real-valued continuous functions defined on  $X$ . We shall write  $C_p(X)$  or  $C_c(X)$  when endowed  $C(X)$  with the pointwise or the compact-open topology, respectively. All topological spaces are supposed to be Hausdorff. Let us recall that a topological space  $X$  is called *C-Suslin* if there is a subspace  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and a map  $T : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  such that  $\bigcup \{T(\alpha) : \alpha \in \Sigma\} = X$  and if  $\{\alpha_n\} \subseteq \Sigma$  converges in  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $x_n \in T(\alpha_n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $\{x_n\}$  has a cluster point in  $X$  (see [15]). A topological space  $X$  is called *web-compact* if there is a map  $T$  from a subspace  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  into  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  such that  $\overline{\bigcup \{T(\alpha) : \alpha \in \Sigma\}} = X$  and if  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$  in  $\Sigma$  and  $x_n \in T(\alpha_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $\{x_n\}$  has a cluster point in  $X$  (see [13, Definition]). Clearly, every *C-Suslin* space is web-compact. A topological space  $X$  is *angelic* if relatively countably compact sets in  $X$  are relatively compact and for every relatively compact subset  $A$  of  $X$  each point of  $\overline{A}$  is the limit of a sequence of  $A$  (see [9]). A topological space is *strictly angelic* if  $X$  is angelic and every compact subset of  $X$  is separable.

A completely regular space  $X$  is said to be *M-dominated* by a completely regular space  $M$  if there is a compact covering  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $X$  of the form  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_K : K \in \mathcal{K}(M)\}$ , where  $\mathcal{K}(M)$  stands for the family of all compact sets of  $M$ , satisfying that  $B_K \subseteq B_Q$  whenever  $K \subseteq Q$ . If in addition  $\mathcal{B}$  swallows the compact sets of  $X$ , then  $X$  is said to be *strongly M-dominated*, see [3].

In this paper we introduce the notion of a  $\Sigma$ -base of neighborhoods of the identity of a topological group (Definition 3 below), which is a family ‘smaller’ than a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base (see [2]). We show that if  $X$  is a separable metrizable space which is not a Polish space, then  $C_c(X)$  admits a  $\Sigma$ -base of neighborhoods of the origin but not a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base (Theorem 7). We also consider a special type of  $\Sigma$ -bases, named  $\Sigma_2$ -bases, that share some important properties with  $\mathfrak{G}$ -bases (Definition 11). We show that, under appropriate set-theoretical conditions, there exists a  $\Sigma_2$ -base which is not a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base (Example 20). We also prove other results stated in Abstract.

**2.  $\Sigma$ -bases and distinguishing examples**

A topological group  $G$  is said to have a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base if there is a base  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$  of neighborhoods of the identity  $e$  in  $G$  such that  $U_\beta \subseteq U_\alpha$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . Clearly, every metrizable topological group has a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base. Conversely, every Fréchet–Urysohn topological group with a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base is metrizable, [11, Theorem 1.2].

A space  $C_c(X)$  has a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base of (absolutely convex) neighborhoods of the origin if and only if  $X$  has a covering  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$  made up of compact sets such that (i)  $A_\alpha \subseteq A_\beta$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$ , and (ii)  $\mathcal{A}$  swallows the compact sets (see [6, Theorem 2]). Combining this fact with Christensen’s theorem (see [5, Theorem 3.3] or [8, Theorem 6.4]) one gets the following result which will be used, not always with explicit mention, along the paper.

**Proposition 1.** *For a metrizable space  $X$  the following are equivalent*

- (1)  $X$  is a Polish space.
- (2)  $C_c(X)$  has a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base of neighborhoods of the origin.

Since  $X = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is Polish but not hemicompact, the previous proposition ensures that  $C_c(X)$  is a non-metrizable locally convex space with a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base.

Following [14], a (Hausdorff) topological group  $G$  has the *strong Pytkeev property* if there exists a sequence  $\mathcal{D}$  of subsets of  $G$  satisfying the property: for each neighborhood  $U$  of the unit  $e$  and each  $A \subseteq G$  with  $e \in \overline{A} \setminus A$ , there is  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $D \subseteq U$  and  $D \cap A$  is infinite. In [10, Theorem 5] we showed that any topological group  $G$  with the strong Pytkeev property admits a *quasi- $\mathfrak{G}$ -base*  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  of the identity, i.e., an ordered base of neighborhoods of  $e$  over some  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

Very recently T. Banach [1, Corollary 2.6], being inspired by a question in [10], proved that *for every separable metrizable space  $X$  the space  $C_c(X)$  has the strong Pytkeev property*; therefore such  $C_c(X)$  admits a quasi- $\mathfrak{G}$ -base, again by [10, Theorem 2.2]. But it turns out that  $C_c(\mathbb{Q})$  has even a quasi- $\mathfrak{G}$ -base  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  for which  $\sup\{\alpha(k) : \alpha \in \Sigma\} = \infty$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  despite  $C_c(\mathbb{Q})$  does not admit a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base (see Remark 2 below). Summarizing, we have the following observation which partially motivates our work to study more carefully such topological groups which admit a  $\Sigma$ -base.

**Remark 2.** Let  $X$  be a separable metric space which is not a Polish space. Then  $C_c(X)$  has a quasi- $\mathfrak{G}$ -base but  $C_c(X)$  does not admit a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base.

The concept of quasi- $\mathfrak{G}$ -base is rather of a theoretical nature. We propose more practical concept as follows.

**Definition 3.** A topological group  $G$  is said to have a  $\Sigma$ -base if for some unbounded and directed subset  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  the neutral element of  $G$  has a base of neighborhoods  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  such that  $U_\beta \subseteq U_\alpha$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$  with  $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$ .

The requirement for  $\Sigma$  to be directed is not a serious constraint, since if  $\Gamma$  is any unbounded subset of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$  stands for the family of all finite subsets of  $\Sigma$  then  $\Sigma := \{\sup F : F \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)\}$ , where  $\gamma = \sup F \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is given by  $\gamma(i) = \sup\{\alpha(i) : \alpha \in F\}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , is an unbounded and directed subset of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  of the same cardinality as  $\Gamma$ . A special stronger notion of a  $\Sigma$ -base will be studied in the second part of the paper. The following theorem characterizes those  $C_c(X)$  spaces that admit a  $\Sigma$ -base.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $X$  be a completely regular space. The following are equivalent*

- (1) *There is a compact covering  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  of  $X$ , with  $\Sigma$  unbounded and directed, such that  $A_\alpha \subseteq A_\beta$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$  in  $\Sigma$ , that swallows the compact sets.*
- (2) *The locally convex space  $C_c(X)$  has a  $\Sigma$ -base of absolutely convex neighborhoods of the origin.*

**Proof.**  $1 \Rightarrow 2$ . For each compact set  $K \subseteq X$  and each  $\epsilon > 0$ , define

$$[K, \epsilon] := \{f \in C(X) : \sup_{x \in K} |f(x)| \leq \epsilon\}.$$

Let  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  be a compact covering of  $X$  indexed by an unbounded directed set  $\Sigma$  in  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $A_\alpha \subseteq A_\beta$  if  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . Since  $\Sigma$  is unbounded there is  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sup\{\alpha(k) : \alpha \in \Sigma\} = \infty$ . Then set

$$U_\alpha := [A_\alpha, \alpha(k)^{-1}]$$

for  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  and put  $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$ . If  $f \in C(X) \setminus \{0\}$  and

$$\lambda_\alpha := \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in A_\alpha\},$$

then  $\lambda_\alpha^{-1}f(x) \leq 1$  for every  $x \in A_\alpha$ , which means that  $f \in \lambda_\alpha \alpha(k) U_\alpha$ . This shows that the set  $U_\alpha$  is absorbing. On the other hand, given  $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$ , since  $(\Sigma, \leq)$  is directed there is  $\gamma \geq \sup\{\alpha, \beta\}$ , so that  $A_\alpha \cup A_\beta \subseteq A_\gamma$ . Hence, the fact that  $\gamma(k) \geq \max\{\alpha(k), \beta(k)\}$  assures that  $U_\gamma \subseteq U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ , which shows that  $\mathfrak{U}$  is a family of absolutely convex and absorbing sets in  $C(X)$  composing a filter base. Since clearly  $U_\beta \subseteq U_\alpha$  if  $\alpha \leq \beta$  and  $\{0\} = \bigcap \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$ , in order to ensure that  $\mathfrak{U}$  is a  $\Sigma$ -base of neighborhoods of the origin in  $C(X)$  it remains to check that for each  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  there is  $\gamma \geq \alpha$  such that  $U_\gamma \subseteq \frac{1}{2}U_\alpha$ . For the latter statement first choose  $\beta \in \Sigma$  such that  $\beta(k) \geq 2\alpha(k)$ . Since  $(\Sigma, \leq)$  is a directed set, there is  $\gamma \in \Sigma$  such that  $\gamma \geq \sup\{\alpha, \beta\}$ . Hence  $\gamma \geq \alpha$  with  $\gamma(k) \geq 2\alpha(k)$ . If  $f \in U_\gamma$ , since  $A_\alpha \subseteq A_\gamma$  we have

$$\sup_{y \in A_\alpha} |2f(y)| \leq 2 \sup_{y \in A_\gamma} |f(y)| \leq 2\gamma(k)^{-1} \leq \alpha(k)^{-1}$$

which means that  $2f \in U_\alpha$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{U}$  is a  $\Sigma$ -base for a locally convex topology  $\tau$  on  $C(X)$  with  $\tau_p \leq \tau \leq \tau_c$ . Now assume in addition that  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  swallows the compact sets of  $X$  and let  $V$  be a neighborhood of the origin of  $C_c(X)$ . If  $Q$  is a compact set in  $X$  with  $[Q, \epsilon] \subseteq V$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , choosing  $\gamma \in \Sigma$  such that  $Q \subseteq A_\gamma$  and  $\gamma(k)^{-1} < \epsilon$  then  $U_\gamma \subseteq [Q, \epsilon] \subseteq V$ . This shows that  $\tau = \tau_c$ , so  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  is a  $\Sigma$ -base for  $C_c(X)$ .

$2 \Rightarrow 1$ . First note that if  $K$  is a closed subset of  $X \setminus \{x\}$  there exists  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $f(K) = \{0\}$  and  $f(x) = 2$ . Hence if  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $A \subseteq X$  and  $[K, \epsilon] \subseteq [A, 1]$ , then  $A \subseteq K$ . Now we proceed exactly as in the second part of the proof of [6, Theorem 2]. Let  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  be a  $\Sigma$ -base of absolutely convex neighborhoods of the origin in  $C_c(X)$ . Fix  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  and choose a compact set  $K$  in  $X$  such that  $[K, \epsilon] \subseteq U_\alpha$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since the closed set

$$A_\alpha := \{x \in X : |f(x)| \leq 1, \forall f \in U_\alpha\}$$

verifies that  $U_\alpha \subseteq [A_\alpha, 1]$ , the inclusion  $[K, \epsilon] \subseteq [A_\alpha, 1]$  together with the above observation imply that  $A_\alpha$  is compact. Finally, if  $P$  is any compact subset of  $X$  there exists  $\beta \in \Sigma$ , such that  $U_\beta \subseteq [P, 1]$ , so that  $P \subseteq \{x \in X : |f(x)| \leq 1, \forall f \in U_\beta\} = A_\beta$ . This shows that the family  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  is a compact covering of  $X$  such that  $A_\alpha \subseteq A_\beta$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$  in the unbounded and directed set  $\Sigma$ , that swallows the compact sets.  $\square$

**Example 5.** Let  $\omega = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  be equipped with the discrete topology. If  $F = \{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$  is a finite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ , define  $\delta_F \in \omega^\omega$  so that  $\delta_F(0) = |F|$ ,  $\delta_F(n_i) = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p$  and  $\delta_F(j) = 0$  otherwise. Setting  $\Sigma := \{\delta_F : F \subseteq \mathbb{N}, F \text{ finite}\}$  then  $\Sigma$  is a countable subset of  $\omega^\omega$ . If  $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$ , there are finite sets  $F, G$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\alpha = \delta_F$  and  $\beta = \delta_G$ . Setting  $H = F \cup G$  then clearly  $\alpha, \beta \leq \delta_H$ , so that  $\Sigma$  is directed. Moreover  $\Sigma$  is unbounded since  $\sup\{\alpha(0) : \alpha \in \Sigma\} = \infty$ . If  $\alpha = \delta_F \in \Sigma$ , let us define  $A_\alpha = F$ . Clearly  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  is a compact covering of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $A_\alpha \subseteq A_\beta$  if  $\alpha \leq \beta$  in  $\Sigma$ . Moreover, obviously this covering swallows the compact sets of the discrete space  $\mathbb{N}$ . According to the preceding theorem,  $C_c(\mathbb{N}) = \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N}$  admits a  $\Sigma$ -base of neighborhoods of the origin. Of course, since  $\mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N}$  is a metrizable locally convex space, it also admits a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base. This does not always happen, as the following example shows.

**Example 6.** There exists a space  $C_c(X)$  that admits a  $\Sigma$ -base of neighborhoods of the origin but not a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base of neighborhoods of the origin.

**Proof.** Let us identify the set  $\omega$  with an enumeration of the set  $\mathbb{Q}$  of the rationals. We shall keep the notation  $\omega$  when consider  $\omega$  either as a set or equipped with the discrete topology. We shall write  $\Omega$  when consider  $\omega$  equipped with the (metrizable) relative topology of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\chi_Z$  be the characteristic function of a set  $Z \subseteq \omega$ . If  $\mathcal{K}(\Omega)$  stands for the family of compact sets of  $\Omega$ , define  $\Sigma \subseteq \omega^\omega$  as follows

$$\Sigma = \{k\chi_Z : Z \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega), k \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

so that  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  if there are  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $Z \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$  such that  $\alpha = \alpha[k, Z] = k\chi_Z$ . Thus  $\Sigma$  consists of all  $\omega$ -valued functions with compact support in  $\Omega$  which are constant in their support. Now observe that if  $\alpha[m, Y], \alpha[n, Z] \in \Sigma$  then  $\alpha[m+n, Y \cup Z] \in \Sigma$  and  $\alpha[m, Y], \alpha[n, Z] \leq \alpha[m+n, Y \cup Z]$ , so that  $\Sigma$  is a directed set. On the other hand, if  $j \in \omega$  then  $\alpha[k, \{j\}](j) = k$ , so that  $\sup\{\alpha(j) : \alpha \in \Sigma\} = \infty$ , which shows that  $\Sigma$  is unbounded. Defining  $A_{\alpha[k, Z]} = Z$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  whenever  $Z$  is a compact set of  $\Omega$ , clearly  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  is a compact covering of  $\Omega$ . Moreover, if  $\alpha[m, Y] \leq \alpha[n, Z]$  then  $m \leq n$  and  $Y \subseteq Z$ , hence  $A_{\alpha[m, Y]} = Y \subseteq Z = A_{\alpha[n, Z]}$ . Obviously  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  swallows the compact sets because contains all compact sets of  $\Omega$ . So, according to the previous theorem, the space  $C_c(\mathbb{Q}) = C_c(\Omega)$  has a  $\Sigma$ -base. On the

other hand, since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not a Polish space, according to [Proposition 1](#) the space  $C_c(X)$  with  $X = \mathbb{Q}$  cannot have a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base of neighborhoods of the origin.  $\square$

An appropriate modification of the previous example yields the following general result.

**Theorem 7.** *If  $X$  is a separable and metrizable space that is not a Polish space, then  $C_c(X)$  admits a  $\Sigma$ -base of neighborhoods of the origin but it does not admit any  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base.*

**Proof.** Let us identify now the set  $\omega$  with an enumeration of a countable dense subspace of  $X$ . We keep the notation  $\omega$  when consider  $\omega$  either as a set or equipped with the discrete topology and write  $\Omega$  when consider  $\omega$  equipped with the relative topology of  $X$ . Let  $\chi_Z$  be the characteristic function of a set  $Z \subseteq \omega$ . If  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$  stands for the family of all subsets of  $\Omega$  with compact closure in  $X$ , define  $\Sigma \subseteq \omega^\omega$  as

$$\Sigma = \{k\chi_Z : Z \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega), k \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

so that  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  if there are  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $Z \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$  such that  $\alpha = \alpha[k, Z] = k\chi_Z$ . Thus  $\Sigma$  consists of all constant  $\omega$ -valued functions supported in a subset of  $\Omega$  which is relatively compact in  $X$ .

Observe that if  $\alpha[m, Y], \alpha[n, Z] \in \Sigma$  then  $\alpha[m + n, Y \cup Z] \in \Sigma$  and  $\alpha[m, Y], \alpha[n, Z] \leq \alpha[m + n, Y \cup Z]$ , so that  $\Sigma$  is a directed set. On the other hand, if  $j \in \omega$  we have for instance that  $\alpha[k, \{j\}](j) = k$ , so that  $\sup\{\alpha(j) : \alpha \in \Sigma\} = \infty$ , which shows that  $\Sigma$  is unbounded. Defining  $A_{\alpha[k, Z]} = \overline{Z}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  where the closure is in  $X$ , then  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  is a compact covering of  $X$ . Indeed, if  $x \in X$  there is a sequence  $S$  in  $\Omega$  converging to  $x$ . Given that  $x$  belongs to the compact set  $\overline{S}$ , then  $A_{\alpha[k, S]} = \overline{S}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that  $x \in A_{\alpha[k, S]}$  whatever be  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, if  $\alpha[m, Y] \leq \alpha[n, Z]$  then  $m \leq n$  and  $Y \subseteq Z$ , hence  $A_{\alpha[m, Y]} = \overline{Y} \subseteq \overline{Z} = A_{\alpha[n, Z]}$ .

We claim that the compact covering  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  swallows the compact sets of  $X$ . So, let  $K \subseteq X$  be compact and let  $\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable and dense subspace  $K_0$  of  $K$ . If  $d$  is an admissible metric on  $X$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  choose  $b_{n,m} \in \Omega$  such that

$$d(a_n, b_{n,m}) < 2^{-nm}$$

for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} b_{n,m} = a_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Although it is not necessary, we shall also assume that each sequence  $\{b_{n,m}\}_{m=1}^\infty$  is injective and that  $a_n \neq b_{n,m}$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now set  $B_n := \{b_{n,m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and

$$B := \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty B_n = \bigcup \{b_{n,m} : (n, m) \in \mathbb{N}^2\}.$$

Clearly  $B \subseteq \omega$  and  $\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \overline{B}$ , whence  $K \subseteq \overline{B}$ . Therefore to prove that  $B \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$  it suffices to check that  $\overline{B}$  is compact, i.e., we must to justify that each sequence in  $\overline{B}$  admits a convergent subsequence.

Let  $\{c_p\}_{p=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $\overline{B}$ . Then there exist two sequences  $\{n(p)\}_{p=1}^\infty$  and  $\{m(p)\}_{p=1}^\infty$  of positive integers such that

$$d(c_p, b_{n(p), m(p)}) < 2^{-p} \tag{2.1}$$

for each  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\{n(p)\}_{p=1}^\infty$  contains a constant subsequence  $\{n(p_l)\}_{l=1}^\infty$ , i.e., such that  $n(p_l) = n_0$  for every  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the sequence  $\{b_{n(p_l), m(p_l)}\}_{l=1}^\infty = \{b_{n_0, m(p_l)}\}_{l=1}^\infty$  contains a convergent subsequence because the set  $\{b_{n_0, m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{a_{n_0}\}$  is compact. Thus, from [\(2.1\)](#) it follows that the sequence  $\{c_p\}_{p=1}^\infty$  also contains a convergent subsequence.

If  $\{n(p)\}_{p=1}^\infty$  does not contain a constant subsequence then there is an strictly increasing sequence  $\{p_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$  of positive integers such that  $n(p_l) < n(p_{l'})$  if  $l < l'$ . By construction

$$d(a_{n(p_l)}, b_{n(p_l), m(p_l)}) < 2^{-n(p_l)m(p_l)} \text{ and } d(c_{p_l}, b_{n(p_l), m(p_l)}) < 2^{-p_l},$$

whence

$$d(a_{n(p_l)}, c_{p_l}) < 2^{-n(p_l)m(p_l)} + 2^{-p_l} \leq 2^{-p_l} + 2^{-p_l} = 2^{1-p_l} \tag{2.2}$$

for each  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is contained in the compact set  $K$ , the sequence  $\{a_{n(p_l)}\}_{l=1}^\infty$  has a convergent subsequence. So inequality (2.2) implies that  $\{c_{p_l}\}_{l=1}^\infty$  also contains a convergent subsequence.

Finally, as happens in the Example 6 above, if  $X$  is not a Polish space Proposition 1 prevents the space  $C_c(X)$  to have a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base of neighborhoods of the origin.  $\square$

### 3. Boundedly complete sets and $\Sigma_2$ -bases

In this section we are going to consider a special class of  $\Sigma$ -bases, which we denominate  $\Sigma_2$ -bases, and study some properties of them quite close to those of  $\mathfrak{G}$ -bases.

**Definition 8.** A subset  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$  will be called boundedly complete if each bounded set  $\Delta$  of  $\Sigma$  has a bound at  $\Sigma$ . In other words, if  $\sup\{\alpha(k) : \alpha \in \Delta\} < \infty$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  implies that there is  $\gamma \in \Sigma$  such that  $\alpha \leq \gamma$  for every  $\alpha \in \Delta$ .

If  $\Sigma$  is a boundedly complete subset of  $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$  then  $\Sigma$  is itself directed. For if  $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$  then  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$  is a bounded subset of  $\Sigma$ , so there is  $\gamma \in \Sigma$  such that  $\alpha, \beta \leq \gamma$ . On the other hand, if  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  is a base of neighborhoods of a (Hausdorff) locally convex space and  $\Sigma$  is a boundedly complete subset of  $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$  then  $\Sigma$  must be unbounded. Otherwise  $\sup\{\alpha(k) : \alpha \in \Sigma\} < \infty$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and hence there exists  $\gamma \in \Sigma$  with  $\alpha \leq \gamma$  for every  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ . Consequently  $U_\gamma \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Sigma} U_\alpha$ , a contradiction.

**Example 9.** Every cofinal subset  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$  with respect to the partial order ' $\leq$ ' is boundedly complete. If  $\Delta \subseteq \Sigma$  satisfies that  $\sup\{\alpha(k) : \alpha \in \Delta\} < \infty$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\beta(k) := \sup\{\alpha(k) : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ . Then  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$  and hence there is  $\gamma \in \Sigma$  such that  $\beta \leq \gamma$ .

**Proposition 10.** If  $X$  is a (completely regular) topological space for which there exists a compact covering  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  that swallows the compact sets indexed by a boundedly complete subset  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$  and such that  $A_\alpha \subseteq A_\beta$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$  in  $\Sigma$ , then  $X$  is strongly dominated by a second countable space.

**Proof.** Consider the mapping  $T : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$  defined by  $T(\alpha) = A_\alpha$ . If  $K$  is a compact set in  $\Sigma$ , then  $K$  is bounded due to  $\sup\{\alpha(k) : \alpha \in K\} < \infty$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\Sigma$  is supposed to be boundedly complete, there is  $\gamma \in \Sigma$  such that  $\alpha \leq \gamma$  for every  $\alpha \in K$ . Consequently  $T(K) = \bigcup\{T(\alpha) : \alpha \in K\} \subseteq A_\gamma$ . So, setting  $B_K := \overline{T(K)}$ , then  $B_K$  is a closed subset of a compact set of  $X$ , hence a compact set. This means that  $\mathcal{B} := \{B_K : K \in \mathcal{K}(\Sigma)\}$  is a family of compact sets of  $X$ , which clearly covers  $X$ . On the other hand, if  $K, Q \in \mathcal{K}(\Sigma)$  are such that  $K \subseteq Q$  then clearly  $T(K) \subseteq T(Q)$ , which implies that  $B_K \subseteq B_Q$ . Finally, if  $P$  is a compact set in  $X$  there is  $\delta \in \Sigma$  with  $P \subseteq T(\delta) = B_{\{\delta\}}$ . This shows that  $X$  is strongly  $\Sigma$ -dominated. Since  $\Sigma$  is a separable metric space, the conclusion follows.  $\square$

**Definition 11.** A  $\Sigma$ -base of neighborhoods of the unit element of a topological group  $G$  indexed by a boundedly complete subspace  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$  will be referred to as a  $\Sigma_2$ -base.

Of course, every  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base of neighborhoods of the neutral element of a topological group  $G$  is a  $\Sigma_2$ -base, with  $\Sigma = \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . The proof of the next theorem uses the following

**Proposition 12.** (*[3, Theorem 1]*) *A compact topological space  $K$  is metrizable if and only if the space  $(K \times K) \setminus \Delta$  is strongly dominated by a second countable space, where here  $\Delta := \{(x, x) : x \in K\}$ .*

**Theorem 13.** *If a topological group  $G$  has a  $\Sigma_2$ -base of neighborhoods of the identity, then every compact subset  $K$  in  $G$  is metrizable. Consequently,  $G$  is strictly angelic.*

**Proof.** Let  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  be an open  $\Sigma_2$ -base in  $G$ . We may assume that all sets  $U_\alpha$  are symmetric. We have to show that  $K$  is metrizable. To prove this, according to [Propositions 10 and 12](#) it is enough to show that the set  $W := (K \times K) \setminus \Delta$  has a compact covering  $\{W_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  indexed by a boundedly complete subset  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  that swallows the compact sets. Now, for each  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  set

$$W_\alpha := \{(x, y) \in W : xy^{-1} \notin U_\alpha\}.$$

Then  $W_\alpha$  is closed in  $K \times K$ , and hence compact for every  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ . Let us show that the family  $\mathcal{W} := \{W_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  is a compact covering in  $W$  as required. Indeed, if  $(x, y) \in W$ , then  $x \neq y$ . Hence there exists  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  such that  $xy^{-1} \notin U_\alpha$ . So  $(x, y) \in W_\alpha$ . Thus  $W = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Sigma} W_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  is a compact covering such that  $W_\alpha \subseteq W_\beta$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$ .

We show next that the family  $\mathcal{W}$  swallows compact sets in  $W$ . Let  $Q \subseteq W$  be a compact set. Then the set  $T(Q) := \{xy^{-1} : (x, y) \in Q\}$  is compact in  $G$  and does not contain the element  $e$ . Therefore we can find a neighborhood  $U_\alpha$  such that  $U_\alpha \cap T(Q) = \emptyset$  for some  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ , which shows that  $Q \subseteq W_\alpha$ . Consequently  $\mathcal{W}$  swallows the compact sets in  $W$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 14.** *If there exists a family  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  made up of compact sets, indexed by a boundedly complete set  $\Sigma$  such that  $A_\alpha \subseteq A_\beta$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$  and satisfying that  $\overline{\bigcup \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}} = X$ , then  $C_c(X)$  is strictly angelic.*

**Proof.** First observe that  $X$  is web-compact, so that  $C_p(X)$  is angelic. Since the compact-open topology is stronger than the pointwise convergence topology, the angelic lemma [\[9\]](#) guarantees that the space  $C_c(X)$  is angelic.

For the second statement set  $Y = \bigcup \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$ . Now let  $\tau_p$  and  $\tau_c$  denote the pointwise and the compact-open topology on  $C(Y)$ , respectively. Since  $\Sigma$  is unbounded in  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  there is  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sup \{\alpha(k) : \alpha \in \Sigma\} = \infty$ . Defining  $U_\alpha = \{f \in C(Y) : \sup_{y \in A_\alpha} |f(y)| \leq \alpha(k)^{-1}\}$  for  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  as in the proof of [Theorem 4](#). Then  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  is a base of neighborhoods of a Hausdorff locally convex topology  $\tau$  on  $C(Y)$  such that  $\tau_p \leq \tau \leq \tau_c$ . Moreover, since the index set  $\Sigma$  is a boundedly complete subset of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , then  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  is a  $\Sigma_2$ -base of  $(C(Y), \tau)$ . So, according to [Theorem 13](#), every  $\tau$ -compact set in  $C(Y)$  is metrizable. Since the restriction map  $S : C_c(X) \rightarrow (C(Y), \tau)$  defined  $S(f) = f|_Y$  is a continuous (linear) injection from  $C_c(X)$  into  $(C(Y), \tau)$ , if  $K$  is a compact set in  $C_c(X)$  its image  $S(K)$  is a compact set in  $(C(Y), \tau)$ , hence metrizable. Given that  $S$  restricts itself to an homeomorphism on  $K$ , it follows that  $K$  is metrizable in  $C_c(X)$  as required.  $\square$

**Theorem 15.** *If  $C_c(X)$  has a  $\Sigma_2$ -base of neighborhoods of the origin, then  $X$  is a  $C$ -Suslin space.*

**Proof.** Since  $\Sigma$  is unbounded and directed, by [Theorem 4](#) there is in  $X$  a compact covering  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  indexed by  $\Sigma$  such that  $A_\alpha \subseteq A_\beta$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . Define the map  $T : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ , where  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  stands for the family of all compact sets of  $X$ , by  $T(\alpha) = A_\alpha$ . If  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is a sequence in  $\Sigma$  such that  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$  in  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , since  $\Delta = \{\alpha_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \Sigma$  is a bounded set there is  $\gamma \in \Sigma$  with  $\alpha_n \leq \gamma$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consequently,

$T(\alpha_n) \subseteq A_\gamma$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, if  $x_n \in T(\alpha_n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  has a cluster point  $x$  in  $X$  (contained in  $A_\gamma$ ).  $\square$

Let  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  be a  $\Sigma_2$ -base in a topological group  $G$ . For every  $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Sigma$  and each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , set

$$D_k(\alpha) := \bigcap_{\beta \in I_k(\alpha)} U_\beta, \text{ where } I_k(\alpha) = \{\beta \in \Sigma : \beta_i = \alpha_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

Clearly,  $\{D_k(\alpha)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an increasing sequence of subsets of  $G$  containing the unit. Recall that every Fréchet–Urysohn topological group  $G$  satisfies the condition:

(AS) For any family  $\{x_{n,k} : (n,k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}\} \subset G$ , with  $\lim_n x_{n,k} = x \in G$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , it is possible to choose strictly increasing sequences of natural numbers  $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(k_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , such that  $\lim_i x_{n_i, k_i} = x$  (see [4, Lemma 1.3]).

**Theorem 16.** *If  $G$  be a topological group which is Fréchet–Urysohn with a  $\Sigma_2$ -base  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$ , then  $G$  is metrizable.*

**Proof.** First observe that for every  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D_k(\alpha)$  is a neighborhood of the unit  $e$ . Indeed, assume that there exists  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  such that  $D_k(\alpha)$  is not a neighborhood of the unit  $e$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $e$  belongs to the closure of the set  $G \setminus D_k(\alpha)$ . Since  $G$  is Fréchet–Urysohn, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a sequence  $\{x_{n,k}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $G \setminus D_k(\alpha)$  converging to  $e$ . By (AS) we choose strictly increasing sequences of natural numbers  $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(k_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , such that  $\lim_i x_{n_i, k_i} = e$ .

For every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , choose  $\alpha_{k_i} \in I_{k_i}(\alpha)$  such that  $x_{n_i, k_i} \notin U_{\alpha_{k_i}}$ . Since  $\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_{k_i}(j) = \max\{\alpha_{k_1}(j), \dots, \alpha_{k_j}(j)\} < \infty$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set  $\Delta = \{\alpha_{k_i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a subset of  $\Sigma$  bounded in  $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ . By hypothesis there exists  $\gamma \in \Sigma$  such that  $\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_{k_i} \leq \gamma$ . So  $x_{n_i, k_i} \notin U_\gamma$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $x_{n_i, k_i} \not\rightarrow e$ , a contradiction. Therefore there is  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $D_k(\alpha)$  is a neighborhood of  $e$ . For every  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  choose the minimal  $k_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D_{k_\alpha}(\alpha)$  is a neighborhood of  $e$ . By the construction of the sets  $D_k(\alpha)$ , the family  $\{\text{int}(D_{k_\alpha}(\alpha))\}_{\alpha \in \Sigma}$  is a countable base of open neighborhoods of  $e$ , so  $G$  is metrizable.  $\square$

**Corollary 17.** *Let  $\{G_t\}_{t \in T}$  be a family of metrizable topological groups. Then the product  $G := \prod_{t \in T} G_t$  has a  $\Sigma_2$ -base if and only if  $T$  is countable, i.e., when  $G$  is metrizable.*

**Proof.** Let  $e := (e_t)$  be the unit vector in  $G$ , where  $e_t$  is the unit vector in  $G_t$  for  $t \in T$ . Let  $G_0$  be the  $\Sigma$ -product in the space  $G$ , i.e.  $G_0 := \{x = (x_t) \in G : |t \in T : x_t \neq e_t| \leq \aleph_0\}$ . Then  $G_0$  is a subgroup of  $G$  and endowed with the product topology is a Fréchet–Urysohn dense subspace of  $G$ , see [12]. Assume that  $G$  has a  $\Sigma_2$ -base, then  $G_0$  enjoys also this property. By Theorem 16 we know that  $G_0$  is metrizable, so  $G$  is metrizable, too. The converse is clear.  $\square$

Since  $C_p(X)$  is dense in the product  $\mathbb{R}^X$ , we apply Corollary 17 to get the following.

**Corollary 18.** *The space  $C_p(X)$  has a  $\Sigma_2$ -base if and only if  $X$  is countable.*

#### 4. Existence of proper $\Sigma_2$ -bases on $C_c([0, \omega_1])$

Let  $\mathfrak{d}$  be the *dominating cardinal*, defined as the least cardinality for cofinal subsets of the preordered space  $(\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}, \leq^*)$ , where  $\alpha \leq^* \beta$  stands for the *eventual dominance preorder* defined so that  $\alpha(n) \leq \beta(n)$  for almost all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e., for all but finitely many values of  $n$ . Here  $\alpha <^* \beta$  means that there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\alpha(n) < \beta(n)$  for every  $n \geq m$ . In what follows  $\omega_1$  will be the first ordinal of uncountable cardinal,



whose cardinality we denote by  $\aleph_1$ . In ZFC one has  $\aleph_1 \leq \mathfrak{d} \leq \mathfrak{c}$ . The following result, certainly well known to specialists, of which we provide a detailed proof, will be widely used in the example below.

**Lemma 19.** *If  $\aleph_1 = \mathfrak{d}$  there exists a cofinal  $\omega_1$ -sequence  $\Gamma := \{\beta_\kappa : \kappa < \omega_1\}$  in  $(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}, \leq^*)$  such that (i)  $\kappa_1 < \kappa_2$  implies that  $\beta_{\kappa_1} <^* \beta_{\kappa_2}$ , (ii) for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  the subset*

$$\Delta_\alpha := \{\kappa < \omega_1 : \beta_\kappa \leq^* \alpha\}$$

*of  $[0, \omega_1)$  is countable, (iii) if  $\alpha \leq^* \gamma$  then  $\Delta_\alpha \subseteq \Delta_\gamma$ , and (iv) every countable subset of  $[0, \omega_1)$  is contained in some  $\Delta_\gamma$ ; in particular,  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}} \Delta_\alpha = [0, \omega_1)$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $\aleph_1 = \mathfrak{d}$  there exists a cofinal set  $\mathfrak{D} = \{\delta_\kappa : 0 \leq \kappa < \omega_1\}$  in  $(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}, \leq^*)$  with  $|\mathfrak{D}| = \aleph_1$ . Pick  $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\delta_0 <^* \beta_0$  and take  $\beta_1 \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\sup\{\delta_1, \beta_0\} <^* \beta_1$ . Suppose we have defined  $\{\beta_\tau : 0 \leq \tau < \kappa\}$ . Since the latter set is countable, we may choose  $\beta_\kappa \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\sup\{\delta_\tau, \beta_\tau : \tau < \kappa\} <^* \beta_\kappa$ . By construction one has that  $\beta_\tau <^* \beta_\varepsilon$  whenever  $\tau < \varepsilon$  and on the other hand that  $\delta_\kappa <^* \beta_\kappa$  for all  $\kappa < \omega_1$ , which assures that  $\Gamma$  is a cofinal set in  $(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}, \leq^*)$ . Let us see that each set  $\Delta_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , is countable. In fact, given  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , the cofinality of  $\mathfrak{D}$  allows us to choose  $\tau < \omega_1$  such that  $\alpha \leq^* \beta_\tau$  and then

$$\Delta_\alpha = \{\kappa < \omega_1 : \beta_\kappa \leq^* \alpha\} \subseteq \{\kappa < \omega_1 : \beta_\kappa <^* \beta_\tau\} \subseteq \{\kappa < \omega_1 : \kappa < \tau\}.$$

Since the latter set is countable, we are done.

If  $\alpha \leq^* \gamma$  and  $\kappa \in \Delta_\alpha$  then  $\beta_\kappa \leq^* \alpha \leq^* \gamma$  and hence  $\kappa \in \Delta_\gamma$ , so that  $\Delta_\alpha \subseteq \Delta_\gamma$ . Finally, if  $M$  is a countable subset of  $[0, \omega_1)$ , pick  $\varepsilon \in [0, \omega_1)$  such that  $\varepsilon > \sup M$ . Since  $\tau < \varepsilon$  for all  $\tau \in M$ , then  $\beta_\tau <^* \beta_\varepsilon$  for all  $\tau \in M$ . Hence  $M \subseteq \Delta_{\beta_\varepsilon}$ .  $\square$

**Example 20.** In any ZFC consistent model for which  $\aleph_1 = \mathfrak{d}$  but  $\mathfrak{d} < \mathfrak{c}$  there exists a completely regular space  $X$  and a compact covering  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  of  $X$ , with  $A_\alpha \subseteq A_\beta$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$  and indexed by an unbounded, directed and boundedly complete proper subset  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  that swallows the compact sets of  $X$ .

**Proof.** Assume that  $\aleph_1 = \mathfrak{d}$  but  $\mathfrak{d} < \mathfrak{c}$  and let  $\Gamma$  be the cofinal subset of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  of cardinality  $\mathfrak{d}$  with respect to the preorder ' $\leq^*$ ' determined in the previous lemma. Then we claim that  $\{\Delta_\alpha : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$  is a covering of  $X = [0, \omega_1)$  such that  $\Delta_\alpha \subseteq \Delta_\beta$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$  and  $\Gamma$  is an unbounded subset of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Indeed, if  $\kappa \in [0, \omega_1)$  the previous result yields  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\kappa \in \Delta_\gamma$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is cofinal in  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with respect to the eventual dominance preorder, there is  $\delta \in \Gamma$  with  $\gamma \leq^* \delta$ . By Lemma 19 this implies that  $\Delta_\gamma \subseteq \Delta_\delta$ , so that  $\kappa \in \Delta_\delta$ . Therefore  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \Delta_\alpha = X$ . Now, to see that  $\Gamma$  is an unbounded subset of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , assume by contradiction that  $\sup\{\alpha(k) : \alpha \in \Gamma\} < \infty$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Setting  $\beta(k) = \sup\{\alpha(k) : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and choosing  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\beta \leq^* \gamma$  we have that  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \Delta_\alpha \subseteq \Delta_\gamma$ , so that  $\Delta_\gamma = [0, \omega_1)$ . But this is a contradiction, since  $\Delta_\gamma$  is countable.

In order to get a directed index set, let us enlarge a little bit the set  $\Gamma$ . For each finite subset  $F$  of  $\Gamma$  choose the supremum  $\sup F$  at  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with respect to the order ' $\leq$ ' and denote by  $\Sigma$  the subset of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  consisting of the suprema of the finite sets of  $\Gamma$ . Of course, according to our hypotheses,  $|\Sigma| = |\Gamma| = \mathfrak{d} < \mathfrak{c} = |\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}|$ , so that  $\Sigma$  is a proper subset of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Clearly  $\{\Delta_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  is still unbounded,  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \Delta_\alpha = X$  and  $\Delta_\alpha \subseteq \Delta_\beta$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta$  in  $\Sigma$ , but now we have the benefit that  $\Sigma$  is directed. In fact, if  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \Sigma$  there are finite sets  $F_1, F_2 \subseteq \Gamma$  such that  $\alpha_1 = \sup F_1$  and  $\alpha_2 = \sup F_2$ , so that if  $\gamma := \sup(F_1 \cup F_2)$  then clearly  $\gamma \in \Sigma$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \leq \gamma$ .

We claim that every compact set  $K \subseteq X$  is contained in some  $\Delta_\gamma$  with  $\gamma \in \Sigma$ . In fact, since  $K$  is countable, by Lemma 19 there is  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $K \subseteq \Delta_\alpha$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is cofinal with respect to the eventual dominance preorder, there exists  $\beta \in \Gamma$  such that  $\alpha \leq^* \beta$ . But this implies that  $\Delta_\alpha \subseteq \Delta_\beta$ , which ensures that  $K \subseteq \Delta_\beta$  with  $\beta \in \Sigma$ .

Finally let us see that  $\Sigma$  is boundedly complete. Let  $P$  be a bounded subset of  $\Sigma$  and put  $\beta(i) = \sup\{\alpha(i) : \alpha \in P\}$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now chose  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\beta \leq^* \gamma$  and let  $F \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  be a finite set such that  $\beta(i) \leq \gamma(i)$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus F$ . Observe that, since each set  $\{\alpha(i) : \alpha \in P\}$  is finite, for each given index  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists an element  $\alpha_i \in P$  such that  $\alpha_i(i) = \beta(i)$ . On the other hand, since  $\{\gamma, \alpha_j : j \in F\}$  is a finite subset of the directed set  $\Sigma$  there exists some  $\delta \in \Sigma$  such that  $\gamma \leq \delta$  and  $\alpha_j \leq \delta$  for all  $j \in F$ . This means that  $\alpha \leq \delta$  for every  $\alpha \in P$ , since either  $\alpha(i) \leq \beta(i) \leq \gamma(i) \leq \delta(i)$  if  $i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus F$  or  $\alpha(j) \leq \alpha_j(j) \leq \delta(j)$  if  $j \in F$ . Consequently,  $\Sigma$  is boundedly complete.

Hence the family  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  with  $A_\alpha := \Delta_\alpha$  satisfies the required conditions.  $\square$

**Corollary 21.** *In any ZFC consistent model for which  $\aleph_1 = \mathfrak{d}$  but  $\mathfrak{d} < \mathfrak{c}$  there exists a  $\Sigma_2$ -base of absolutely convex neighborhoods of the origin of the space  $C_c([0, \omega_1])$  which is not a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base.*

**Proof.** This is a straightforward consequence of [Theorem 4](#) and [Example 20](#).  $\square$

**Remark 22.** According to [\[7, Theorem 8\]](#), the locally convex space  $C_c([0, \omega_1])$  admits a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base of neighborhoods of the origin if and only if we assume that  $\aleph_1 = \mathfrak{d}$ . The preceding corollary shows that this space even admits a  $\Sigma_2$ -base, which is not a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base if we assume in addition that  $\mathfrak{d} < \mathfrak{c}$ . This latter condition is consistent with Cichon’s diagram and hence can be realized in some model of ZFC.

**Problem 23.** We do not know whether there exists a topological group with a  $\Sigma_2$ -base that admits no  $\mathfrak{G}$ -base.

**Problem 24.** Let  $X$  be a separable metric space admitting a compact ordered covering of  $X$  indexed by an unbounded and boundedly complete proper subset of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  that swallows the compact sets of  $X$ . Is then  $X$  Polish space?

**Acknowledgements**

We thank the referee for valuable comments and suggestions that have contributed to improve the paper.

**References**

- [1] T. Banach,  $\mathfrak{F}_0$ -spaces, *Topol. Appl.* 195 (2015) 151–173.
- [2] B. Cascales, J. Kąkol, S.A. Saxon, Metrizable vs. Fréchet–Urysohn property, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 131 (2003) 3623–3631.
- [3] B. Cascales, J. Orihuela, V. Tkachuk, Domination by second countable spaces and Lindelöf  $\Sigma$ -property, *Topol. Appl.* 158 (2011) 204–214.
- [4] M.J. Chasco, E. Martín-Peinador, V. Tarieladze, A class of angelic sequential non-Fréchet–Urysohn topological groups, *Topol. Appl.* 154 (2007) 741–748.
- [5] J.P.R. Christensen, *Topology and Borel Structure. Descriptive Topology and Set Theory with Applications to Functional Analysis and Measure Theory*, North-Holl. Math. Stud., vol. 10, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1974.
- [6] J.C. Ferrando, J. Kąkol, On precompact sets in spaces  $C_c(X)$ , *Georgian Math. J.* 20 (2013) 247–254.
- [7] J.C. Ferrando, J. Kąkol, M. López-Pellicer, S. Saxon, Tightness and distinguished Fréchet spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 324 (2006) 862–881.
- [8] J.C. Ferrando, M. López-Pellicer (Eds.), *Descriptive Topology and Functional Analysis*, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., vol. 80, Springer, Heidelberg/New York, 2014.
- [9] K. Floret, *Weakly Compact Sets*, Lect. Notes Math., vol. 801, Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg, 1980.
- [10] S. Gabrielyan, J. Kąkol, A. Leiderman, The strong Pytkeev property for topological groups and topological vector spaces, *Monatsch. Math.* 175 (2014) 519–542.
- [11] S. Gabrielyan, J. Kąkol, A. Leiderman, On topological groups with a small base and metrizable, *Fundam. Math.* 229 (2015) 129–158.
- [12] N. Noble, The continuity of functions on Cartesian products, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 149 (1970) 187–198.
- [13] J. Orihuela, Pointwise compactness in spaces of continuous functions, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 36 (1987) 143–152.
- [14] B. Tsaban, L. Zdomskyy, On the Pytkeev property in spaces of continuous functions (II), *Houst. J. Math.* 35 (2009) 563–571.
- [15] M. Valdivia, On the closed graph theorem in topological spaces, *Manuscr. Math.* 23 (1978) 173–184.